

RECEIVED

Aug 27 2026

S.C. SUPREME COURT

From: [shasha Rawlinson](#)
To: [Supreme Court Filings](#)
Subject: Fw: Corrected Petition
Date: Thursday, August 27, 2026 5:47:47 PM

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: shasha Rawlinson <shasharawlinson08@aol.com>
To: supctfilings@sccourts.org <supctfilings@sccourts.org>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2026 at 05:02:13 PM EDT
Subject: Re: Petition

On Thursday, August 27, 2026 at 01:39:55 PM EDT, shasha Rawlinson <shasharawlinson08@aol.com> wrote:

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM SOUTH CAROLINA
Court of Appeals
Daniel Coble, Circuit Court Judge

Opinion No. 2026-0000980(S.C. Ct. App. filed April 21, 2026

Homes of Hope,
Respondent,
v.

Shasha Rawlinson , Petitioner.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Shasha Rawlinson
1812 Wiley Street
Columbia, SC 29205

(803)669-1849

INDEX

ISSUE PRESENTED.....

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....

STANDARD OF REVIEW.....

ARGUMENT.....

CONCLUSION.....

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

Counsel for petitioner certifies that the Petition for Rehearing was

made and finally ruled
on by the Court of Appeals on August 18, 2026.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On April 21, 2026, Homes of Hope brought this action a case . . .
.

1.Homes of Hope filed an unlawful eviction due to retaliation and allegations against me that were false causing emotional distress presenting hearing that was scheduled February 17, 2026.

2.I asked Olympia Magistrate & employees in writing by email that I needed a continuance for an emergency in the family . I was told from the email sent from Olympia Magistrate my request would be given to judge, but usually it's only granted if it's pertaining to me. Employees requesting my id in order to obtain information to pursue my complaint.

3.The judge at the Olympia Magistrate denied the continuance and proceeded to have a virtual hearing.

4.The day of the hearing (February 17, 2026) not able to attend due to technical issues. I signed into the virtual meeting but no one was there, just me and another individual that had court. We both agreed to end the call after 30 minutes or longer because no judge or court official was on the call and believed it was an error or miscommunication.

5.I contacted the Olympia Magistrate and advised me sign back in, it wouldn't allow me to sign back in, Olympia Magistrate said the judge filed the eviction.

6.I appealed the decision with Court of Appeals, I paid the filing fee because my motion for hardship was denied to have the fees waived. My motion was granted to stay April 22, 2026 , the eviction was stopped.

7.I would receive emails and phone calls from the Olympia Magistrate asking when my hearing would be and denied my method of payment when I or family tried to submit tender.

8. The behavior from the Olympia Magistrate was obsolete and boast about this case and would continue to receive eviction notices , updates that calls were made to different court houses to see when the results would unfold.

9.I filed another motion with Court of Appeals June 4, 2026 and was advised my motion to reinstate would be heard. I mailed my filing fees and received notification it was received on June 12, 2026.

10.The same paper work filed June 4, 2026 was sent to me again with another stamp dated June 16, 2026. The motions filed were to stay and to reinstate.

11.When I received the email about the decision on August 18, 2026 , I sent them a motion for Emergency to Stay because I just had surgery.

12. I advised the Court of Appeals by email that I did not receive a receipt that both payments were sent in for the \$50 each, notified the Court that the money is not accounted for that I sent.

13.Enclosed is a copy of the motions and correspondence received from the Court of Appeals throughout this process.

14. The correspondence from the employees at the Court of Appeals were from different employees, never aware of who they were and send the email attachments before the close of the business day. The signatures are also unclear and that raised some confusion ,
misunderstanding of the determinations and decisions made to identify them.

15. I am asking to a review of documents presented and the correspondence received to ensure that I was treated fairly , my argument was heard correctly and the decision that was made wasn't not biased or erroneous. I am also seeking clarification and relief to the email I received on August 18, 2026 with an 90 day extension and to have the writ of ejection dismissed under pretense. As I followed the rules, paid the fees as advised from court officials and employees. Filed necessary documents and received approval as unforeseen expectations occurred from the actions.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari should be granted.

Respectful submitted,
Shasha Rawlinson

Shasha Rawlinson
1812 Wiley Street
Columbia , SC29205

Shasharawlinson08@aol.com
(803)669-1849

