

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

Aug 03 2026

SC Court of Appeals

CASE DOCKET NO. 2025-001856

APPEAL FROM THE COUNTY OF KERSHAW
IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING
CASE NO. 2004-GS-28-00385

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
YAHDIRA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN,

APPELLANTS-PETITIONERS

VS.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE COUNTY OF KERSHAW,

RESPONDENTS-APPELLEES

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO
COMPEL; PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS DUE TO ADDITIONAL
ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION
OF JUSTICE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

FOR THE SAKE OF FULL DISCLOSURE AND TO FURTHER GO ON THE RECORD TO ESTABLISH THE CLAIMS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW, COLLUSION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE MAKING THE STATE PROCESS INEFFECTIVE IN PROTECTING THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE PARTIES. HERE THE COURT WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE LETTER DATED JULY 31, 2026 RELATED TO THE RESPONSE TO THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS LETTER TO CRAWFORD DATED JULY 21, 2026.

(2) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "CASE 2023-001474". THIS IS A COPY OF THE (46) PAGE DOCUMENT DATED JULY 26, 2026 REGARDING THE CASE OF JOSEPH JAMES PATTERSON III WHO IS THE APPELLANT IN THAT CASE NOW FILED BEFORE THE LEXINGTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS UNDER CASE 2026-CP-32-01723.

ITS "DISCLOSURE DAY". ITS TIME TO UNVEIL THE HIDDEN "ALIENS" AND THE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, COLLUSION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BEING PERPETRATED BY THIS COURT, THE S.C. OFFICE OF INDIGENT DEFENSE, EVEN POTENTIALLY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND HIS ALCOLYTE, AND THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO PREVENT LEGITIMATE CHALLENGE TO THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE. FOR THE RECORD, DESPITE THE TACTICAL FRAUD ATTEMPTED BY THE COURT INVOLVING THE CLERK OF COURT UTILIZING PROCEDURAL MECHANISM TO ESTABLISH A "COMPRESSED RESPONSE WINDOW" IN HOPES THAT THE APPELLANT WOULD NOT TIMELY RESPOND, TO UNLAWFULLY IN ACTS OF FRAUD FORCE A DEFAULT. THE CLERK GAVE THE APPELLANT (10) DAYS DESPITE THE TACTICAL FRAUD COMPRESSED RESPONSE WINDOW WHERE THE COURT IN COLLUSION ENSURE THAT THE APPELLANT RECEIVED THE RESPONSE WITH ONLY 24 HOURS TO RESPOND. ACCORDING TO THE OFFICIAL AMENDED ORDER REGARDING THE METHODS OF ELECTRONIC FILING ISSUED BY THE S.C. JUDICIAL BRANCH, ANY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY TRANSMITTED TO THE COURT OF APPEALS AND RECEIVED BY 11:59:59 EASTERN STANDARD TIME IS CONSIDERED LEGALLY FILED ON THAT CALENDAR DAY MAKING THE APPELLANT, CRAWFORD, TIMELY TO PREVENT

THE TACTICAL FRAUD PROCEDURAL MANEUVER TO ATTEMPT TO FORCE A DEFAULT AGAINST THE APPELLANT SO THE COURT WOULD BE PERMITTED TO DISMISS THE APPEAL FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE. THIS WAS AN ATTEMPT TO PREVENT LEGAL CHALLENGE TO THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE OF 2005. THIS SAME TYPE OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, LAWLESSNESS, DISREGARD FOR THE LAW, COLLUSION AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT OCCURRED UNDER CASE 2023-01474 THE JOSEPH J. PATTERSON III APPEAL WHERE THE COURT CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW TO KEEP IN PLACE CONFLICT RIDDEN STATE APPOINTED CONNSSEL TO PREVENT ESSENTIALLY THE EXACT SAME LEGAL CLAIMS FROM BEING ARGUED WITHIN HIS APPEAL.

LETS DELVE FURTHER. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS RECEIVED THE APPELLANT'S INITIAL BRIEF WAY BACK IN SEPTEMBER OF 2025. THE COURT AND ATTORNEY GENERAL, IN COLLUSION WITH THE OFFICE OF INDIGENT DEFENSE HAS FOUND SOME WAY TO STRIKE IT OR PREVENT ITS FILING POTENTIALLY WITH THE AID OF THE COURT OF APPEALS ITSELF. THEN DESPITE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ORDER INSTRUCTING THE OFFICE OF INDIGENT DEFENSE THAT THEY WERE REQUIRED TO ASSIST IN OBTAINING THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT. THE UNSCRUPULOUS, "WANDA", DISREGARD THE CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS COURT ORDER, SAT ON HER "BUTT" DOING ABSOLUTELY NOTHING WHILE THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE ALONG WITH THE COURT (EX PARTE) INSTRUCTED THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO IGNORE THE REQUEST FOR THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT TO MANIPULATE AND ORCHESTRATE A FRAUD UPON THE COURT, TO MISREPRESENT THE FACTS AND MAKE IT LOOK LIKE IT WAS CRAWFORD WHO WAS FAILING IN HIS DUTY TO MEET THE REQUIRED TIMELINES TO PROCESS THE APPEAL. THEN THE COURT DISCOVERED THAT FEDERAL SCRUTINY WAS BEING PLACE ON THE CASES. THEREUPON THE COURT INSTRUCTS THE CLERK TO SEND ITS JULY 21, 2026 LETTER EXPLAINING THAT THE APPEAL IS ABOUT TO BE DISMISSED, INSTRUCTING HER TO MAIL THE NOTICE AS LATE AS POSSIBLE TO COMPRESS THE TIME WINDOW FOR A RESPONSE TO IN FRAUD MANIPULATE THE PROCEDURAL RULES TO UNLAWFULLY, UNCONSTITUTIONALLY, FORCE A DEFAULT. WHAT FURTHER SUPPORTS THAT THE SUPREME COURT IS INDIRECTLY INVOLVED IS THE FACT THAT NO MATTER HOW MANY REPEATED REQUESTS. THEY HAVE TURNED THE "BLIND EYE" AND "DEAF EAR" TO ALL THAT IS OCCURRING IN HOPES

