STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appellate Case No. 2025-001299

Attia Elbadawy and Lynne Chatlos, Appellants

v.

D.R. Horton, Inc., Respondent

and

Dorchester County, Third-Party Respondent

RECEIVED
Jul 31 2026
SC Court of Appeals

APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF PENDING RESOLUTION OF DORCHESTER COUNTY'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS APPEAL

I. INTRODUCTION

Comes Now the Appellants, Attia Elbadawy and Lynne Chatlos, appearing pro se, and respectfully move this Court for an Order extending the deadline for filing their Reply Brief, currently due August 6, 2026, until after the Court has ruled upon Appellants' pending motions concerning Respondent, Dorchester County's participation in this appeal and has established a briefing schedule that permits one complete reply brief addressing all participating parties.

II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

1. Respondent, Dorchester County actively participated in the proceedings before the Circuit Court.

2. Appellants filed motions on July 28, 2026, and July 30, 2026, concerning Respondent, Dorchester County's participation in this appeal.

3. Those motions remain pending.

4. Appellants contend that requiring a Reply Brief before resolution of those motions would require briefing while a procedural issue affecting the scope of the appeal remains unresolved.

III. ARGUMENT

The purpose of a reply brief is to respond to the arguments of the participating appellees. Appellants seek one comprehensive reply rather than piecemeal briefing. Granting an extension will promote judicial economy, avoid unnecessary supplemental filings, and permit orderly appellate review.

IV. SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM OF LAW

The South Carolina Appellate Court Rules provide for briefing schedules and motions requesting extensions of time. Appellants submit that good cause exists because the pending procedural issue directly affects the preparation and scope of the Reply Brief.

The requested extension is not sought for delay. It is requested, So, that Appellants may submit one complete Reply Brief after the Court resolves the pending motions concerning the Respondent, Dorchester County participation. This approach promotes efficient case management orderly briefing, and avoids multiple or

Supplemental filings.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Appellants respectfully request that the Court extend the Reply Brief deadline, hold the deadline in abeyance pending resolution of the motions concerning the Respondent, Dorchester County participation, establish a new deadline thereafter, and grant such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

VI. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Appellants respectfully request that this Motion be granted.

Appellant Pro Se
Attia Elbadawy Attia Elbadawy
And
Lynne Chatlos Lynne Chatlos
493 Wise Road
Summerville SC 29483
ala_international@yahoo.com
July 31,2026

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

We, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion was served upon the following Respondents:

RECEIVED
Jul 31 2026
SC Court of Appeals

Respondent, D.R. Horton, Inc.
Kenison, Dudley & Crawford, LLC
Mark Bible
325 W McBee Avenue, Suite #301
Greenville SC 29601

Third-Party Respondent,
Dorchester County

Dorchester County Attorney's Office

Bradley Mitchell
201 Johnston Street

St. George, South Carolina 29477

Appellants,
Attia Elbadawy Attia Elbadawy
And
Lynne Chatlos Lynne Chatlos
493 Wise Road
Summerville, SC 29483
July 31,2026