

RECEIVED
Aug 31 2026
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM LEXINGTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Bentley Price, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2024-000420

Crescent Roofing & Remodeling, LLC, Respondent,

v.

Eric Ragsdale, Appellant.

OBJECTION AND MOVE TO STRIKE
RESPONDENT'S LETTER NOT IN COMPLIANCE
WITH SCACR RULE 267

The Appellant objects to the Respondent's letter dated August 26, 2026, that fails to meet proper compliance required by SCACR Rule 267, and not the proper foundation before the court for consideration and such declaration attempts to undermine the credibility of the Appellate.

The clerks of the appellate court shall insure compliance with this Rule before accepting any papers for filing SCACR (g) compliance. **SCACR Rule 267 (a) Captions.** All documents filed in the appellate court shall be headed by a caption.

The Respondent counsel of record or the counsel's supervised paralegal, has in the process of the appeal, submitted pleadings in letter form in numerous filings to the court. These letters have been entertained, most often the rulings were in favor of the Appellant but lead to

conclusive interpret actions contrary to the SCACR Rules that the Appellant has been directed to follow by order in multiple pleadings in the right format, although inartful.

Unlike the skilled trained attorney representing the Respondent, with tactical advantage, Respondent's counsel has knowledge of the proper execution of motions, formatting and or processes. The Appellant with limited knowledge, legal training or experience, attempts of the *means of arriving at fair and just settlement of controversies*¹ between the above captioned matter that has been ineffective by barriers of procedural ignorance.

The Appellant filed digitally the record on appeal and was asked to file a hard bound copy in addition to the digital filing. Respondent of record was provided by US mail, postage prepaid, copy of same, in the exact US postal service outlet provided to the court.

Respondent counsel concerning the record on appeal alluded to Appellants record in former pleadings of delayed mail with exhibits of received damaged package of the provided record.

Appellate moves to strike letter of Respondent, as improper before the Court and prejudicial.

Eric Ragsdale

Eric Ragsdale, Prose
Appellant
121 Shumpert Rd
West Columbia S.C. 29172
Ericragsdale60@gmail.com
803 309-0539

August 30, 2026

¹ Maty v. Grasselli Chemical Co., 303 U.S. 197 (1938)

"Pleadings are intended to serve as a means of arriving at fair and just settlements of controversies between litigants. They should not raise barriers which prevent the achievement of that end. Proper pleading is important, but its importance consists in its effectiveness as a means to accomplish the end of a just judgment."