

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Christopher Jones, Appellant,

v.

D&B Real Estate Ventures, LLC, Darius Jones, and  
Bradley Robinson, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000731

---

## ORDER

---

On August 21, 2025, Appellant filed the record on appeal. On September 4, 2025, this court sent a deficiency letter indicating there were deficiencies with the record on appeal—including lack of a cover page, index, or proper pagination—and providing Appellant ten days to correct the deficiencies. On September 15, 2025, Appellant moved to extend the time for correcting the record on appeal, which this court granted until October 15, 2025. On November 10, 2025, this court sent a second deficiency letter concerning the record on appeal corrections because no corrections had been received. On December 1, 2025, Appellant moved for an extension of time in which to correct the record on appeal. That same day, Respondents filed a motion to dismiss, arguing Appellant had failed to cure the record on appeal deficiencies noted by this court in its September 4, 2025 letter. On December 4, 2025, this court granted Appellant's request to extend the time for correcting the record on appeal until January 6, 2026. On December 18, 2025, Appellant filed a return to the motion to dismiss, indicating he had corrected the deficiencies in the record on appeal and was prepared to file an amended record on appeal. On January 27, 2026, the court denied Respondent's motion to dismiss but ordered Appellant to serve and file an amended record on appeal within five days or the appeal may be dismissed.

Also on January 27, 2026, Appellant filed three pages: two cover pages and an index page; no other corrections to the record on appeal have been received. On February 10, 2026, Respondents moved to dismiss the appeal, arguing that an

amended record on appeal had not been filed per this court's January 27, 2026 order, and thus dismissal was proper.

On March 10, 2026, Appellant filed a return and a motion to file the return out of time, opposing the motion to dismiss, asking for fourteen days in which to file a complete consecutively paginated record on appeal, and explaining he was conducting a thorough review of the caselaw he intended to cite, which caused the delay in response. On March 24, 2026, Respondents filed a return to the motion to allow late filing of the return to the motion to dismiss, opposing any further extension of time. This court sent a deficiency letter, explaining Respondents' return was not timely filed and a motion to allow the late filing would need to be made or the response would not be considered. On April 6, 2026, Respondent filed a motion to file their return to the March 10, 2026 motion to allow late filing out of time. On April 7, 2026, Appellant filed a reply in opposition to Respondents' return to Appellant filing his return to the motion to dismiss out of time. On April 15, 2026, Appellant filed a return to Respondent's motion to allow late filing of their return to Appellant's March 10, 2026 motion to file out of time.

After careful consideration, we grant Appellant's March 10, 2026 motion to file his return to the motion to dismiss out of time and Respondents' April 6, 2026 motion to file their return to Appellant's motion to file the return to Respondent's motion to dismiss out of time. Appellant's March 10, 2026 return and Respondents' March 24, 2026 return are accepted as filed. However, we dismiss the appeal. Appellant failed to file an amended record on appeal as ordered by this court on January 27, 2026. An amended record on appeal was due February 2, 2026, and all this court has received as of the date of this order are cover pages and an index. Remittitur will be sent in accordance with Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

 C.J.  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Christopher Jones

M. Stokely Holder, Esquire

Raford W Bussey, Jr., Esquire

Joye S Coleman, Esquire

Ra'na Heidari, Esquire

**FILED**  
**Aug 06 2026**