

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Sandra Holmwood and Hugh Price, Appellants,

v.

Lisa Molstad, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2025-001596

ORDER

On August 11, 2025, Appellant appealed from a July 30, 2025 order. On November 10, 2025, the court granted Appellants motion for an extension to file their initial brief and designation of matter. On March 6, 2026, this court granted Appellants' motion to strike counsel's initial brief and accept Appellants' pro se initial brief as filed; denied Appellants' motion to supplement the record; and provided no extensions would be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. On May 15, 2026, the court sent Appellants a deficiency letter stating "the time for filing the proof of service for the record on appeal has expired. Within ten (10) days of the date of this letter, you must file the proof of service for the record on appeal, along with a motion requesting permission to file outside the deadlines . . . or this appeal will be dismissed." In response, Appellant did not file a proof of service evidencing Appellant served Respondent with the record on appeal, but filed deficient motions further requesting an additional extension to compile the record and to amend the record.¹ The May 26, 2026, filings were not responsive to and did not cure the deficiencies noted in this court's letter dated May 15, 2026. Moreover, this court's March 6, 2026 order noted no further extensions would be

¹ Appellants filed motions "for permission to file proof of service of record on appeal outside the deadlines"—that included a proof of service for the record on appeal that did not provide the date in which Respondent was served—and an "amended motion to supplement the record on appeal." We note, the filings evidence pro se Appellants engaging in the improper practice of law. *Brown v. Coe*, 365 S.C. 137, 142, 616 S.E.2d 705, 708 (2005). Indeed, on June 24, 2026, this court issued a letter advising the parties such practice is prohibited.

granted in this appeal absent extraordinary circumstances. On June 9, 2026, Respondent filed a motion to dismiss.² After careful consideration, we deny Appellants' May 26, 2026 motions and grant Respondent's motion to dismiss.³ The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Aug 05 2026

cc:
Sandra Holmwood
Hugh Price
Christian Giresi Spradley, Esquire

² On June 19, 2026, Respondent also filed a "motion to strike document titled 'proof of service of record on appeal' filed May 26, 2026."

³ In light of our decision to grant Respondent's motion to dismiss this appeal, we take no action on Respondent's "motion to strike document titled 'proof of service of record on appeal' filed May 26, 2026."