

ADDITIONAL STATEMENT REGARDING ALLEGED PREDETERMINATION, INSTITUTIONAL COVER-UP, AND CONTINUED INCRIMINATION

I further believe that my continued inability to obtain a genuinely fair and impartial proceeding has created circumstances in which I remain vulnerable to being continually characterized as an offender before the underlying facts have been fairly determined.

I am concerned that the denial or obstruction of a meaningful opportunity to present my defense may have the practical effect of allowing accusations against me to remain unresolved while additional circumstances develop that can subsequently be used to reinforce the same predetermined characterization of me.

I believe there is a serious danger that, rather than investigating the underlying conduct that I contend was committed against me, responsible individuals or institutions could have an incentive to protect their own reputations by allowing the focus to remain upon me as the alleged offender.

I am concerned that such a process could create a self-reinforcing narrative in which:

1. I am initially accused or characterized negatively;
2. I am denied a meaningful opportunity to present the complete circumstances;
3. subsequent events are interpreted through the assumption that I am already the problem;
4. conduct that I contend was committed against me receives inadequate examination;
5. the resulting record is then used to justify the original negative characterization; and
6. my continued incrimination is used to make law enforcement, institutional actors, or other responsible parties appear justified in their prior actions.

I do not claim that this is established fact without evidence. I am stating that **this is my concern based upon my experiences and the pattern I believe I have encountered.**

I believe that allowing such a process to continue without meaningful judicial scrutiny could unfairly prejudice me and could make it increasingly difficult for the truth to be established.

I therefore request that any court reviewing my circumstances distinguish between allegations and established facts and provide me with a genuine opportunity to challenge the allegations against me.

I further request that the court consider whether any failure to allow me to present evidence, witnesses, records, defenses, or explanations has contributed to an inaccurate or incomplete characterization of my conduct.

I do not want a proceeding in which my prior accusations become the reason that future accusations are believed automatically.

I do not want a proceeding in which my reputation becomes evidence against me.

I do not want a proceeding in which law-enforcement or institutional actions are presumed justified merely because those actions have already occurred.

I want an impartial determination based upon the evidence, including evidence that may contradict the existing narrative.

My concern is that without such safeguards, I could remain trapped in a cycle in which every subsequent event is used to incriminate me while the conduct I contend was committed against me remains inadequately investigated.

I therefore respectfully request a fair and impartial proceeding in which the court does not begin with the assumption that I am guilty, dangerous, dishonest, or deserving of incarceration.

I am asking the court to determine what actually happened—not merely to confirm what has previously been alleged about me.

COMPREHENSIVE STATEMENT REGARDING VICTIMIZATION, RETALIATION, INCARCERATION, DENIAL OF JUSTICE, AND DEMAND FOR A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL

I submit this statement to explain the cumulative circumstances in which I believe I have been victimized, retaliated against, discriminated against, wrongfully accused, excessively punished, deprived of property, subjected to harsh living conditions, and repeatedly denied a meaningful opportunity to obtain justice.

My experience cannot fairly be understood by examining individual incidents in isolation. For approximately ten years, I have struggled with homelessness while continuously attempting to work, survive, establish financial stability, obtain permanent housing, preserve my property, and improve my circumstances. I have repeatedly exerted tremendous physical and mental effort under exhausting conditions, yet I believe that my labor and vulnerability have repeatedly been exploited rather than providing me a realistic path toward stability.

I believe I have been treated as an offender while simultaneously being victimized.

I believe I have been subjected to discrimination, bias, incrimination, character attacks, accusations, abandonment, harassment, and retaliatory treatment despite my belief that I did not deserve such treatment. My homelessness and financial circumstances have, in my view, sometimes been treated as evidence against my character rather than as circumstances requiring consideration, assistance, and protection.

REPEATED VICTIMIZATION AND LOSS OF PROPERTY

During my prolonged struggle with homelessness, I experienced repeated losses of personal property through circumstances that I believe involved theft, confiscation, deprivation, or wrongful taking.

