

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Charleston
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2025CP1006746

Micheal C Ford et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

John Doe et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- ☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- ☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ☐ **ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRPC; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
☐ Other
- ☐ **ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRPC; ☐ Bankruptcy;
☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
☐ Other
- ☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- ☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded;
☐ Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☒ See attached order (formal order to follow) ☐ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

See below

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2026

SC Court of Appeals

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☐ ends ☒ does not end the case.☒ See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 07/31/2026 .

Micheal Christopher Ford for Micheal Christopher Ford
Micheal Christopher Ford for Micheal Christopher Ford, Micheal Christopher Ford
Michael C Ford for Michael C Ford
Michael C Ford for Michael C Ford
Micheal C Ford for Micheal C Ford
Micheal Christopher Ford for Micheal Christopher Ford
Micheal C Ford for Micheal C Ford

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This matter was before the Court on July 31, 2026 for multiple motions. Plaintiff appeared pro se. All parties were represented by counsel. Of the various motions before for the Court, except for those addressed below, all other motions were CONTINUED on consent of the parties.

The Court heard Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Quash Lien (filed 3/2/2026) and a renewed Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Quash filed on 7/27/2026, which was heard by consent of the parties who waived the 10 day notice requirement.

Upon the arguments presented the Motion to Quash is DENIED without prejudice to Plaintiff's ability to argue against the amount of the lien, if/when any action is taken to collect upon the lien.

Further, to the extent Plaintiff requests an order of this court enjoining Honda Financial, Honda Financial is not a party to this action.

For the reasons stated above these motions are DENIED. All other motions that were scheduled for 7/31/2026, are hereby continued.

END OF ORDER.



Charleston Common Pleas

Case Caption: Micheal C Ford , plaintiff, et al VS John Doe , defendant, et al
Case Number: 2025CP1006746
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ T.J. Rode (#2792)
