ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Jun 15 4:17 PM - SPARTANBURG - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2026CP4201706

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Spartanburg
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2026CP4201706

Sylecia Mcintyre
PLAINTIFF(S)

The State Of Sc
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

☐ **JURY VERDICT**. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.

☐ **DECISION BY THE COURT**. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

☐ **ACTION DISMISSED** (*CHECK REASON*): ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRCP; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled); ☐ Other

☐ **ACTION STRICKEN** (*CHECK REASON*): ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRCP; ☐ Bankruptcy; ☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; ☐ Other

☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**

☑ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (*CHECK APPLICABLE BOX*): ☑ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded; ☐ Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☐ See attached order (formal order to follow) ☑ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

See Statement of Judgment.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☑ ends ☐ does not end the case. ☑ See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 06/12/2026 .

Sylecia Mcintyre for Sylecia Mcintyre
Sylecia Mcintyre for Sylecia Mcintyre

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Jun 15 4:17 PM - SPARTANBURG - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2026CP4201706

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This is an appeal from a magistrate jury trial wherein the Appellant, McIntrye, was found guilty of Driving under Suspension. The Appellant represented herself during the jury trial and she appeared pro se during the hearing addressing her appeal. The State was represented during the appeal hearing by Assistant Solicitor Dan Cude. Esq.

In her notice of appeal, the Appellant stated that the verdict was contrary to the evidence as the base of the stop did not match the charge issued and the determination of license status was made after the stop. After receiving the arguments, the Appellant contends her license was not suspended at the time of trial as she presented documents as part of the appeal hearing that she contends reflects her license was not suspended at the time of trial. The Court has reviewed the documents. Appellant acknowledged during the hearing, the documents were not presented to the jury. According to the Magistrate's Return, DMV records at the time of trial indicated her license was suspended and her license was suspended at the time of the arrest. The Magistrate also stated that Appellant did not present evidence to the jury to counter the testimony of the officer.

After reviewing the documents, at best, when viewed in a light most favorable to the Appellant, the documents establish a possibility of confusion of whether her license was suspended at the time of trial and at the time arrest. These documents do not establish that an error was made by the jury for purposes of an appeal. Given the testimony of the officer, the jury could have, as they did, relied on the officer's testimony.

Therefore, the appeal is not granted, and the decision of the jury is affirmed.

Again, the Appellant appeared pro se before this Court. This Court strongly encourages the Appellant to seek the advice of an attorney—including seeking advice as it relates to this appeal. She needs to seek this advice expeditiously as important deadlines that relate to motions and appeals are running.

The Court appreciates the arguments and cooperation of both sides in their presentation.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2026 Jun 15 4:17 PM - SPARTANBURG - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2026CP4201706

Spartanburg Common Pleas

Case Caption: Sylecia Mcintyre VS The State Of Sc

Case Number: 2026CP4201706

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED

s/ J. Mark Hayes, II #2132