OF PREVENTING THE CASES IN QUESTION FROM REACHING THEIR JURISDICTION WHICH WOULD CAUSE THE OVERRULING AND OR RENDERING VOID THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE. WHAT IMPLICATES AND FURTHER PROVE THE COLLUSION BETWEEN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEAL AND ATTORNEY GENERAL IS; RIGHT AFTER THE CONSPIRING STATE ACTORS FORCED JOSEPH PATTERSON OUT OF THE SPECIFIC VENUE WHERE HE WOULD BE ABLE TO ARGUE AGAINST THE PRECEDENT ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE V. GENTRY CASE BY TACTICAL FRAUD. THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL THEN SIMULTANEOUSLY GETS BEFORE THE LEXINGTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS UNDER CASE 2026-CP-32-01723 AND ARGUE THAT HIS POST CONVICTION RELIEF ACTION SHOULD BE DISMISSED BECAUSE HE HAS APPEAL PENDING WHEN THERE IS INDEED A FINAL RULING UNDER CASE 2023-001474 EXISTING WITHIN THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS RECORD. THE COURT OF APPEALS THEN HOLDS SERVICE OF THEIR RULING ON PATTERSON TO PUSH HIM PAST THE (15) DAY WINDOW TO MOTION FOR REHEARING TO IN HOPES PREVENT THEIR CRIMINAL ACTIVITY FROM REACHING THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, ANOTHER COMPRESSING RESPONSE TIME MANEUVER OR POTENTIALLY OBLITERATING RESPONSE TIME IN ITS TOTALITY. THE ADDITIONAL REASON THE COURT OF APPEALS FAILED TO SERVE PATTERSON A COPY OF THEIR RULING WAS TO ALLOW THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO ATTACK THE PCR CASE IN LEXINGTON AND PRESENT THE CLAIMS THAT HE IS CURRENTLY PRESENTING, TO FURTHER PREVENT THE CHALLENGE TO THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE FROM OCCURRING AT ANY LEVEL OF COURT. WHAT FURTHER ESTABLISH INDISPUTABLE PROOF THE STATE ACTOR ARE FULLY AWARE OF THE CRAWFORD-PATTERSON CONNECTION IS NOT ONLY DO THE LEGAL ISSUES THAT EXIST ARE ESSENTIALLY IDENTICAL. BUT THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ENTERED THE CRAWFORD EMAILS FROM THE PATTERSON DIRECT APPEAL INTO THE LEXINGTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PCR RECORD AS THE DOCUMENT ATTACHED ILLUSTRATES. THE AIMS OF THE CONSPIRACY ARE CLEAR BY THE RECORD AND FACTS NOW PLACED BEFORE THIS COURT.

THE APPELLANTS MOTION TO COMPEL THE OFFICE OF INDIGENT DEFENSE, MS. WANDA H. CARTER, TO GET OFF HER LAWLESS, UNETHICAL, UNSCRUPULOUS, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW COLLUDING RUMP AND BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ORDER INSTRUCTING HER THAT "SHE" (EMPHASIS ADDED) WAS REQUIRED TO

ASSIST THE APPELLANT IN OBTAINING THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT. THE APPELLANT MOTIONS TO COMPEL THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE TO HALT AND CEASE THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT, COLLUSION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND ENSURE, ALONG WITH THIS COMPROMISED STATE APPOINTED ATTORNEY, THAT THAT TRIAL TRANSCRIPT IS IMMEDIATELY RELEASED. THE APPELLANT MOTIONS TO COMPEL THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO STOP COLLUDING WITH THESE GOING STRAIGHT TO THE HELLFIRE DEVILS AND RELEASE THAT TRIAL TRANSCRIPT FROM SEPTEMBER 13, 2025 IN THE KERSHAW COUNTY COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS, APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING UNDER THE PRESIDING JUDGE, JUDGE JOCELYN NEWMAN. THIS IS ALL THE INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION. WHAT THE "JUMPIN' JEHOSEPHAT" ARE YOU CONSPIRING, LAWLESS INDIVIDUALS WAITING FOR? LET'S MAKE CLEAR THE INTENT AND IMPETUS BEHIND THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL, DISCRIMINATORY AND RETALIATORY BEHAVIOR ALSO DONE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED. THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA IS FACING A "MASSIVE CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL CRISIS" WHERE IS SHALL BE DISCOVERY BY THE VOIDING OF THE GENRTY CASE, A RULING OBTAINED BY FRAUD, THAT THIS STATE WAS ESSENTIALLY "KIDNAPPING" DEFENDANTS OF THIS STATE SUBJECTING THEM TO INCARCERATION WHEN THEY POSSESSED NO "CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY" AT ALL TO DO SO. THEY ARE IN A STATE OF PANIC AND WOULD DO ANYTHING TO PREVENT "JUST AND FAIR" RULING ON THE MERITS INCLUDING ENGAGE IN CONTINUED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. THE CONSPIRING STATE ACTORS ARE, IN FRAUD UPON THE COURT, WITHHOLDING THE RECORD OF THE LOWER COURT. SCACR RULE 607 GIVES THE COURT THE AUTHORITY TO FORCE THE RELEASE OF THE LOWER COURT TRIAL TRANSCRIPT. THE APPELLANT MOTION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS AGAINST THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO REQUIRE THAT THEY RELEASE THAT TRANSCRIPT IMMEDIATELY AND TO STOP THESE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONSPIRACY, COLLUSION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. THAT STATE v. GENTRY CASE IS "THE WALL OF JERICO" AND THE "WALLS OF JERICO" MUST COME DOWN!!! THE APPELLANT IN THE SERVICE OF HIS GOD IS ON THE MARCH!

