

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF LEXINGTON
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

FORM 4

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2021-CP-32-02587

RECEIVED

AUG 06 2026

SC Court of Appeals

ISAAC SMITH JR

SONJA L LEWIS

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: COURT

Attorney for : ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant
or
☐ Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- ☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- ☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. ☐ See Page 2 for additional information.
- ☐ **ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRPC; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); ☐ Other
- ☐ **ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRPC; ☐ Bankruptcy; ☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; ☐ Other
- ☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- ☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded; ☐ Other Appeal Dismissed

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☐ See attached order (formal order attached) ☒ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

THIS MATTER CAME BEFORE THE COURT on July 27, 2026 via WebEx. The Plaintiff appeared Pro Se. The Defendant was represented by Kenneth Davis, Esq. In Plaintiff's complaint, he seeks all his mother's medical records from January 2015 to May 13, 2021, all her bank records, that Defendant be charged with elder abuse, grand theft and fraud, and that the Court order Defendant jailed.

Having reviewed this case fully, the Court finds it necessary to dismiss this matter with prejudice. Plaintiff's claim that the Court has no jurisdiction to dismiss this case due to a pending appeal is false. An Appellate Court order dated July 24, 2026 remitted this case back to a lower tribunal given that Plaintiff's appeal over a discovery matter does not qualify as an immediate appeal. This unjustified attempt at an appeal was only another avenue for which the Plaintiff could prolong this matter. The Court has previously dismissed Plaintiff's similar cause of action against his niece in Lexington County with the case number 2025-CP-32-01722. The Court laid out the nature of these matters in that order but it bears repeating.

Time and again, Plaintiff has lost in the trial and appellate courts of this state, yet he continues to file new actions litigating exactly the same grievances which the courts have ruled are unfounded time and time again. The present action is merely a rebranding of several cases

where Plaintiff had Sonja Lewis' children substituted as party defendants. Furthermore, the Plaintiff also brought suit in Fulton County, Georgia on the identical factual bases as the above referenced litigation. Res judicata bars this current action, plain and simple. The concluded Lexington County probate litigation (see 2024-CP-32-00809) and Richland County probate litigation (2018-CP-40-00403 and 2022-CP-40-05246) conclusively ruled against Plaintiff on these issues. His effort to characterize this as a different case simply because it was filed solely against his sister ignores the fact that the relief he requests has been foreclosed by the resolution of the above cases, as well as 2019-CP-32-001270.

Plaintiff stated on the record that he can accept the matter as closed if he truly has no avenue to continue pursuing this action. If the Court may be so blunt, this matter is resolved, and it has been for some while. Mr. Smith may pursue whatever action he deems necessary with the Appellate Court, as is his constitutional right. However, this Court will not condone what amounts to an egregious harassment campaign against Defendant and others. The Plaintiff has spent years beating a dead horse to no avail, and the Court will not permit the continued abuse of the various parties, court officials, lawyers, and the State's limited judicial resources.

Furthermore, Plaintiff's personal attack on the Defendant's attorney, Mr. Davis, is wholly unfounded which is why the Court did not ask Mr. Davis to respond at the hearing.

Due to Plaintiff's ongoing multi-year harassment campaign against the various Defendants, this Court will consider sanctions against Mr. Smith should he attempt to pursue this same litigation in the future in this State. Prior to accepting for filing, the Clerk of Court is directed to forward any complaint to the Chief Administrative Judge which Mr. Smith seeks to file in a *pro se* capacity.

The Court further directs the Lexington County Clerk of Court to send a copy of this order by mail to Plaintiff's Los Angeles address.

Therefore, the Court dismisses this matter with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☒ ends ☐ does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk : _____

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)

		\$
		\$
		\$
If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:		

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. **Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.**

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the Court will electronically sign this form using a separate electronic signature page.

s/ Frank R. Addy, Jr.

Circuit Court Judge

2159

Judge Code

July 27, 2026

Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the _____ day of _____, 20____ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this _____ day of _____, 20____ to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Pro Se.

Kenneth Davis

ATTORNEY(S) FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR DEFENDANT(S)

CLERK OF COURT

Court Reporter: WebEx. Contact South Carolina Court Administration to request a transcript of the proceedings.

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgement to parties who are not E-Fileers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Lexington Common Pleas

Case Caption: Isaac Smith Jr VS Sonja L Lewis
Case Number: 2021CP3202587
Type: Order/Form 4

So Ordered

S/ Frank R. Addy, Jr.