

FILED: 26AUG6PM4:28
COC JAY GRESHAM GUL SC

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE)

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
Plaintiff,)

Case Nos.: 2024A2330207696;
2024A2330208875;
2024A2330208898;
2024A2330208984;
2024A2330209744.

vs.)


PHILLIP CHARLES REEVES,)
Defendant.)

**Order Denying
Defendant's Motion to Recuse
William Douglas Richardson**

Phillip Charles Reeves submitted a Motion to Recuse William Douglas Richardson, which was filed with the Greenville County Clerk of Court on July 30, 2026, concerning case numbers 2024A2330207696, 2024A2330208875, 2024A2330208898, 2024A2330208984, 2024A2330209744. The Defendant's Motion to Recuse William Douglas Richardson is denied without the necessity of a formal hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

August 4, 2026
Anderson, South Carolina



R. Lawton McIntosh
Circuit Court Judge

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2026

SC Court of Appeals

MTR
WDR

FILED: 26AUG6PM4:28
COC JAY GRESHAM GUL SC

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE)

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
Plaintiff,)

Case Nos.: 2024A2330207696;
2024A2330208875;
2024A2330208898;
2024A2330208984;
2024A2330209744.

vs.)


Order

PHILLIP CHARLES REEVES,)
Defendant.)

IT IS SO ORDERED that **Phillip Charles Reeves** shall be and hereby is prohibited from filing any further pleadings, motions, and/or filings without prior approval from the Chief Administrative Judge of General Sessions for the 13th Judicial Circuit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Greenville Clerk of Court is prohibited from accepting any further filings from Phillip Charles Reeves without having prior approval from the Chief Administrative Judge of General Sessions for the 13th Judicial Circuit.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


R. Lawton McIntosh
Circuit Court Judge

August 4, 2026
Anderson, South Carolina

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2026

SC Court of Appeals

NAZI

AUG 28 2026

SC Court of Appeals

FILED: 26JUL30PM4:08
COC JAY GRESHAM CIVIL SC

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) (Please Return A Clockstamp Copy)

V.

) C/A NO. 2024A 233020 (7696, 8873, 8898, 8984, 9744)

PHILIP C PEEVES

) Motion to Recuse

Now comes the defendant (PCR) by the way of this motion to recuse the States prosecutor William Douglas Richardson (WDR) from the above caption case number(s) or an hearing to be had. Since the arrest on (Sept 14th, 2024) of (PCR), he believes and has reason(s) to believe (WDR) unprofessional conduct reflect patterns of recklessness in only seeking the interest of the State, selfish motivation, and protecting the interest of his "drinking buddies", by not seeking justice and justice alone with the "win at all cost" attitude that permeates his office and the office of his peers, see (State v. Inman, 395 SC 539, N.9 (SC 2012)). Despite (PCR) continued filings on (WDR), he is not being held accountable for his actions and has made an mockery of the criminal justice system making reckless and irrational decisions towards (PCR), see (Narrative). (WDR) continues to prosecute (PCR) despite (1) the knowing of absense in probable cause and the lacking in all due process (substantive and procedural); (2) the states witness Corpus is solely on theory, suspicion, and belief as the case is a "solely circumstantial case"; and (3) (WDR) role as an "gatekeeper" continuing to shut out (PCR) at every turn, see (Public Index). (WDR) seems to continue weaponizing the criminal justice system for selfish motives and abuse the Grand Jury process as "mere playthings of prosecutors" or fabricate them altogether. Allegedly on (March 18th, 2025) indictments were returned True Bill despite (WDR) being informed of an Addendum on (Feb 14th, 2025). A deputy corner's case report was discovered to intentionally or mistakenly misstate the Medical Examiner's determination of the manner of death as "homicide" when in fact it's been "undetermined". (WDR) continues to embark on "fishing expeditions" and suppress exculpatory evidence and evidence to impeach, and using fabricated evidence to inculcate (PCR). For the foregoing reasons stated above and the interest of justice, the defendant respectfully request to recuse Richardson or an hearing conducted to dispute facts.

7-27-26

PLC

C

P-1
MTR

NARRATIVE BRIEF

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

On 6-19-24 at approx. 11:20 AM in the Greenville County Courthouse for a "Motion to relieve as counsel" with Judge R. Lawton McIntosh presiding (RLM).

During this hearing it was stated by William Yarborough (YG) among other words "Mr. Reeves has a great case to win"; "this case is solely based on circumstantial evidence"; "there are major discrepancies with the coroners office"; and "there is more evidence the State has come forward with that has not been presented to Reeves". (RLM) asked the solicitor, over the case, (Douglas Richardson (DR)) "what's going on with this case" and (DR) replied, "Well your honor we are still waiting on forensics"; among other excuses. Reeves looked at (DR) baffled and confused, as to, where was due process, that he (Reeves) has been seized and detained and accused for murdering his friend on theories and beliefs since (Sept 18th, 2024). Furthermore the Medical Examiners determination of her manner of death as "undetermined" and not a homicide or murder.

