

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG

69402

LAUNEIL SANDERS

IN COMMON PLEAS COURT
CASE NO. 2010-CP-42-5527

Plaintiff
VS.

JANNETH E. SANDERS
BURTS, TURNER AND RHODES, C/O RICHARD RHODES
SPARTANBURG COUNTY
DEFENDANTS

"PETITION TO RE-INSTATE THE CASE # 2011-191726 IMMEDIATELY;
FEES OF \$25.00 ENCLOSED AND PAID"

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Attached is PETITION TO RE-INSTATE THE CASE # 2011-191726 IMMEDIATELY.

1. That the Court of Appeals has ERRED, and this should be immediately corrected. As I filed a Motion for Approval to get Approved the only eight copies. NO S.C. APPEALS JUDGE HAS RULED ON MY MOTION. For the Deputy clerk to sign an ORDER is illegal and triple corrupt by the S.C. Appeals Court. This order signed by Deputy Clerk on August 2, 2013 is ILLEGAL.

For here are the facts in this case:

1. That Janneth Sanders never, ever filed any whatsoever response to this litigation, and Common Pleas Judge Dershem Cole did not issue Default Judgment in hearing on January 25, 2011. He Violated S.C. LAW and S, C. Statutes as he DECLINED to obey S.C. LAW! A default Judgment was prayed for on January 25, 2011, Hearing, and should have been ISSUED.

2. That Dershem Cole has also Violated S. C . Law as he was supposed to Hold a Hearing where Burts, Turner and Rhodes Authorized INSURANCE CARRIER Representative were present so as to try to see what could be resolved. I, Launeil Sanders, presently have over \$49,800. of ACTUAL DAMAGES, and I demand that I have access to Burts, Turner and Rhodes Authorized INSURANCE CARRIER Representative.

RECEIVED

AUG 12 2013

3. That Spartanburg County KILLS small children in train Accidents, so it is very evident that Spartanburg County doesn't give a Royal Damn about the QUALITY OF LIFE IN SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA. That I, Launeil Sanders, presently have over \$49,800 of ACTUAL DAMAGES, and I demand that I have access to Spartanburg County 's. Authorized INSURANCE CARRIER Representative.

4. This case is Very, very Important and is Over three years old NOW in the S. C. Appeals COURT.

RESPECTFULLY Submitted ON THIS THE 5th DAY OF August 2013

Launeil Sanders

LAUNEIL ("Neil") SANDERS
4005 Highway 11
Inman, South Carolina 29349
Telephone (864) 578-0922
Email: colonel_launeilsanders@yahoo.com

RECEIVED

AUG 12 2013

SC Court of Appeals

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

That I, Launeil Sanders, the undersigned certify that we have this date served a copy of "Petition to Re-Instate my Case #2011-191726 as Soon as Possible." As I put Copy in U.S. Mail with sufficient postage to DEFENDANTS.:

1. BURTS, TURNER AND RHODES
c/o Richard Rhodes, Attorneys for Plaintiff
P.O. Box 3408
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29304
Phone 864- 585-8166

That I mailed in U.S. mail with sufficient postage to Defendant.

Launeil Sanders

2. JANNETH E. SANDERS
593 SHORELINE BOULEVARD
BOILING SPRINGS, S.C. 29316-6164

That I mailed in U.S. MAIL with sufficient postage to defendant

Launeil Sanders

3. SPARTANBURG COUNTY's Counsel
Holcombe Bomar P.A.
100 Dunbar Street, Suite 200
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29306
P.O. Box 1897
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29304

That I mailed in U.S. mail with sufficient postage to defendant

Launeil Sanders

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Launeil Sanders, Appellant,

v.

Janneth E. Sanders and Burts, Turner and Rhodes and
Spartanburg County, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2011-191726

The Honorable J. Derham Cole
Spartanburg County
Trial Court Case No. 2010CP4205527

ORDER

Appellant has failed to file both the number of copies of the record on appeal and the certificate of counsel for the record on appeal required by Rule 210 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR) and requested in the Court's letter dated June 26, 2013. Accordingly, this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

FOR THE COURT

BY V. Claire Allen, Deputy
CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Launeil Sanders

William B. Darwin, Jr.

