

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Spartanburg
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2026CP4200772

Donna Clines
PLAINTIFF(S)

Nathan Cicero Wall et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- ☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- ☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ☐ **ACTION DISMISSED** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRPC; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
☐ Other
- ☐ **ACTION STRICKEN** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRPC; ☐ Bankruptcy;
☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
☐ Other
- ☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- ☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):
☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded;
☐ Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☐ See attached order (formal order to follow) ☒ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Plaintiff Donna Marie Clines has filed a Motion to Reconsider Post-Trial Motions and Trial Rulings pursuant to Rules 50, 59(a) and (b), and 60(b)(1) and (3), SCRPC. The Court has received Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion. The Court hereby exercises its discretion pursuant to Rule 59(f) to determine the motion without further hearing. Plaintiff's request to hold this motion in abeyance pending receipt of the trial transcript is DENIED, as it would not aid the court in its decision on Plaintiff's motion.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☒ ends ☐ does not end the case.

☒ See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 07/07/2026 .

RECEIVED
Jul 24 2026
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

Plaintiff raises several grounds in its motion for reconsideration, to wit:

- 1.Statements referencing Defendant Nathan Wall would be responsible for payment of any award of the jury;
- 2.Misuse of medical records;
- 3.Remarks by Defendant's Attorney that the Plaintiff "had her cell phone on" at the time she was hit (from behind) by Defendant;
- 4.Plaintiff's Request to Charge that Defendant had a Duty of Urgent Care;
- 5.Negligent Entrustment.

Based on the foregoing grounds, Plaintiff argues that the jury's verdict resulted from the "inappropriate and improper presentation by Defendant's attorney throughout the trial." Plaintiff asserts that a new trial and/or a new trial nisi additur is the only proper way correct these alleged deficiencies.

The Court reiterates and affirms its previous rulings on the record with respect to the issues raised by Plaintiff above. The Court finds there was sufficient evidence to support the jury's verdict, and that based on the evidence submitted to the jury, the verdict was not inadequate or grossly inadequate. The Court also finds that viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, there was sufficient evidence regarding each parties' liability to submit the question to the jury.

Based on the foregoing as well as the Court's previous rulings on the record, the Court hereby DENIES Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration on all grounds raised.



Spartanburg Common Pleas

Case Caption: Donna Clines VS Nathan Cicero Wall , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2026CP4200772

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED!

s/ J. Derham Cole, Jr. 2789