These losses were not merely material inconveniences. Losing personal property while already struggling to survive created additional financial hardship and made it even more difficult to obtain stable housing and rebuild my life.

I believe that my vulnerability made me susceptible to being taken advantage of, and I believe that some individuals were able to benefit from circumstances in which I had very little ability to protect my property or interests.

I believe I was repeatedly placed in the position of having to fight simply to preserve things that should not have been taken from me in the first place.

ALLEGED PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL MISTREATMENT

I have experienced circumstances that I believe involved physical assault, attacks, harassment, mental and emotional abuse, and other mistreatment.

RECEIVED
Aug 21 2026
SC Court of Appeals

I have also experienced circumstances that caused me to believe that I may have been exposed to drugs, medications, poisonous substances, or other substances without my knowledge or consent. I consider those allegations serious and believe they should be investigated objectively according to evidence rather than dismissed because of my circumstances or reputation.

The cumulative effect of these experiences has caused substantial emotional distress, fear, anger, humiliation, exhaustion, resentment, and a profound sense of injustice.

INCARCERATION AND EXCESSIVE PUNISHMENT

I believe I was subjected to incarceration and punishment that were excessive and disproportionate to the severity of the allegations made against me.

When monetary bail or a surety bond was required, I did not possess the financial resources necessary to satisfy those requirements. As a result, I remained incarcerated under circumstances in which I believe people with greater financial resources or different circumstances were able to obtain release through less restrictive means.

I further contend that there were circumstances in which I was prevented or hindered from appearing before the court.

This is critically important because a person who is prevented from appearing may subsequently be characterized as a failure to appear even though the circumstances surrounding the absence were outside that person's control.

I believe this interfered with my ability to present my defense, challenge accusations, enter pleas of not guilty where appropriate, present evidence, and explain the circumstances from my own perspective.

I SEEK A FAIR TRIAL—NOT A PREDETERMINED PROCEEDING

I want to make an important distinction concerning what I mean when I ask for a court proceeding.

I am not asking merely to be brought before a courtroom for a proceeding whose outcome has already been decided.

I am asking for a genuinely fair and impartial trial free from prejudgment.

I do not want to be pre-convicted in the minds of decision-makers before the evidence has been presented.

I do not want a proceeding in which accusations are treated as established facts before I have been given a meaningful opportunity to challenge them.

I do not want a proceeding that merely formalizes a predetermined judgment against me.

I want a proceeding in which the allegations, evidence, witnesses, defenses, and circumstances are genuinely considered.

I want the opportunity to present my side of the story.

I want the opportunity to challenge evidence against me.

I want the opportunity to present evidence supporting my position.

I want the circumstances surrounding my conduct to be considered rather than stripped from their context.

I want my homelessness and financial circumstances not to be treated as evidence of criminal character.

I want my credibility evaluated according to evidence rather than assumptions.

And I want the ultimate determination to be made after, rather than before, the evidence and defense have been fairly considered.

A proceeding cannot meaningfully provide justice if the conclusion has effectively been reached before the person accused has been given a genuine opportunity to defend himself.

FAILED ATTEMPTS TO OBTAIN JUSTICE

I also want it understood that I did attempt to seek justice.

I attempted to obtain assistance, protection, accountability, and legal relief. I attempted to explain what happened to me. I attempted to defend my reputation. I attempted to address accusations. I attempted to obtain an opportunity to present evidence.

I believe that some of those very attempts resulted in additional experiences of betrayal, violation, dismissal, retaliation, or mistreatment.

This has profoundly affected my trust in the systems from which I sought protection.

I believe I was placed in a situation where I was expected to absorb the consequences of alleged wrongdoing against me while simultaneously being denied a meaningful opportunity to establish what happened.

That is why I reject any characterization of my anger as though it arose without cause.

