

Henry Abel Pol,
3222 Bannock Dr Fort Mill, SC 29715

Ph: (704) 644 3561
Fax: (336) 217 8412

July 2nd, 2012

The South Carolina Court of Appeals
1015 Sumter Street
Columbia South Carolina 29201

RECEIVED
JUL 03 2012

Re: IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, v. Henry Pol
Case No. 2009-CP-46-00915

SC Court of Appeals

Dear Sir/Madam,

In response to the Return to Motion, the Appellant would like to firstly thank the court for granting the Appellant additional time for filing documents, such consideration is helpful to see a proper and just conclusion to a case and the Appellant is very appreciative for this courts considerations that it has shown the Appellant.

In the first argument the Respondent makes is that this court had previously struck from the record "all arguments" related to the foreclosure and limited this appeal to the order holding the appellant in contempt of court; and that in the Appellants current motion requests numerous documents be added to the record on appeal which Respondent says "which still has not been filed by Appellant".

Let me tell you, that made me smile. Okay, so what really happened? The Appellant was sentenced to 30 days incarceration for filing "frivolous documents" that were according to the Respondent "never filed"? Then I have to agree with the Respondent in light of their admission that none of those documents are relevant to the order holding the appellant in contempt of court.

It was the intent of the Appellant to present in the Record on Appeal all documents for which the erroneous charge of contempt of court was based upon. Because the lower court failed to provide to the Appellant any evidence of the so called "frivolous documents", the Appellant felt he had no choice but to present to this court all possible documents that the lower court may have considered as "frivolous documents".


Either way is fine with the Appellant, if this court wants to proceed without the Appellant's requested documents then, perhaps after the admission by the Respondent

that the Appellant's list of requested documents have yet to be filed then this court may choose not to have them included as that is an admission that none of those documents were considered as the "frivolous documents" upon which the contempt of court charge was based on.

In the Respondent's second argument the Respondent claims that the sole purpose of the Appellant's request to add additional documents to the record on appeal is for the sole purpose of delay. I ask that the court to deny that request as the Respondent provided no evidence and therefore it must be considered as heresay. It must be said again that as the Appellant's motion stated that it was for the purpose to "better assist the court" that the motion was filed. The Appellant is eager to see justice be brought to bear upon the lower court's actions that the Appellant may begin all necessary legal actions in either higher courts or lower courts or Federal courts against all parties for appropriate damages for the wrongful incarceration, financial costs and denial of any and all liberties of both personal and of property. At the same time it is imperative that the court be given all records necessary to ensure that were possible all deficiencies are avoided in appeal for the purpose that true justice will be the result of this appeal and to avoid any unnecessary costly actions in other courts.

The Respondent thirdly and lastly asked that the Appellant be sanctioned by this court. For the afore mentioned responses Appellant asks that this court deny this request.

We thank the court for its kind consideration of this request

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Henry Abel Pol", is written over a horizontal line.

Henry Abel Pol,

3222 Bannock Dr Fort Mill, SC 29715

July 2nd, 2012

PROOF OF SERVICE


I certify that I have served Appellants Final Brief
this the 22nd day of June, 2012, on respondents below by depositing a copy of it in the
United States Mail, postage prepaid, on this the 22nd day of June, 2012., addressed to the
following Respondents ;

CC:

Rogers Townsend & Thomas, PC
c/o John P. Fetner & Robert P. Davis
P.O. Box 100200
Columbia, South Carolina 29202

B. Rush Smith III, Esquire
Michael J. Anzelmo, Esquire
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP
PO Box 11070
Columbia, SC 29201

on this the 2nd day of July, 2012



Henry Abel Pol,

3222 Bannock Dr Fort Mill SC 29715

RECEIVED

JUL 03 2012

SC Court of Appeals