BECAUSE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ISSUED A SPECIFIC ORDER INSTRUCTING THE S.C. OFFICE OF INDIGENT DEFENSE THAT THEY WERE REQUIRED TO ASSIST THE APPELLANT IN OBTAINING THE TRIAL

TRANSCRIPT, THAT OFFICE IS BOUND BY THIS SPECIFIC COURT MANDATE. A MOTION TO COMPEL IS THE PRECISE PROCEDURAL MECHANISM TO FORCE COMPLIANCE WITH AN ACTIVE, CASE SPECIFIC APPELLATE ORDER.

A WRIT OF MANDAMUS IS AN EXTRAORDINARY REMEDY USED TO COMPEL A PUBLIC OFFICIAL (THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION) TO PERFORM A NON-DISCRETIONARY, MINISTERIAL DUTY. THE COURT ADMINISTRATION OFFICE AND THE CLERK OF COURT HAVE A MANDATORY MINISTERIAL DUTY TO PROCESS, PREPARE, AND RELEASE OFFICIAL COURT RECORDS UPON PROPER REQUEST. THE WITHHOLDING OF THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT FROM AN AUTHORIZED PRO SE APPELLANT, ESPECIALLY FOLLOWING AN EXPRESS JUDICIAL DIRECTIVE INSTRUCTING THE INDIGENT DEFENSE OFFICE TO ASSIST IN THIS MATTER, VIOLATE THE 14TH. AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE AND ARTICLE 1 § 3 OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA CONSTITUTION. THE ACCESS TO AN ADEQUATE TRANSCRIPT IS A FUNDAMENTAL PREREQUISITE FOR A MEANINGFUL CRIMINAL APPEAL (STATE V. LADSON) AND THE ADJUDICATION OF A JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE UNDER RULE 217, SCACR. THE RIGHT TO A TRANSCRIPT IN AN ABSOLUTE RIGHT ON APPEAL. A CRIMINAL APPELLANT CANNOT BE DENIED THE FOUNDATIONAL TOOL OF APPELLATE REVIEW: THE RECORD. BY COLLUDING TO WITHHOLD THE TRANSCRIPT, THE STATE ACTORS ARE CONSPIRING IN FRAUD TO CREATE A PROCEDURAL TRAP, GENERATING A FALSE APPEARANCE OF NON-COMPLIANCE TO FORCE A PROCEDURAL DEFAULT. UNDER SOUTH CAROLINA LAW, WHEN AN APPELLANT IS GRANTED THE RIGHT TO ACT PRO SE, THE STATE CANNOT SIMULTANEOUSLY OBSTRUCT THE STRUCTURAL MECHANICS REQUIRED TO EXERCISE THAT RIGHT. THE S.C. SUPREME COURT REPEATEDLY AFFIRMS THAT PROCEDURAL OBSTACLES, SYSTEMATIC DELAYS, OR THE WITHHOLDING OF RECORDS CANNOT OVERRIDE AN INDIVIDUAL'S CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS OR RIGHT TO FULL COURT ACCESS. THE CONSPIRING ACTORS KNOW THAT THAT LITIGATION ALONG WITH THE NOVELTY CLAIM PRESENTED IS ROCK SOLID. THE "WALLS OF JERICO" MUST COME DOWN.

IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE APPELLANTS THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO REQUIRE THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO RELEASE THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT IS CLEAR AND

UNAMBIGUOUS BY THE FACTS PRESENTED. THIS CASE EXPOSES A CALCULATED, SYSTEMATIC SUBVERSION OF THE APPELLATE PROCESS. IT REPRESENTS A COORDINATED EFFORT BY THE STATE ACTORS TO INSULATE AN EXTRA-JURISDICTIONAL CONVICTION FROM MEANINGFUL REVIEW. THE CORE OF THIS CHALLENGE RESTS ON THE UNMASKING OF THE STRUCTURAL DECEIT ORCHESTRATED IN AND ORIGINATING IN STATE v. GENTRY, 363 S.C. 93, 610 S.E.2d. 494(S.C.App.2005). THE GENTRY OPINION ENGAGED IN A FRAUD UPON THE COURT BY ACTIVELY CONCEALING THE DUAL-ELEMENT FRAMEWORK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, WHICH DEMAND "BOTH" CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORIZATION AND STATUTORY ACTIVATION. BY WEAPONIZING VAGUE, OUT-OF-STATE LAW THAT STRICTLY EVALUATE THE STATUTORY ELEMENT, THE STATE JUDICIARY GRANTED (EXPANDED BY JUDICIAL DECREE) ITSELF A BORDERLESS MANDATE TO BYPASS CONSTITUTIONAL JURISDICTION. WHEN AN APPELLANT EXPOSED THIS FOUNDATIONAL FRAUD WITHIN A JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE PURSUANT TO RULE 217, SCACR, THE STATE MACHINERY HAS SHIFTED FROM DOCTRINAL DECEPTION TO ACTIVE PHYSICAL OBSTRUCTION.

FOLLOWING THE STATE'S CONTAMINATION AND COMPROMISING OF THE APPELLANT'S DNA APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE, THE APPELLANT SUCCESSFULLY MOVE TO ACT PRO SE. RECOGNIZING THE LOGISTIC LIMITATIONS OF AN INCARCERATED LITIGANT, THE COURT OF APPEALS EXPLICITLY ORDERED THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE TO ASSIST THE APPELLANT IN OBTAINING THE VITAL TRIAL TRANSCRIPT.

