



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

August 13, 2026

The Honorable Renee Elvis
PO Box 677
Conway SC 29528-0677

REMITTITUR

Re: The State v. Kenneth Moorehead
Lower Court Case No. 2023GS2605442, 2023GS2605441
Appellate Case No. 2025-002587

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

Jasmine D. Smith, Deputy

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Kenneth Moorehead
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

The State, Respondent,

v.

Kenneth Brian Moorehead, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2026-000800

RECEIVED

Aug 12 2026

SC Court of Appeals

ORDER

Based on the vote of the Court, the petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

FOR THE COURT

BY Patricia A. Howard
CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina

August 12 2026

cc:

Alan McCrory Wilson

Mark Reynolds Farthing

Kenneth Brian Moorehead

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,


v.

Kenneth Brian Moorehead, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2025-002587

ORDER

After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.¹

	J.
	J.
	J.

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Mar 26 2026

¹ On January 15, 2026, Appellant sought an extension of time in which to fix deficiencies stemming from the petition for rehearing. We grant the extension of time; the proof of service to the petition for rehearing is accepted as filed. We take no action on Appellant's January 16, 2026, which appears to argue the merits of the appeal. Finally, we take no action on Appellant's January 28, 2026 filing, which appears to seek an extension of time, because there were no deadlines applicable to Appellant at that time.

cc:

Kenneth Moorehead

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Kenneth Brian Moorehead, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2025-002587

ORDER

This appeal arises out of a series of emails to the Court from the appellant. It appears the appellant is attempting to appeal from his guilty plea entered on August 11, 2025. After careful consideration, the appeal is dismissed due to the failure to timely serve the notice of appeal. *See* Rule 203(b)(2), SCACR ("After a plea or trial resulting in conviction or a proceeding resulting in revocation of probation, a notice of appeal shall be served on all respondents within ten (10) days after the sentence is imposed."); *State v. Devore*, 416 S.C. 115, 119, 784 S.E.2d 690, 692 (Ct. App. 2016) ("[I]n the absence of a timely served notice of appeal, this court has no jurisdiction."). Because timely service of the notice of appeal is a jurisdictional requirement, we decline to address the appellant's request for an extension of time to file the notice of appeal. The remittitur will be sent pursuant to Rule 221(b), SCACR.



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Kenneth Moorehead

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

FILED
Jan 05 2026