(RLM) began to ask Reeves questions for his Pro-se rights. Once Reeves regained his rights to be pro-se Reeves thanked (RLM) and immediately moved in open court to "dismiss the indictments for lack of evidence." (RLM) told Reeves "we are not here today for that." Reeves asked (RLM) among other words "none of the charges are indicted by a constituted Grand Jury" after a short pause and no rebuttal by (DR), Reeves stated "this case is improper before this court"; "how are you (RLM) allowing this case to move forward"; and "the state (DR) is not complying with Rule 5 and Brady in the new Order by C.J. John W. Kittredge." (RLM) continued stonewalling Reeves at every angle and ignoring his (Reeves) concerns. Reeves further stated "I have not been afforded one pretrial setting to establish probable cause", but nothing from (RLM). P-2

*Under 28 U.S.C § 1746 I Phillip Reeves declare that the

following is TRUE and VALID under the penalty of perjury. 7-6-24 *Plus C*

WILLIAM G. YARBOROUGH III, ATTORNEY AT LAW, LLC

308 West Stone Avenue Greenville, SC 29609 • Office: (864) 331-1612 • Bill@wgylaw.com

March 2, 2026

VIA USPS MAIL

Phillip Reeves, 0137
Greenville County Detention Center
20 McGee Street
Greenville, SC 29601

RE: Response to 2-24-26 letter


Mr. Reeves,

As you know, the judge ruled that I am your lawyer. The judge also directed you not to file any more motions regarding your representation or you will be held in contempt. The judge told you that you should not file any motions since you have representation. *

As mentioned in your letter, "last time I received any discovery it was duplicates and very vague", the duplicates were what has already been provided to you by the Solicitor's Office when you were *Pro Se*. My office has provided you what has been provided to us by the Solicitor, and we have told you that numerous times. We are still waiting on the lab results.

Per the conversation today, we are working on downloading all of the discovery on a thumb drive to put in your property. We are also working on getting you more of the pictures, and the geo overview of the creek near the gas station.

Sincerely,


William G. Yarborough

WGY/ldc
Enclosures

WGY
RLM



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

William M. Blich, Jr.
Disciplinary Counsel

Ericka M. Williams
Deputy Disciplinary Counsel

Post Office Box 12159
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Telephone: (803) 734-2038
Fax: (803) 734-1964

April 1, 2026

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Phillip Charles Reeves #0137
Greenville County Detention Center
20 McGee Street
Greenville, SC 29601

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2026

SC Court of Appeals

RE: Judge: Circuit Court Judge The Honorable Rivers Lawton McIntosh
South Carolina Circuit Court, Tenth Circuit
Matter Number: 26-DE-J-0111

Dear Mr. Reeves:

We have received your complaint about Circuit Court Judge The Honorable Rivers Lawton McIntosh. You will not necessarily be contacted prior to a decision regarding your complaint; therefore, if you have any additional information or documentation to support your allegations, you should submit it at this time.

Please note that the authority of this office and the jurisdiction of the Commission on Judicial Conduct are limited to issues of whether a judge has committed misconduct pursuant to the Rules for Judicial Disciplinary Enforcement, Rule 502, SCACR. When misconduct is found, sanctions may be imposed.

Please be aware that we cannot provide you with legal assistance or advice. Additionally, we do not have any authority to intervene in a pending matter. If you believe your rights have been violated or your interests have been compromised, you should promptly seek the assistance or advice of counsel to determine your legal options.

It is often many months after receipt of a complaint before a final decision is made. After our review, you will be notified of the final disposition of your complaint. Please refer to the file number listed above in any future correspondence to this office concerning this matter. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

s/ William M. Blich, Jr.

William M. Blich, Jr.

WMB/ *lsk*

*OSC
RLM-1*



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

William M. Blitch, Jr.
Disciplinary Counsel

Daniel G. Hayes
Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

Post Office Box 12159
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Telephone: (803) 734-2038
Fax: (803) 734-1964

June 25, 2026

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Phillip Charles Reeves #0137
Greenville County Detention Center
20 McGee Street
Greenville, SC 29601

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2026

SC Court of Appeals

Re: Judge: Circuit Court Judge R. Lawton McIntosh
South Carolina Circuit Court, Tenth Circuit
Matter Number: 26-DE-J-0111


Dear Mr. Reeves:

This office has received your complaint involving Circuit Court Judge R. Lawton McIntosh. The authority of this office and the jurisdiction of the Commission on Judicial Conduct concerning complaints against judges are limited to issues of whether a judge has committed misconduct or is incapacitated within the guidelines of the Rules for Judicial Disciplinary Enforcement, Rule 502, SCACR, adopted by the Supreme Court of South Carolina.

These rules do not apply to questions about whether or not the outcome of a case handled by a judge was correct or fair. We do not have the authority to intervene in any matter presently pending before a court, nor may we change any decision of a court or the outcome of a case. Further, we do not have the authority to provide advice or assistance to you. If you believe your rights have been violated or your interests have been compromised, we encourage you to speak with a lawyer to determine your legal options.

We have evaluated your complaint and other information available to our office, including information in the public domain. Based upon our evaluation of all available information, your complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 19(a), RJDE.

Sincerely,


Daniel G. Hayes

DGH/clg

ODC
RLM-2