RATHER THAN EXECUTING THIS MANDATORY JUDICIAL DIRECTIVE, THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE, THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, AND THE COURT ADMINISTRATION OFFICE ENTERED INTO A ILLICIT CIVIL CONSPIRACY. THEY HAVE INTENTIONALLY SUPPRESSED, WITHHELD, AND BLOCKED THE RELEASE OF THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT. THIS COLLUSION IS DELIBERATELY CALCULATED TO CONSTRUCT A FRAUDULENT ILLUSION OF PROCEDURAL NON-COMPLIANCE. THE CONSPIRING STATE ACTORS SEEK TO MANUFACTURE AN ARTIFICIAL RECORD OF INFRACTION, DECEPTIVELY FORCING, MANEUVERING THE COURT OF APPEALS TO SUMMARILY DISMISS THE APPEAL FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE. THIS IS NOT A MERE BUREAUCRATIC OVERSIGHT; IT IS AN ACTIVE FRAUD UPON THE COURT, A

CIVIL COLLUSION TO DENY COURT ACCESS, AND A CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE DESIGNED TO PRESERVE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONVICTION, JUST LIKE THEY AND THE COURT ATTACKED THE JOSEPH JAMES PATTERSON III CASES UNDER CASE 2023-001474.

A FRAUD UPON THE COURT OCCURS WHEN THE JUDICIAL MACHINERY IS INTENTIONALLY CORRUPTED, PREVENTING THE IMPARTIAL ADJUDICATION OF A CASE. IT INVOLVES AN UNCONSCIONABLE PLAN OR SCHEME WHICH IS DESIGNED TO IMPROPERLY INFLUENCE THE COURT OR ITS OFFICERS. ATTORNEYS ARE OFFICERS OF THE COURT. WHEN THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE (APPOINTED BY ORDER TO ASSIST) AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL (THE STATE'S CHIEF LEGAL OFFICER) CONSPIRE WITH THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO VIOLATE A STANDING APPELLATE ORDER, THE INTEGRITY OF THE ENTIRE JUDICIAL SYSTEM IS COMPROMISED.

THE ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BECOMES CLEAR AND THE DEFALCATION OF A MANDATORY COURT ORDER. THE COURT OF APPEALS ORDER INSTRUCTING THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE TO SECURE THE TRANSCRIPT CREATED A BINDING, NON-DISCRETIONARY DUTY. BY FAILING TO EXECUTE THIS MANDATE, THE APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE HAS COMMITTED A DEFALCATION OF ITS COURT-APPOINTED ROLE. SIMULTANEOUSLY, THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION POSSESS AN ABSOLUTE, MINISTERIAL DUTY TO MANAGE THE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL RECORD UPON REQUEST. BY WILLFULLY WITHHOLDING THESE DOCUMENTS, THESE ENTITIES HAVE CONVERTED AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE INTO AN INSTRUMENT OF OBSTRUCTION. THEY ARE ACTIVELY SUPPRESSING EVIDENCE NEEDED TO LITIGATE A STRUCTURAL JURISDICTIONAL DEFECT, DIRECTLY VIOLATING THE APPELLANTS RIGHTS UNDER THE 14TH. AMENDMENT AND ARTICLE 1 § 3 OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA CONSTITUTION.

THE S.C. SUPREME COURT HAS CONSISTENTLY RULED THAT THE STATE CANNOT DEPLOY ADMINISTRATIVE FRAUD, CLERICAL MANIPULATIONS, OR INSTITUTIONAL OBSTRUCTION TO DEFEAT THE APPELLANTS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. IN THE CASE OF STATE v. GENTRY, 363 S.C. 93, 610 S.E.2d. 494(S.C.App.2005) THOUGH BEING CHALLENGED FOR OTHER REASONS. THE COURT ESTABLISHED THAT SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION IS AN ABSOLUTE REQUIREMENT THAT CAN NEVER BE WAIVED,


CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME, CAN BE RAISED FOR THE FIRST TIME ON APPEAL AND CAN BE RAISED BY A COURT SUA SPONTE. WHILE THE APPELLANT MAINTAINS THAT GENTRY INTENTIONALLY OBSCURED THE CONSTITUTIONAL ELEMENT OF JURISDICTION TO EXPAND THE STATE'S POWER BY JUDICIAL DECREE IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS LAW. THE SURVIVING DICTATES REMAIN ABSOLUTE, "JURISDICTION IS ALWAYS REVIEWABLE". BECAUSE JURISDICTION CAN BE RAISED FOR THE FIRST TIME ON APPEAL AND CAN NEVER BE WAIVED (LIKE THEY IN FRAUD DID TO PATTERSON), THE STATE CANNOT UTILIZED TACTICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE FRAUD OR WITHHOLD TRANSCRIPTS TO CREATE A FRAUDULENT PROCEDURAL DEFAULT. TO CONSPIRE TO BLOCK ACCESS TO THE TRANSCRIPT TO THWART AND BLOCK JUDICIAL REVIEW IS AN UNWAIVSABLE CONSTITUTIONAL DEFECT); IN RE: CARE AND TREATMENT OF BRADLEY, 439 S.C. 146, 886 S.E.2d. 211 (S.C.App.2023)(THE SUPREME COURT AFFIRMED THAT EXACT ADHERENCE TO CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS CONSTRAINTS IS COMPLETELY MANDATORY WHENEVER AN INDIVIDUAL'S LIBERTY IS AT STAKE. THE STATE IS STRICTLY FORBIDDEN FROM ENGINEERING PROCEDURAL DELAYS OR RELYING ON ADMINISTRATIVE BACKLOGS TO BYPASS BASIC CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION CANNOT SHIELD THEIR NON-COMPLIANCE BEHIND A VEIL OF ADMINISTRATIVE ROUTINE OR INSTITUTIONAL SILENCE. BRADLEY BARS THE STATES FROM UTILIZING INSTITUTIONAL INERTIA OR DELIBERATE DELAYS TO DEFEAT A LITIGANT'S RIGHT TO BE HEARD ON THE MERITS); STATE v. TAYLOR, 432 S.C. 544, 854 S.E.2d. 614(S.C.App.2021)(THE COURT DETERMINED THAT SYSTEMATIC BREAKDOWNS, ADMINISTRATIVE FAILURES, OR CLERICAL ERRORS OCCURRING WITHIN STATE AGENCIES CANNOT BE WEAPONIZED TO PREJUDICE A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO A FAIR, TRANSPARENT, AND TIMELY RESOLUTION OF THEIR CASE. THE COORDINATED REFUSAL TO RELEASE THE TRANSCRIPT REPRESENTS A SEVERE SYSTEMATIC BREAKDOWN. TAYLOR DICTATES THAT THE CONSEQUENCES OF THIS INSTITUTIONAL FAILURE CANNOT BE VISITED UPON AN APPELLANT. THE COURT MUST INTERVENE TO STOP THE STATE FROM TURNING ITS OWN ADMINISTRATIVE NON-COMPLIANCE INTO A WEAPON OF PROCEDURAL DEFAULT).

