



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

August 3, 2026

The Honorable Lisa M. Comer
205 East Main Street
Suite 128
Lexington SC 29072

REMITTITUR

Re: Thomas Dukes v. Rocket Mortgage, LLC
Lower Court Case No. 2023CP3202473
Appellate Case No. 2024-000614

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

Catherine Harrison, deputy
CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Thomas Dukes
Brent B. Young, Esquire





The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

July 15, 2026

Thomas Dukes
711 Parkhurst Ln.
Lexington SC 29072

Mr. Brent B. Young, Esquire
Baker Donelson
PO Box 3038
Johnson City TN 37602

Re: Thomas Dukes v. Rocket Mortgage, LLC
Appellate Case No. 2024-000614

Dear Mr. Dukes and Counsel:

Enclosed is the decision of the Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Very truly yours,


CLERK

cc: The Honorable Brian M. Gibbons

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

Thomas Dukes, Appellant,

v.

Rocket Mortgage, LLC and Palmetto State Insurance
Agency, LLC, Defendants,

of which Rocket Mortgage, LLC is the Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000614

Appeal From Lexington County
Brian M. Gibbons, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2026-UP-370
Submitted June 1, 2026 – Filed July 15, 2026

AFFIRMED

Thomas Dukes, of Lexington, pro se.

Brent B. Young, of Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell
& Berkowitz, PC, of Johnson City, Tennessee, for
Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Thomas Dukes appeals the circuit court's order dismissing his
causes of action for negligence, gross negligence, and breach of contract against

Rocket Mortgage, LLC (Rocket). On appeal, Dukes argues (1) the circuit court erred in "finding Rocket negligent" for paying Dukes' homeowners insurance policy; (2) the circuit court erred in "finding Rocket negligent" for ignoring Dukes' requests to correct his monthly payment; (3) the circuit court erred in "finding Rocket negligent" for allowing a breach of contract; (4) Rocket's attorney and employee conspired to deceive and defraud the court; (5) Rocket's employee perjured herself to federal investigators; and (6) the circuit court was prejudicial towards him because he was a pro se plaintiff.¹ We affirm pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR.

We find Dukes failed to challenge the circuit court's dismissal for failure to properly state a claim for relief in his issues on appeal. Accordingly, the circuit court's ruling on this issue is the law of the case and is affirmed. *See* Rule 208(b)(1)(B), SCACR ("Ordinarily, no point will be considered which is not set forth in the statement of the issues on appeal."); *Crescent Homes SC, LLC v. CJN, LLC*, 445 S.C. 164, 195 n.20, 912 S.E.2d 389, 405 n.20 (Ct. App. 2024), (declining to address issues asserted in the argument section that were not in the issues on appeal), *reh'g denied* (Mar. 12, 2025); *Dreher v. S.C. Dep't of Health & Env't Control*, 412 S.C. 244, 250, 772 S.E.2d 505, 508 (2015) ("Thus, should the appealing party fail to raise all of the grounds upon which a lower court's decision was based, those unappealed findings—whether correct or not—become the law of the case."); *Shirley's Iron Works, Inc. v. City of Union*, 403 S.C. 560, 573, 743 S.E.2d 778, 785 (2013) ("An unappealed ruling is the law of the case and requires affirmance."). This issue is dispositive and we decline to address the remaining issues on appeal. *See Futch v. McAllister Towing of Georgetown, Inc.*, 335 S.C. 598, 613, 518 S.E.2d 591, 598 (1999) (finding an appellate court need not address remaining issues when the decision of a prior issue is dispositive).

AFFIRMED.²

WILLIAMS, C.J., and KONDUROS and VINSON, JJ., concur.

¹ We note the order on appeal made no finding of Rocket's negligence and only granted dismissal under Rule 12(b)(6) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

² We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.