

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF York
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2026CP4601075

Zhelma Delorise Kendrick
PLAINTIFF(S)

South Carolina State Of
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- ☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- ☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ☐ **ACTION DISMISSED** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRPC; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
☐ Other
- ☐ **ACTION STRICKEN** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRPC; ☐ Bankruptcy;
☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
☐ Other
- ☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- ☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):
☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded;
☐ Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☐ See attached order (formal order to follow) ☒ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This Court heard Appellant's Criminal Appeal from Magistrate's Court on June 9, 2026. After reviewing the issue, it appears there's a factual question whether the Appellant asked for assistance to get a blood test. The Magistrate Court. valued this question of fact by listening to the testimony presented and watching video of the conversations between the testing officer and the Appellant. The Magistrate' was acting as the fact finder. The Magistrate's finding is supported by evidence in the record, notwithstanding contradictory evidence was presented. The testimony was evaluated and weighed by the magistrate cannot be disturbed on appeal if the decision is supported by evidence in the record. This Court is not able to reverse a finding of fact by a Magistrate if there is evidence supporting the Magistrate's decision. Thus, the appeal is dismissed.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☒ ends ☐ does not end the case.

☐ See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 06/12/2026 .

Grace E Meadows for South Carolina State Of
Kalah Danielle Mitchell for Zhelma Delorise Kendrick

RECEIVED
Jul 14 2026
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



York Common Pleas

Case Caption: Zhelma Delorise Kendrick VS South Carolina State Of

Case Number: 2026CP4601075

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

It is so ordered

Eugene C. Griffith, Jr. 2154