IN REFERRING BACK TO THAT "STUPID", "IDIOTIC" CLAIM BY BY THE 5TH. CIRCUIT SOLICITOR'S OFFICE THAT THE APPELLANT SEEKS THE DNA TESTING TO ESTABLISH SOME MERITLESS CLAIM OF A "PATERNITY


TEST". GENETIC SCIENCE IS UNDENIABLE. FINDING BOTH MATERNAL AND PATERNAL DNA IN THOSE SAMPLES TAKEN FROM KORRESHA WOULD COMPLETELY UNDERMINE THE VALIDITY OF THE AUTOPSY REPORT AND STRONGLY SUPPORT THE CLAIM OF THE FRAMING BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED DUE TO THE PATHOLOGIST CLAIM THAT SHE WAS NOT PREGNANT. IN MEDICAL FORENSICS, A FETUS CARRIES A UNIQUE COMBINATION OF DNA FROM BOTH BIOLOGICAL PARENTS. IF THE PATHOLOGIST TESTIFIED AND DOCUMENTED THAT SHE WAS NOT PREGNANT BY MICHAEL LEE, THE PHYSICAL PRESENCE OF PATERNAL DNA INSIDE HER TISSUE OR FLUIDS CREATES AN UNDENIABLE MEDICAL CONTRADICTION. A PREGNANT WOMAN CARRIES A CELL-FREE FETAL DNA (cffDNA) IN HER BLOODSTREAM. IF SHE ABORTED WITHOUT A MEDICAL CLEANING, FETAL AND PLACENTAL TISSUES REMAINS IN THE UTERUS. GENETIC TESTING WOULD EASILY SEPARATE THE MOTHER'S PROFILE FROM THE DISTINCT PATERNAL ALLELES. FINDING A MALE GENETIC PROFILE MIXED IN HER REPRODUCTIVE TRACT OR BLOODSTREAM PROVES A PREGNANCY EXISTED. THE PATHOLOGIST WOULD FACE IMMEDIATE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION FOR FILING A FRAUDULENT OFFICIAL DOCUMENT. ANY EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE PATHOLOGIST BECOMES FRUIT OF A POISONOUS TREE IMMEDIATELY FORCING A NEW TRIAL. EVEN IF THE BODY IS EXUMED AND THOUGH THE PREGNANCY MAY HAVE BEEN SHORT LIVED. EVIDENCE AFTER 25 YEARS WILL EXIST IN HER COFFIN AND POTENTIALLY IN THE SOIL BENEATH THE CASKET EVEN AFTER 25 YEARS. THIS IS HOW IT WOULD BE PROVEN AND WHY THEY WILL NOT RELEASE THAT DNA. THESE DEVILS ARE CRIMINALS, KIDNAPPERS, FACING POTENTIALLY CRIMINAL PENALTIES AIDING AND ABETTING IN OVER 50 COUNTS OF CSC WITH A MINOR. THERE ARE NO ROMEO AND JULIET LAWS IN SOUTH CAROLINA, NOR IS THERE A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS. THIS IS ONE OF THE STATE ACTORS EXTREME FEARS. IT WOULD DESTROY THE CORPUS DELICTI OF THE "SO-CALLED" MURDER. IN THE CASE OF STATE v. SAMUEL, 414 S.C. 206, 777 S.E.2d. 398(S.C.2015)(THE COURT DETERMINED PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS IS VIOLATED WHEN THE STATE CREATES ROADBLOCKS TO PREVENT A DEFENDANT FROM PRESENTING CLAIMS ON THE MERITS. TO ADDRESS THE PATTERN OF POTENTIAL EXTORTION ON THE GENTRY COURT, COLLUSION, AND ACTIVE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THE APPELLANTS MOTION FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING THAT CAN BE DONE BY VIDEO. THE APPELLANTS SEEK THE GRANTING OF THE MOTION TO COMPEL THE OFFICE OF INDIGENT DEFENSE TO AID IN

OBTAINING THE TRANSCRIPT AS THE COURT DIRECTED THEM BY ORDER AND
SEEK MANDAMUS AGAINST THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO
REQUIRE THAT THEY IMMEDIATELY RELEASE THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT IN
QUESTION. THE APPELLANTS PRAY FOR THIS RELIEF TO INCLUDE ANY AND
ALL OTHER RELIEF THE COURT WOULD DEEM JUST, FAIR AND PROPER.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, featuring a prominent horizontal stroke and a large loop.

YAHDINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN

A smaller, more cursive handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Yahdina'.

