RECEIVED
SEP 03 2026
SC Court of Appeals

MeMorandum:

To Whom this May Concern; At the "Court of appeals" of the State of South carolina, These are my issues I'd like to present on my "prose brief". My trial counsel objected to a video of me waving a firearm masked up with rap music playing in the background, this video was not the time of the alleged crime. There was no relevance other than to show bad character which was highly Prejudical. The State case was based on who murdered the victim and portraying this prejudical character evidence outweighed the probative evidence. South Carolina law precludes evidence of a defendant's guilt for the crime charged, except to establish: (1) motive; (2) intent; (3) the absence of mistake or accident) (4) a common scheme or plan embracing the commission of two or more crimes so related to each other that proof of one tends to establish the other; or (5) the identity of the perpertrator. Rule 404 (b), SCRE This video was not used under any of these exceptions and subjected plaintiff to unfair prejudice. Then during the trial the state inflammed the prejudical effect with this line of a testimony in my transcript on pg 230 Line 13-25 pg 231 Line 1-19.

#(2) Trial Court abused its discretion in admitting repetitive google Searches pg 252, 253, 254, 272, 273 of my transcript, these repetitive google searches was not done by defendant (me) but by the app itself. pg 242 where theres testimony that the multiple searches was done by Chrome itself and it kept repeating one search multiple times. Also, the phone extraction was under "keener.lady@gmail.com which is the defendant (me) co-defendant, They was a couple at a time and shared phones. This was highly prejudical. because then the State used this evidence as if the defendant (me) made repeated searches of the samething, this was needless presentation of Comalative evidence under Rule 403 SCRE and the Solictor used the unfair prejudice as a undue tendency to suggest a decision on a improper basis (See. State v. Gilchrist, 329 S.C 621)

conclusion

The defendant should be granted a new trial X Allen adams

8/31/26

Allon T. Adams #399346
Lieber Correctional Institution
P.O. BOX 205
Ridgeville, Sc 29472

RECEIVED
SEP 03 2026
SC Court of Appeals

SOUTH CAROLINA
Court of Appeals
JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS, CLERK
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, Sc 29211

RECEIVED
SCDC SEP 01 2026
SEP 20 2026 MAIL ROOM LIEBER C.I.
MAILROOM