

From: [Jason Fetzer](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Subject: Appellant case num: 2026-001041
Date: Friday, August 21, 2026 7:13:45 PM
Attachments: [COMPREHENSIVE STATEMENT REGARDING VICTIMIZATION, RETALIATION, INCARCERATION, DENIAL OF JUSTICE, AND DEMAND FOR A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL.pdf](#)
[ADDITIONAL STATEMENT REGARDING ALLEGED PREDETERMINATION, INSTITUTIONAL COVER-UP, AND CONTINUED INCRIMINATION.pdf](#)

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

ADDITIONAL STATEMENT REGARDING ALLEGED PREDETERMINATION, INSTITUTIONAL COVER-UP, AND CONTINUED INCRIMINATION

I further believe that my continued inability to obtain a genuinely fair and impartial proceeding has created circumstances in which I remain vulnerable to being continually characterized as an offender before the underlying facts have been fairly determined.

I am concerned that the denial or obstruction of a meaningful opportunity to present my defense may have the practical effect of allowing accusations against me to remain unresolved while additional circumstances develop that can subsequently be used to reinforce the same predetermined characterization of me.

I believe there is a serious danger that, rather than investigating the underlying conduct that I contend was committed against me, responsible individuals or institutions could have an incentive to protect their own reputations by allowing the focus to remain upon me as the alleged offender.

I am concerned that such a process could create a self-reinforcing narrative in which:

1. I am initially accused or characterized negatively;
2. I am denied a meaningful opportunity to present the complete circumstances;
3. subsequent events are interpreted through the assumption that I am already the problem;
4. conduct that I contend was committed against me receives inadequate examination;
5. the resulting record is then used to justify the original negative characterization; and
6. my continued incrimination is used to make law enforcement, institutional actors, or other responsible parties appear justified in their prior actions.

I do not claim that this is established fact without evidence. I am stating that this is my concern based upon my experiences and the pattern I believe I have encountered.

I believe that allowing such a process to continue without meaningful judicial scrutiny could unfairly prejudice me and could make it increasingly difficult for the truth to be established.

I therefore request that any court reviewing my circumstances distinguish between allegations and established facts and provide me with a genuine opportunity to challenge the allegations against me.

I further request that the court consider whether any failure to allow me to present evidence, witnesses, records, defenses, or explanations has contributed to an inaccurate or incomplete

characterization of my conduct.

I do not want a proceeding in which my prior accusations become the reason that future accusations are believed automatically.

I do not want a proceeding in which my reputation becomes evidence against me.

I do not want a proceeding in which law-enforcement or institutional actions are presumed justified merely because those actions have already occurred.

I want an impartial determination based upon the evidence, including evidence that may contradict the existing narrative.

My concern is that without such safeguards, I could remain trapped in a cycle in which every subsequent event is used to incriminate me while the conduct I contend was committed against me remains inadequately investigated.

I therefore respectfully request a fair and impartial proceeding in which the court does not begin with the assumption that I am guilty, dangerous, dishonest, or deserving of incarceration.

I am asking the court to determine what actually happened—not merely to confirm what has previously been alleged about me.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENT REGARDING ALLEGED PREDETERMINATION, INSTITUTIONAL COVER-UP, AND CONTINUED INCRIMINATION

I further believe that my continued inability to obtain a genuinely fair and impartial proceeding has created circumstances in which I remain vulnerable to being continually characterized as an offender before the underlying facts have been fairly determined.

I am concerned that the denial or obstruction of a meaningful opportunity to present my defense may have the practical effect of allowing accusations against me to remain unresolved while additional circumstances develop that can subsequently be used to reinforce the same predetermined characterization of me.

I believe there is a serious danger that, rather than investigating the underlying conduct that I contend was committed against me, responsible individuals or institutions could have an incentive to protect their own reputations by allowing the focus to remain upon me as the alleged offender.

I am concerned that such a process could create a self-reinforcing narrative in which:

1. I am initially accused or characterized negatively;
2. I am denied a meaningful opportunity to present the complete circumstances;
3. subsequent events are interpreted through the assumption that I am already the problem;
4. conduct that I contend was committed against me receives inadequate examination;
5. the resulting record is then used to justify the original negative characterization; and
6. my continued incrimination is used to make law enforcement, institutional actors, or other responsible parties appear justified in their prior actions.

I do not claim that this is established fact without evidence. I am stating that this is my concern based upon my experiences and the pattern I believe I have encountered.

I believe that allowing such a process to continue without meaningful judicial scrutiny could unfairly prejudice me and could make it increasingly difficult for the truth to be established.

I therefore request that any court reviewing my circumstances distinguish between allegations and established facts and provide me with a genuine opportunity to challenge the allegations against me.

I further request that the court consider whether any failure to allow me to present evidence, witnesses, records, defenses, or explanations has contributed to an inaccurate or incomplete characterization of my conduct.

I do not want a proceeding in which my prior accusations become the reason that future accusations are believed automatically.

I do not want a proceeding in which my reputation becomes evidence against me.

I do not want a proceeding in which law-enforcement or institutional actions are presumed justified merely because those actions have already occurred.

I want an impartial determination based upon the evidence, including evidence that may contradict the existing narrative.

My concern is that without such safeguards, I could remain trapped in a cycle in which every subsequent event is used to incriminate me while the conduct I contend was committed against me remains inadequately investigated.

I therefore respectfully request a fair and impartial proceeding in which the court does not begin with the assumption that I am guilty, dangerous, dishonest, or deserving of incarceration.

I am asking the court to determine what actually happened—not merely to confirm what has previously been alleged about me.