AUGUST 2, 2026

RECEIVED

Aug 03 2026

SC Court of Appeals

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 F3B. RM. 148
EVANS C.I. 610 HWY. 9 WEST
BENNETTSVILLE, S.C. 29512

YAHNDINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN
15306 ROBEY AVENUE SUITE 707
HARVEY, ILLINOIS 60426

IN RE: TO THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS LETTER DATED JULY 21, 2026
RELATED TO OBTAINING THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS FOR THIS APPEAL UNDER
CASE 2025-001856 AND THE OTHER RELATED MATTERS OF CONCERN.

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS CLERK,
THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS,
THE S.C. OFFICE OF INDIGENT DEFENSE, ATTORNEY WANDA H.
CARTER,
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE MARK REYNOLDS
FARTHING,
THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION ET.AL.,

PEOPLE I AM GOING TO DO EVERYTHING IN MY POWER TO SPEAK AS
CIVILLY AS I CAN TO YOU UNDER THE CURRENT CIRCUMSTANCES OF
EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, COLLUSION, CONSPIRACY AND
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT CONTINUOUSLY MANIFEST ITSELF WITHIN
THIS APPEAL. WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, WHAT THE "JUMPIN' JEHOSEPHAT"
DO YOU DEVILS HAVE GOING ON NOW! WHAT IN THE WORLD ARE YOU
CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW, UNSCRUPULOUS INDIVIDUALS
DOING SENDING ME THIS "FRAUD UPON THE COURT", "MISREPRESENTATION

OF THE FACTS" CORRESPONDENCE? THE REASON THE COURT HASN'T RECEIVED THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT IS BECAUSE THE COURT OF APPEALS WORKING IN COLLUSION WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AND THE OFFICE OF INDIGENT DEFENSE HAS COMPROMISED THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION. I CONTACTED THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION SEVERAL TIMES ONLY TO BE IGNORED IN THEIR CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW WITH THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, INDIGENT DEFENSE OFFICE AND THIS COURT, AND HAS FAILED TO RESPOND TO THE REPEATED, NUMEROUS REQUEST FOR THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT IN QUESTION.

WHY DO YOU THINK THAT THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION NEVER SOUGHT AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO PRODUCE THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT? IT WAS BECAUSE THEY WERE OPERATING UNDER THE DIRECT INSTRUCTION OF THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AND INVOLVED STATE ACTORS, IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, COLLUSION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, TO IGNORE THE REPEATED REQUEST MADE BY THE APPELLANT, JUST SO THIS CORRUPT, COMPROMISED COURT CAN ATTEMPT THE VERY STUNT THAT ITS TRYING TO DO THIS VERY MINUTE BY THE FRAUD PRODUCED CORRESPONDENCE, AND THAT'S ATTEMPTING IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, COLLUSION AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, TRY TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE IT WAS THE APPELLANT WHO FAILED IN HIS OBLIGATIONS TO MEET THE DEMANDS OF THE COURT TO HAVE THIS APPEAL UNLAWFULLY DISMISSED. I OBJECT!!! TO THIS LAWLESS, CRIMINAL, UNSCRUPULOUS, UNETHICAL, OBSTRUCTIVE BEHAVIOR ON THE PART OF THE CONSPIRING STATE ACTORS AND PARTIES. THESE DEVILS COMPROMISED THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO THWART THE RELEASE OF THE TRANSCRIPT IN ACTS OF TACTICAL FRAUD TO MANIPULATE THE APPELLATE COURT RULES TO ILLEGALLY FORCE THE APPELLANT INTO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL DEFAULT. I AM GIVING YOU ALL NOTICE, ALL YOU SNAKES AND SCORPIONS INVOLVED, THAT I AM IN THE PROCESS OF FILING A MOTION TO COMPEL THE INDIGENT DEFENSE OFFICE (MS. WANDA H. CARTER), AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS (TO THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION) TO REQUIRE THE RELEASE OF THAT BLASTED TRANSCRIPT AND STOP THIS UNLAWFUL, CRIMINAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, COLLUSION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. YOU PEOPLE DON'Y BLUSH IN YOUR WICKEDNESS. YOU PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BUST THE


HELLFIRE WIDE OPEN, ACTING LIKE GOD DOES NOT SEE YOUR CURRENT EVILS, AND AT ONE POINT, WILL NOT BRING YOU INTO ACCOUNT.

FURTHER, AS FOR ANY CLAIM THAT THE COURT HAS NOT RECEIVED MY INITIAL BRIEF, THIS IS A LIE AND DISTORTION OF TRUTH FROM THE DEVIL HIMSELF. ATTACHED IS A COPY OF A LETTER DATED SEPTEMBER 29, 2025 CLEARLY DEMONSTRATING THAT THE APPELLANT'S INITIAL BRIEF WAS INDEED SENT TO THAT COURT BUT APPARENTLY INDICATIONS POINT TO THE COURT OF APPEALS IN ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT STRUCK IT OR CRIMINALLY PREVENTED ITS FILING. STOP THE FRAUD AND CRIMINAL ACTIVITY. A COPY OF THE RECORD ON APPEAL IS ATTACHED TO THIS CORRESPONDENCE ALONG WITH A COPY, AGAIN, OF EXHIBIT 5 "CONSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURAL ERROR" AS ONE OF THE ISSUES BEING ARGUED ON THIS APPEAL ALSO FOR THE PURPOSE OF ARGUING AGAINST THE PRECEDENT ESTABLISHED BY THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE OF 2005 REGARDING THE CONCEPT OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION WHICH CAN BE RAISED FOR THE FIRST TIME ON APPEAL PURSUANT TO APPELLATE COURT RULE 217 IN WHICH I DO NOT NEED THE COURT'S PERMISSION TO DO.