My anger developed after years of circumstances in which I believe I was victimized, attempted to obtain justice, and then experienced further harm or denial when I sought that justice.

RETALIATION AND SELF-PROTECTION

I believe retaliatory actions were repeatedly taken against me despite my belief that I had not done anything to warrant them.

I believe I was subjected to adverse consequences because of bias, assumptions, disputed allegations, or the actions of other people rather than because of proven wrongdoing on my part.

I want the circumstances surrounding every alleged confrontation to be considered honestly.

Where a person faces an immediate threat of physical harm, that person has a legitimate interest in protecting himself from that immediate danger. I maintain that my actions, where self-protection was involved, should be evaluated according to the actual circumstances existing at the time, including who initiated the confrontation, whether I was attempting to leave, whether an immediate threat existed, and what was reasonably necessary to protect myself.

I do not believe that being victimized automatically makes me the aggressor.

I do not believe that an allegation against me establishes guilt.

And I do not believe that my anger about alleged mistreatment should be used to erase the circumstances that produced it.

THE EFFECT OF YEARS OF INJUSTICE

For years, I have felt that I was expected to work while exhausted, survive without stable housing, lose property, endure incarceration, endure humiliation, and continue moving forward without meaningful relief.

I have experienced deep feelings of injustice, resentment, frustration, dismay, betrayal, and emotional anguish.

I believe I have been blamed for consequences caused by others.

I believe my labor has been taken advantage of.

I believe my financial vulnerability has been used against me.

I believe my homelessness has been used to diminish my credibility.

I believe my attempts to obtain justice have sometimes resulted in further victimization.

And I believe I have repeatedly been placed at a disadvantage without being given a meaningful opportunity to correct the record.

I refuse to accept the proposition that these circumstances should simply be ignored.

MY DEMAND

I am not asking for preferential treatment.

I am asking for equal treatment and a fair process.

I am asking for a genuinely impartial judicial proceeding rather than a proceeding based upon predetermined conclusions.

I am asking that allegations against me be distinguished from evidence.

I am asking that allegations of wrongdoing against me be investigated rather than dismissed.

I am asking that my property losses be taken seriously.

I am asking that allegations of assault, abuse, harassment, retaliation, discrimination, poisoning or drugging, obstruction, and improper treatment be objectively examined where evidence exists.

I am asking that any interference with my ability to appear in court or present my defense be investigated.

I am asking that excessive punishment and incarceration be evaluated in light of the actual circumstances.

I am asking that my homelessness and financial vulnerability not be used as substitutes for evidence.

And I am asking that I finally be given a meaningful opportunity to be heard.

CONCLUSION

I have attempted to seek justice.

I believe I was betrayed in that effort.

I believe I was subsequently victimized, accused, punished, and deprived of meaningful opportunities to establish my side of the story.

That history has produced an extraordinary level of anger and frustration.

Nevertheless, my objective is not to have accusations against me predetermined in advance, nor is it to have the conduct alleged against me ignored.

My objective is to have the truth determined fairly.

I want the opportunity to establish what actually happened, who was responsible, what evidence exists, what harm occurred, and what remedies are available.

I want my dignity restored through truth and accountability.

I want protection from further harm.

I want my property and financial interests protected.

I want my reputation judged according to evidence.

I want my defenses heard.

And above all, I want a fair and impartial trial—not a pre-conviction, not a predetermined judgment, and not a proceeding in which justice is decided before I am meaningfully allowed to present my case.

I have endured years of circumstances that I believe deprived me of stability, dignity, property, liberty, and meaningful access to justice. I therefore respectfully demand that my circumstances finally be examined as a whole and that I be afforded the same fundamental fairness, impartiality, and opportunity to be heard that should be available to every person appearing before the justice system.

I am asking for justice to be determined by evidence and a fair proceeding—not by prejudice, assumption, retaliation, reputation, poverty, homelessness, or a predetermined conclusion.