

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Thomas D. Kilpatrick as Special Administrator for the
Estate of Anthony Lemon, Respondent,

v.

Pruitthealth-Ridgeway, LLC f/k/a Unihealth Post-Acute
Care-Tanglewood, LLC, United Health Services of South
Carolina, Inc., Pruitthealth Consulting Services, Inc.,
Pruitthealth Therapy Services, Inc. f/k/a United Rehab,
Inc., Pruitthealth, Inc., Neil Pruitt, Jr., THI of South
Carolina at Columbia, LLC d/b/a Midlands Health and
Rehabilitation Center, THI of South Carolina, LLC,
Fundamental Clinical and Operational Services, LLC,
Fundamental Clinical Consulting, LLC, Fundamental
Long Term Care Holdings, Inc., Fundamental
Administrative Services, LLC, and Hunt Valley
Holdings, LLC, Defendants,

Of which THI of South Carolina at Columbia, LLC d/b/a
Midlands Health and Rehabilitation Center, THI of South
Carolina, LLC, Fundamental Clinical and Operational
Services, LLC, Fundamental Administrative Services,
LLC, and Hunt Valley Holdings, LLC are the Petitioners.

Appellate Case No. 2026-001309


ORDER

The request for an extension to serve and file the return to motion to dismiss is granted and extended for one day until August 11, 2026. Please refer to the order of the Supreme Court of South Carolina dated July 16, 2014 (<http://www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=963>)¹,

¹The Order provides that, in cases where a party seeks review of a decision of the Court of Appeals pursuant to Rule 242 of the South Carolina Appellate Court

regarding any further extension requests in this matter.

FOR THE COURT

BY 
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK 

Columbia, South Carolina
August 11, 2026

cc: Russell Grainger Hines
Donald Jay Davis, Jr.
Stephen Lynwood Brown
Matthew Oliver Riddle
Neil Edward Alger
John Elliott Parker, Jr.

Rules, a party "may be granted extensions totaling no more than twenty (20) days during the proceedings before this Court." Extensions beyond twenty (20) days are permitted based only on "extraordinary circumstances such as illness or other circumstances beyond the control of the movant [that] warrant the granting of the extension. The parties are warned that the press of other business is not an extraordinary circumstance which will warrant the granting of an extension."