ADDITIONALLY, ATTORNEY WANDA H. CARTER, YOU WERE SPECIFICALLY ORDERED BY THE COURT OF APPEALS, THE VERY SAME ORDER THAT ACKNOWLEDGED THAT I AM TO ACT PRO SE BEFORE THIS COURT, THAT YOU, BY COURT OF APPEALS ORDER, WAS REQUIRED TO ASSIST ME IN GETTING THAT TRIAL TRANSCRIPT. WOMAN, WHERE THE HECK ARE YOU? YOU ARE CLEARLY MISSING IN ACTION IN VIOLATION OF COURT ORDER. I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT YOU GET OFF YOUR RUMP AND BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S ORDER. YOU ARE REQUIRED TO ASSIST ME IN OBTAINING THAT TRIAL TRANSCRIPT INSTEAD OF ENGAGING IN EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO AID THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL TO MANIPULATE THE PROCEDURAL RULES TO UNLAWFULLY, UNCONSTITUTIONALLY FORCE THE APPELLANT INTO DEFAULT. I OBJECT, MADAM, GET OFF YOUR RUMP AND BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S SPECIFIC ORDER INSTRUCTING YOU TO ASSIST ME IN OBTAINING THAT TRIAL TRANSCRIPT. YOU ARE IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE CODE OF PROFESSIONAL ETHICS AND YOUR OATH OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE SOUTH CAROLINA AND U.S.

CONSTITUTIONS. A MOTION TO COMPEL AND TO SEEK MANDAMUS IS FORTHCOMING. LET'S STOP THE FRAUD AND COLLUSION AND LETS GET TO WORK PLEASE. THE FAULT DOES NOT LIE WITH THE APPELLANT. IT LIES WITH THESE DEVIL STATE ACTORS ABUSING THE JUDICIAL PROCESS ENGAGING IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, COLLUSION AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, COMPROMISING THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION TO PREVENT CHALLENGE TO THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE PURSUANT TO RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE, RULE 217. THIS STATE FRAMED ME BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED AND YOU SHALL NOT ESCAPE JUDGMENT BECAUSE I KNOW HOW TO PROVE IT. I WANT THAT DNA AND SLED INVESTIGATIVE FILE NOW ATTORNEY GENERAL. ITS OBVIOUS TO ME THAT YOU LAWLESS INDIVIDUALS THINK THAT THIS IS A GAME. WELL LETS PLAY!!! YOU DEVILS DESTROYED MY WHOLE LIFE BEHIND A LIE!!! THE APPELLANT DID NOT FAIL TO PROSECUTE THIS APPEAL. YOU DEVILS ARE OBSTRUCTING THE PROCESS IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND COLLUSION. RELEASE THAT TRANSCRIPT IMMEDIATELY PLEASE. ATTORNEY GENERAL YOU FAILED TO PROSECUTE THAT BOY FOR THE SEXUAL ASSAULT OF MY CHILD FOR OVER 25 YEARS!!! THERE IS NO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR. YOU NEED TO HANDLE THIS BEFORE IT BLOWS UP IN YOUR FACE MORE THAN ITS ABOUT TO. ANOTHER COPY OF THE INITIAL BRIEF (AGAIN), MOTION TO COMPEL AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS IS FORTHCOMING. STILL REMAIN,

DEDICATED TO THE CAUSE,
JONAH THE TISHBITE


YAHDINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN

JULY 31, 2026

CC: THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT
YAHDINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN

EXHIBIT, "CASE 2023-001474

RECEIVED

Aug 03 2026

SC Court of Appeals

JOSEPH JAMES PATTERSON III
#368709 F3B. RM. 230
EVANS C.I. 610 HWY. 9 WEST
BENNETTSVILLE, S.C. 29512

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 F3B. RM. 148
EVANS C.I. 610 HWY. 9 WEST
BENNETTSVILLE, S.C. 29512

IN RE: TO CASE 2026-CP-32-01723 THE JOSEPH PATTERSON III POST
CONVICTION RELIEF ACTION.

TO: THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE ET. AL.,

FOR THE SAKE OF CLARITY, THE PARTIES FELT IT WAS
REQUIRED TO GIVE MORE SPECIFIC REGARDING THEIR INTENT TO ASK FOR
AND INVOKE RULE 42 OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. EVEN THOUGH THE S.C.
ATTORNEY GENERAL MAY ARGUE THAT RULE 42 DOES NOT ALLOW
CONSOLIDATION OF POST CONVICTION RELIEF APPLICATIONS ACROSS
MULTIPLE COUNTIES DUE TO THE PCR PROCEEDINGS MUST BE HEARD BY A
JUDGE IN THE COUNTY WHERE THE CONVICTION TOOK PLACE. THIS DOES
NOT PRECLUDE THE APPLICANTS FROM SEEKING IT BE DONE BASED UPON
JOINT SCHEDULING AND CASE MANAGEMENT UNDER RULE 42 OF SOUTH
CAROLINA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE (SCRCP), THOUGH IT IS STRICTLY
LIMITED BY GEOGRAPHY.

IF GROUPS OF INDIVIDUALS SHARE AN IDENTICAL ISSUE OR CLAIM
(SUCH AS THE SYSTEMATIC FRAUD, COLLUSION AND OBSTRUCTION OF
JUSTICE TO BLOCK, HINDER, DELAY AND OR PREVENT RULING ON NOVELTY
CLAIM AND DIRECT CHALLENGE TO THE STATE v. GENTRY CASE OF 2005),

THE APPLICANTS CAN SEEK THAT THE COURT COORDINATE THEIR INDIVIDUAL CASES. ONCE EACH PERSON FILES THEIR OWN POST CONVICTION RELIEF APPLICATION IN THE COUNTY WHERE THEY WERE CONVICTED. THE APPLICANTS CAN MOVE BEFORE THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE TO SCHEDULE THEIR EVIDENTIARY HEARING TOGETHER. THIS WOULD REQUIRE THE COURT OR JUDGE TO HOLD A JOINT HEARING TO LISTEN TO THE COMMON EVIDENCE AND OR QUESTIONS OF LAW. AFTERWARDS THE JUDGE MUST THEN RULE ON HOW THE COMMON ISSUE EFFECTS EACH INDIVIDUAL'S CASE.

