

**RECEIVED**

DEC 31 2013

**WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO S. C. SUPREME COURT**

S.C. Supreme Court

## (a) Authority of S.C. Supreme Court

The S.C. Appeals Court has 16 copies of the Record on Appeal and 16 copies of Final Brief , and they would not perform their JOB , and they failed to review this case.

## (b) Consideration Governing review\_

- (1) The novel question of LAW are if Judge Cole in Spartanburg Common Pleas Court ERRED as A Default Judgment was filed with him, but he ERRED as he should by S.C. LAW the defendant , Janneth Sanders did not File anything in the thirty days filing time period.
- (2) The S.C. Appeals Court would not do their JOB , and they did not rule on this case even though they had 16 COPIES OD RECORD ON APPEAL AND 16 COPIES OF FINAL BRIEF.
- (3) No Conflict, as S.C. Appeals Court would not do their JOB. They did not rule on the case.
- (4)No Constitutional Issues

( 5) No conflict with the U.S. Supreme Court. The S.C. Appeals Court has had this case over 3 years. Then they could not perform their JOB.

## (C)Time for petitioning and Filing Fee

Fees payment is enclosed. And a copy of failure of S. C. Appeals Court to HEAR case of Re-instatement is enclosed.

## (d) Content of Petition-

(1)That I, Launeil Sanders, am appearing PRO SE , and I , Launeil Sanders, did file a Petition For Rehearing Re-Instatement by S,.C. Appeals Court, and copy was included with this appeal to the S.C. Supreme Court.

(2) The questions presented for review are if a Default Judgment was presented , the Presiding Common Pleas Court Judge Cole ERRED as he failed to ISSUS DEFAULT JUDGMENT.

(3) Concise Statement is that Judge Cole should NOT have DISMISSED case , but should have granted the DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST JANNETH SANDERS. As a matter of S.C. law, he had to comply with S.C. law but refused to comply.

(4) I make this MOTION as Judge Cole must be remanded, forced to ISSUE DEFAULT JUDGMENT AS THE S.C. LAW REQUIRES.

## (e) Appendix-

(1) Due to hardship on myself and since the S.C. Appeals Court has 16 Copies OF THE RECORD ON APPEAL AND 16 COPIES OF FINAL BRIEF , WHICH THEY , THE S.C. APPELS COURT DID NORT REVIEW. SINCE IT IS A HARDSHIP ON ME FOR


MAKING ADDITIONAL COPIES ,I ASK THAT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT RETAIN 2 OF THE 16 COPIES THAT THE APPEALS COURT WOULD NOT REVIEW.

(2) Attached , but presently there were no matters dismissed by the Appeals Court, as THEY WOULD NOT HEAR THIS CASE.

(3) This WRIT OF CERTIORARI IS SOUGHT AS THE APPEALS COURT WOULD NOT PERFORM THEIR JOB, BUT THEY HAVE HAD CASE FOR 3 YEARS AND STILL COULD NOT PERFORM THEIR JOB.

(4) A COPY OF RE-INSTATEMENT FILED WITH APPEALS COURT IS ENCLOSED.

Respectfully Submitted this the 23rd Day of Dec, 2013



COLONEL LAUNEIL ( "Neil") SANDERS  
4005 Highway 11  
Inman , South Carolina 29349-7307  
Telephone (864) 578-0922 Email: [slauneil@yahoo.com](mailto:slauneil@yahoo.com)

BURTS, TURNER AND RHODES  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
P.O. Box 3408  
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29304  
PHONE 864-585-8166

*put copy in U.S. Mail with  
sufficient postage*



William Darwin  
Holcome Bomar  
1000 Dunbar street  
Spartanburg, S.C. 29304

*put copy in U.S. Mail with  
sufficient postage*

