

RECEIVED

Aug 18 2026

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Hon. G.D. Morgan, Jr.

Appellate Case No. 2026-000110

IN THE MATTER OF Mary Sloan "Polly" Shoemaker.....Decedent,
James Marshall Shoemaker, III.....Appellant,

v.

Lesley R. Moore, Esq. as Personal Representative and Trustee,
Edward Sloan Shoemaker and Jonathan Evans Shoemaker.....Respondents.

RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD ON APPEAL

Respondents move, pursuant to Rule 212(b), SCACR, to file a Supplemental Record on Appeal. The documents to be included are comprised of documents that were included on Respondents' original Designation of Matter but were left out of the Appellant's Record on Appeal. The additional documents to be included in the Supplemental Record on Appeal are as follows:

1. Appellant's Statement of Issues on Appeal (July 26, 2024)
2. Email from Cason King to Respondents' Counsel on May 23, 2024

Respondents counsel prays this Court grant their motion as these documents contain no matter which is irrelevant to the appeal and should be included in the Record on Appeal. Respondents respectfully request that the Court direct which party should prepare the Supplemental Record on Appeal and that it be done in a timely manner. Additionally, we ask that

the Court stay our time to file our Final Brief until ruling on this motion and, if granted, the filing of the Supplemental Record on Appeal.

August 17, 2026

Respectfully submitted,
**BROWN, MASSEY, EVANS,
McLEOD & HAYNSWORTH,
LLC**

s/ Jenna Hendricks McLeod
Jenna Hendricks McLeod
SC Bar No.: 101236
s/Tyler McLeod
Tyler McLeod
SC Bar No.: 101309
jennamcleod@bmemhlaw.com
tmcleod@bmemhlaw.com
PO Box 2464
Greenville, SC 29602
T: (864) 271-7424
Attorneys for Respondents

From: M. Cason King <cason@legalcarolina.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2024 10:48 AM
To: Jenna McLeod <jennamcleod@bmemhlaw.com>
Cc: Will McKibbon <will@legalcarolina.com>
Subject: Re: Shoemaker appeal notice received today.

[EXTERNAL]
Ms. McLeod,

Thank you for forwarding an electronic copy of the Supplemental Record of Appeal. In order to comply with the requests of the Appellate Court, we need to pick up the box of discovery sent to your office.

I have called several times this morning to speak with someone regarding this request, however no one has been available.

Please let me know the quickest time we can procure this box of discovery.

Thank you in advance for your assistance and cooperation!

Sincerely,

M. Cason King

Business Manager

601 E. McBee Ave., Ste. 104
Greenville, SC 29601
P: 864.235.0071
F: 864.235.0072



WILLIAM R. MCKIBBON III
ATTORNEY AT LAW

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
PROBATE COURT
Hon. Joshua L. Queen

Case No. 2020-ES-23-00073

STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

1. Did the Probate Court abuse its discretion and/or err as a matter of law in striking the pleadings of Appellant as a sanction for Appellant's alleged discovery deficiencies when Appellant had a good faith belief that discovery matters in the case had been stayed pending the result of the appeal of the companion case involving his father's estate?
2. Did the Probate Court abuse its discretion and/or err as a matter of law in striking the pleadings of Appellant as a sanction for Appellant's alleged discovery deficiencies when Appellant did in fact produce full and complete document production to Respondent for inspection and copying as those records were kept and preserved in the ordinary course of business by the Supreme Court of South Carolina from Appellant having previously provided all responsive documents to his initial attorney?
3. Did the Probate Court abuse its discretion and/or err as a matter of law in striking the pleadings of Appellant as a sanction for Appellant's alleged discovery deficiencies when the undisputed facts on record indicate that Appellant exercised good faith in

his own efforts at responses to interrogatories and document production while represented by Mr. Blincow prior to Mr. Blincow's suspension from practice?

4. Did the Probate Court abuse its discretion and/or err as a matter of law in striking the pleadings of Appellant as a sanction for Appellant's alleged discovery deficiencies where the record is absent of evidence of Mr. Shoemaker acting in with purposeful intent, wilful action/inaction, or reckless disregard by Mr. Shoemaker with regard to his providing documents and responses to Respondent's discovery requests?
5. Did the Probate Court abuse its discretion and/or err as a matter of law in striking the pleadings of Appellant as a sanction for Appellant's alleged discovery deficiencies where any such deficiencies were caused and/or reasonably resulted from inadvertence or excusable neglect?
6. Did the Probate Court abuse its discretion and/or err as a matter of law in striking the pleadings of Appellant as a sanction for Appellant's alleged discovery deficiencies where the record reveals that Mr. Shoemaker's first attorney, Mr. Blincow, represented to opposing counsel that all discovery was ready and on his desk to provide to opposing counsel, thus indicating that Appellant had responded.
7. Did the Probate Court abuse its discretion and/or err as a matter of law in striking the pleadings of Appellant as a sanction for Appellant's alleged discovery deficiencies where Respondents refused to review documents provided by Appellant.
8. Did the Probate Court abuse its discretion and/or err as a matter of law in striking the pleadings of Appellant as a sanction for Appellant's alleged discovery deficiencies when Appellant had turned over all documents and responses to his attorney Mr.

Blinchow in a timely manner well before any motion to compel or order had issued from any lower court?

Respectfully submitted,

s/William R. McKibbon III
William R. McKibbon III
SC Bar No.: 68454
601 E. McBee Ave, Ste. 104
Greenville, SC 29601
T: (864) 235-0071
F: (864) 235-0072
will@legalcarolina.com
Attorney for Appellant

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
PROBATE COURT
Hon. Joshua L. Queen

Case No.: 2020-ES-23-00073

IN THE MATTER OF Mary Sloan "Polly" Shoemaker.....Decedent,
James Marshall Shoemaker, III.....Appellant,
v.
Lesley R. Moore, Esq. as Personal Representative and Trustee, and Edward
Sloan Shoemaker and Jonathan Evans Shoemaker as Beneficiaries and as
Individuals.....Respondents.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 26, 2024, I served Appellant's Statement of Issues on Appeal and Respondents, by and through their Counsel of Record, and others if indicated, by filing a copy of same sending a copy via AIS email addressed to counsel of record indicated below and be sending copies of the same to those indicated below by U.S. Mail:

Jenna Hendricks McLeod
Brown, Massey, Evans, McLeod & Haynsworth, LLC
106 Williams Street
Greenville, SC 29601
P.O. Box 2464
Greenville, SC 29602
T: (864) 271-7424
F: (864) 242-6469
jennamcleod@bmemhlaw.com
Attorney for Respondents

I so certify:

s/William R. McKibbon III
William R. McKibbon III
SC Bar No.: 68454
601 E. McBee Ave, Ste. 104
Greenville, SC 29601
T: (864) 235-0071
F: (864) 235-0072
will@legalcarolina.com
Attorney for Appellant