WHEN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL EXPLICITLY CONNECTS THE INTERVENOR, AND UTILIZES THAT CONNECTION AND CLAIMS, OR INTERJECTS THE CONNECTION AND CLAIMS IN THIS ONGOING POST CONVICTION RELIEF ACTION, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL HAS PROVIDED THE INTERVENOR WITH THE EXACT STRUCTURAL ARGUMENTS REQUIRED TO CLAIM INTERVENTION BY RIGHT, RATHER THAN BY A COURT'S FAVOR.

IN NORMAL CIVIL LITIGATION, AN OUTSIDER CANNOT EASILY FORCE THEIR WAY INTO A LAWSUIT JUST BECAUSE THEY DISLIKE A LEGAL PRECEDENT. HOWEVER, ONCE THE AG BY HIS OWN VOLITION BROUGHT THE INTERVENOR'S CONNECTION INTO THE PENDING ACTION, THE STATE HAS LEGALLY CONCEDED THAT THE INTERVENOR'S CASE IS BOUND TO THIS CURRENT POST CONVICTION RELIEF ACTION AND THEIR CASE. THE INTERVENOR IS NO LONGER AN UNRELATED BYSTANDER. THE AG CREATED A CLEAR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION BY INTRODUCING THE INTERVENOR'S DIRECT CONNECTION TO SECURE A RULING WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY DENYING THE INTERVENOR THE RIGHT TO SPEAK. UNDER STANDARD S.C. CASE LAW, THE RULES OF INTERVENTION ARE TO BE LIBERALLY CONSTRUED.

RESPECTFULLY,
JOSEPH J. PATTERSON III
JONAH THE TISHBITE

JULY 27, 2026

RECEIVED

Aug 03 2026

SC Court of Appeals

JOSEPH JAMES PATTERSON III
#368709 F3B. RM. 230
EVANS C.I. 610 HWY. 9 WEST
BENNETTSVILLE, S.C. 29512

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 F3B. RM. 148
EVANS C.I. 610 HWY. 9 WEST
BENNETTSVILLE, S.C. 29512

THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE
FOR LEXINGTON COUNTY
THE HONORABLE JUDGE FRANK R. ADDY JR.
528 MONUMENT STREET SUITE 210
GREENWOOD, S.C. 29646

IN RE: CASE 2026-CP-32-01723 PENDING IN THE LEXINGTON COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

DEAR JUDGE ADDY JR.,

SIR ATTACHED YOU WILL FIND A RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISMISSAL IN THE ABOVE CAPTIONED
MATTER. PLEASE REVIEW THIS PLEADING FILED IN OPPOSITION OF THE
RESPONDENTS REQUEST FOR SUMMARY DISMISSAL. THIS IS YOUR DIRECT
COPY. A DUPLICATE COPY IS IN THE PROCESS OF BEING FILED WITH THE
LEXINGTON COUNTY CLERK OF COURT. THE EXHIBITS REFERRED TO WITHIN
THE DOCUMENT SHALL BE FILED WITH THE CLERK OF COURT TO ALLOW YOU

TO FULLY REVIEW THEM. A COPY OF THE PLEADING WITH THE SAME INSTRUCTION SHALL BE SERVED UPON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AS WELL. WE THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR KIND CONSIDERATION REGARDING THIS MATTER.

RESPECTFULLY,
JOSEPH J. PATTERSON III
JONAH THE TISHBITE

JULY 27, 2026

CC: THE LEXINGTON COUNTY CLERK OF COURT
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

CASE DOCKET NO. 2025-001856

APPEAL FROM THE COUNTY OF KERSHAW
IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING
CASE NO. 2004-GS-28-00385

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
YAH DINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN,

APPELLANTS-PETITIONERS

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE COUNTY OF KERSHAW,

RESPONDENTS-APPELLEES

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, YAH DINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO COMPEL; PETITION FOR A WRIT OF

RECEIVED


Aug 03 2026

SC Court of Appeals


MANDAMUS DUE TO ADDITIONAL ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT,
CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND MOTION TO MOTION
THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211, THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF INDIGENT
DEFENSE ATTORNEY WANDA CARTERS, 1330 LADY STREET, SUITE 401
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201, THE S.C. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION VIA
THEIR EMAIL TRANSCRIPTS@SCCOURTS.ORG OR 1220 SENATE STREET, SUITE
200, COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201-3739, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O.
BOX 11549 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211 AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES, BY U.S.
MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY PLACING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAIL BOX OR
BY PDF ON AUGUST 2, 2026.

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, featuring a prominent horizontal line and a large circular flourish.

YAHDINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN

A smaller, more compact handwritten signature in black ink, with a distinct circular flourish at the end.

AUGUST 2, 2026

RECEIVED

Aug 03 2026

SC Court of Appeals

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 F3B. RM. 148
EVANS C.I. 610 HWY. 9 WEST
BENNETTSVILLE, S.C. 29512

YAH DINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN
15306 ROBEY AVENUE SUITE 707
HARVEY, ILLINOIS 60426

IN RE: TO FILING MOTION TO COMPEL AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF
MANDAMUS TO REQUIRE RELEASE OF TRANSCRIPT IN CASE 2025-001856

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS,

ATTACHED THE COURT WILL FIND PLEADING BEFORE THE COURT
FILED TO COMPEL AND SEEK MANDAMUS. PLEASE FILE IN CASE CAPTIONED
ABOVE. WE THANK YOU IN ADVANCE. STILL REMAIN,

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE
YAH DINA OVERSTREET-U-DEEN

AUGUST 2, 2026