

# Exhibit C

**RECEIVED**

**Aug 14 2026**

**SC Court of Appeals**

|                                          |   |                              |
|------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA                  | ) | IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS |
| COUNTY OF GREENVILLE                     | ) | THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  |
|                                          | ) |                              |
| Clayton L. Jennings,                     | ) |                              |
|                                          | ) |                              |
| Plaintiff,                               | ) |                              |
|                                          | ) | FINAL ORDER REGARDING        |
| vs.                                      | ) | MOTION TO RECONSIDER         |
|                                          | ) |                              |
| Paul Hulsey, Cherie Durand, Kimberly     | ) |                              |
| Thomason, Devon Puriefoy, Desa           | ) |                              |
| Ballard, Stacey Grist, Margaret Miniard, | ) |                              |
| and John & Jane Does #1-14,              | ) |                              |
|                                          | ) |                              |
| Defendants.                              | ) | Case No.: 2024-CP-23-03271   |

**RECEIVED****Aug 14 2026****SC Court of Appeals**

A Rule 59(e), SCRCP motion to reconsider and to alter or amend has been received, filed with the Court on October 14, 2024, and served on the Court on October 24, 2024. The Motion to Reconsider was properly served on the Court per Rule 59(g), SCRCP. As previously explained to Counsel, pursuant to Rule 59(f), SCRCP, the Court, in its discretion, may decide the motion based on briefs without oral argument. The Court indicated to the parties by prior order in the Initial Order Regarding Motion for Reconsideration that it would decide the issues on written submissions. Further, the Court informed the parties that written submissions were not required by the non-moving party, and that the moving party could rely upon its filings or supplement. The deadlines to file written submissions regarding these motions has now passed.

After careful consideration of the able arguments and filings of Counsel and review of the record, the Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or fact not appropriately considered.

Accordingly, the Motion for Reconsideration made pursuant to Rule 59, SCRCP, <sup>1</sup> is respectfully DENIED.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

\_\_\_\_\_, 2024

\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Jessica Ann Salvini  
Circuit Court

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court, in its discretion, has determined this Motion on the filings, without oral argument, pursuant to Rule 59(f), SCRCP.



Greenville Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Clayton L Jennings vs. Paul Hulsey , defendant, et al

**Case Number:** 2024CP2303271

**Type:** Order/Other

So Ordered

Jessica A. Salvini