

EXHIBIT B
FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Berkeley
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2022CP0802548

Andrew Tyler et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

D.R. Horton, Inc et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- ☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- ☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ☐ **ACTION DISMISSED** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRCP; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled);
☐ Other
- ☐ **ACTION STRICKEN** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRCP; ☐ Bankruptcy;
☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
☐ Other
- ☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- ☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):
☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded;
☐ Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☐ See attached order (formal order to follow) ☒ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

See page 2 below.

RECEIVED
Aug 20 2026
SC Court of Appeals

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☐ ends ☒ does not end the case.

☒ See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 07/20/2026 .

JSR Clearing And Grading, Llc
Charleston Tractor Works, Llc
Justin Vining, individually and on behalf of all others
John Doe # 1-5
Charleston Tractor Works, LLC
JSR Clearing and Grading, LLC
Lowcountry Septic System Solutions, LLC

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This matter came before the Court on Defendant D.R. Horton, Inc.'s Motion to Reconsider Order Denying Motion to Compel Arbitration of Crossclaims. After careful review, the Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or fact not appropriately considered. Accordingly, Petitioner's Motion to Reconsider pursuant to SCRCP 59(e) is hereby Denied.

The Court notes that this finding should not be construed as applying to all construction claims. Rather, the Court's findings are limited to the unique circumstances of this case, in which the claims concern only grading work and no evidence of interstate commerce has been presented to the Court. The Court does not address whether a horizontal grading construction claim involving equipment manufactured out of state or utilizing septic tanks from out of state is covered by the FAA because no such arguments were made to the Court. Therefore, that question is reserved for another day.

And is it so Ordered.



Berkeley Common Pleas

Case Caption: Andrew Tyler , plaintiff, et al VS D.R. Horton, Inc , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2022CP0802548
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Patrick C. Fant, III