William Hardwick Rhodes

FILED RECEIVED

8-2-13 AS AUG 12 2013

SC COURT OF APPEALS

BURTS TURNER & RHODES
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
260 NORTH CHURCH STREET
SPARTANBURG, S.C. 29306

SAM BURTS (1907 - 1982)
NOEL TURNER (1928 - 2011)
RICHARD H. RHODES
M. NOEL TURNER, III
WILLIAM H. RHODES
RYAN F. MCCARTY

MAILING ADDRESS
P.O. BOX 3408 29304
PHONE: 864-585-8166
FAX: 864-583-6927

September 27, 2013

Ms. V. Claire Allen
Deputy Clerk
The South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

Re: Launeil Sanders v. Janneth E. Sanders, Burts Turner & Rhodes, and Richard H. Rhodes

Case No.: 2011-191726

Dear Ms. Allen:

I am in receipt of Mr. Sander's Motion to Relax the Filing Requirements, along with an index labeled "Second Amended Record on Appeal." While Mr. Sanders provided us with a copy of the index, he has not provided us with a copy of the Record. Therefore, we do not know what is actually contained in the Record on Appeal. Based on what is listed in the index, we have numerous objections, as set forth below.

Mr. Sanders has listed items in the index for the Record that were not contained in either of the Designations of Matter. He was previously ordered by this Court to remove these items from the Record:

- (a) Original Complaint AMENDED, dated October 19, 2010 [This pleading is supposed to be attached as an exhibit to the Affidavit in Support of the Motion to Dismiss, dated January 25, 2011];
- (b) Original Complaint, dated October 4, 2010 [This pleading is supposed to be attached as an exhibit to the Affidavit in Support of the Motion to Dismiss, dated January 25, 2011];
- (c) Affidavit of Launeil Sanders and Answer to Complaint, dated October 12, 2010 [This Family Court pleading has not been mentioned in this Appeal]; and
- (d) Affidavit of Launeil Sanders and Answer to Amended Complaint, dated October 28, 2010 [This Family Court pleading has not been mentioned in this Appeal].

Additionally, because Mr. Sanders has not provided us with a copy of the Record on Appeal, we do not know whether he added certain items that he was ordered to add to the Record:

- (a) Answer, dated October 29, 2010;
- (b) Motion to Dismiss, dated November 2, 2010; and


RECEIVED
SEP 30 2013
SC Court of Appeals

(c) Affidavit in Support of Motion, dated January 25, 2011.

In light of the foregoing, we respectfully submit that Mr. Sanders has failed to comply with the Court's Order of September 11, 2012 and the Court's Order of September 19, 2013. We would respectfully request that the Appellant's Motion to Reinstate the Appeal should be denied, and the remittitur should be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR. If the Court elects to grant Mr. Sanders' Motion to Reinstate the Appeal, we would respectfully ask that he be ordered to provide us with a copy of the Record on Appeal.

With kind regards, I am

Sincerely,



William H. Rhodes

cc: Mr. Launeil Sanders (Appellant)
William B. Darwin, Jr., Esq. (Attorney for Spartanburg County)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

THE HONORABLE J. DERHAM COLE
CASE NO. 2010-CP-42-05527

Launeil Sanders,

Appellant,

versus

Janneth E. Sanders, Spartanburg County, Burts, Turner & Rhodes,
and Richard H. Rhodes


Respondent.

PROOF OF DELIVERY

This is to certify that on the 27th day of September, 2013, the undersigned, secretary to William Hardwick Rhodes, Esq., served a copy of the LETTER DATED SEPTEMBER 27, 2013 TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA by depositing a copy of the same into the United States Mail, postage pre-paid and in the correct amount to the following:

Mr. Launeil Sanders, Appellant
4005 Highway #11
Inman, SC 29349

William B. Darwin, Jr., Esquire
P.O. Box 1897
Spartanburg, SC 29304
Attorney for Spartanburg County


JO ANN CHAMPION

SWORN to before me this 27th
day of September, 2013

 (SEAL)
NOTARY PUBLIC FOR SOUTH CAROLINA

My Commission Expires: 8/29/15

RECEIVED
SEP 30 2013
SC Court of Appeals

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Launeil Sanders, Appellant,

v.

Janneth E. Sanders and Burts, Turner and Rhodes and
Spartanburg County, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2011-191726

ORDER

Appellant has filed a motion to reinstate this appeal, which was dismissed due to Appellant's failure to file additional copies of the record on appeal and failure to provide a certificate of counsel. No return was filed. Within ten days, Appellant shall (1) file the seven additional copies of the record on appeal or serve and file a motion to relax the filing requirements, and (2) serve and file a certificate of counsel for the record on appeal. This court will act on the petition to reinstate upon receipt of the requested filings or the expiration of ten days.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:
Launeil Sanders
William B. Darwin, Jr.
William Hardwick Rhodes

FILED

9-19-13 JS