

RECEIVED

Aug 27 2026

8/27/2026

SC Court of Appeals

Conflict of Interest with Dismissal...

To whom it may concern,

My name is Vanya Murphy. Mr. Murphy wishes to address the courts with eloiah 48:22 "There is no peace" says the Lord, "for the wicked." Uthomesher Deneisha is at the court of appeals office, who has hung up on Mr. Murphy twice. Deneisha acts as if my civil suit is coming from her personal account... Elo et? These are facts and Mr. Murphy has recordings of asking questions that are too tough to answer and being met with ignorance. Judge Stephanie McDonald is a Conflict of Interest to case 2026-000315 because she ruled on a corrupt case 2021-000102 in 2021. Judge McDonald will have EVERY JUDGE who is signing off without knowledge of the true reason she is dismissing my motions to be serving Prison Time because I have submitted more than enough time to look over ALL DOCUMENTS submitted. You have 3 judges sign for dismissing a rehearing but not one can grant a forma Pauperis or give reason as to why specifically

why I can't proceed sounds suspect. The \$50 paid was for a Judge to examine and determine what took place and the best defense was to Dismiss a Rehearing, I construe this as absent-minded and Foolish to state Rehearing if it wasn't heard in the first place if I didn't pay the filing fee (\$60). Rehearing means you heard the case and didn't find fault, so if you hadn't heard the case did you dismiss the Rehearing based on WHAT!!! Not to recall Judge Vinson that was already done on 5-29-26. So are you telling me you are still stealing my money for continuing to cover-up a crime. Mr. Murphy does not negotiate or compromise for a case that has been over years ago. God's wrath will continue to fall as in Exodus Chapter 5 through 14 your hearts are hardened because of Shame and Pride that you were taken down by someone you thought of as a simple peasant and found

A statement was made that I didn't want anything but to expose corruption, you refused to allow me to do this in PEACE without targeting me, so now its time for RETRIBUTION... The statement was made because the Judge said I wasn't worthy.

but I am "The Apple of God's Eye" I have forgave in My Heart, Now its time to sue in Court!!!

Proverbs 6:31 "Yet if he is caught, he must pay sevenfold, though at least him all the wealth of his house."

If all of my claims are found to be true and the courts are continuing their actions to deceive the public is a Shame & Disgrace. If the appeals court does not address my concerns in the next 24 hours Ms. Murphy will push with everything and force to ensure Prison Time and No Plea Deals.

(Go Back To The Drawing Board)

Please and Thank you

Larry Murphy

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

~~At 0000~~ R10, Plaintiff,

v.

Chester County School District, Defender Services, Inc.,
and Steve M. Bright, individually and as agent of
Defender Services, Inc., Respondents,

Of whom Tanya Murphy is the Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2021-000102

ORDER

Appellant has filed a motion, which this Court construes as a petition to rehear the dismissal of this appeal. After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

James E. Echling C.J.
H. B. White J.
Stephen P. McDonald J.

FILED
Jun 16 2021

Conflict
of
Interest

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Tanya Murphy
Cory E. Manning, Esquire
Adam Jesse Hegler, Esquire
Joseph Alexander Sherard, Esquire
Ashley Cole Story, Esquire
Andrea Eaton White, Esquire
Edward Scott Winburn, Esquire

NO JUDGES THAT
ARE ALREADY CAUGHT!!!
Any judges that take part
in this corruption will be
prosecuted to the fullest...



← Order (36).pdf

**The South Carolina Court of Appeals**

Tanya Murphy, Appellant,

v.

Best Way Inn, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2026-000315

ORDER

After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.

H. Bruce W. Dean C.J.Stephanie P. Marshall J.[Signature] J.*Conflict of Interest*

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Aug 19 2026

cc:

Tanya Murphy

Thomas B. Roper, Esquire

*Please Make a New
Ruling.*