

RECEIVED

Sep 11 2026

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2026

Also did the trial Court Commit ^{S.C. SUPREME COURT} reversible error when it failed to grant my motion for directed verdict.

The trial counsel was ineffective for improperly requesting an ABHAW charge be ordered the the Jury as a lesser included offense.

There was no evidence of inflicting bodily harm there is no evidence of malice or implied malice or express malice.

The trial counsel was ineffective for failing to ~~explain~~ object when the trial court charged the Jury malice may be inferred from the use of a deadly weapon.

Also would it be a constitutional violation to the offense, that trial counsel was ineffective for requesting an ABHAW Jury Charge when the elements at trial did not meet the elements.

I would like to file for Post conviction relief.

The state didn't Prove sufficient identity evidence to Prove I was the shooter I would also like to have Court of Appeals look at the shell casings found at the crime scene for DNA results to confirm my DNA was involved in the shooting only 2 shell casings match two bullets in the gun to confirm that a known firearm was involve in

RECEIVED

SEP 1 1 50 PM '08

U.S. SUPREME COURT

a shooting all shell casings have too match
detectives testified at court and said only
2 shell casings that was found at the crime
scene only matches 2 bullets in the gun
the victim Caleb was shot 4 four times
all four shell casings did not test positive
all four shell casings have too match not
half so by law the weapon wasn't involve
in the crime scene only 2 shell casings
matched both into that.

I Plead not guilty that I did not discharge
a firearm although touch DNA is on the
trigger and grip only not the whole gun
they never presented gun shot residue testing
to confirm that I discharged the firearm
do you think that is important too present
DNA on shell casing casing biological material
would tend too identify a shooter the actual
perpetrator rather than a victim testimony
who had no witnesses of the crime that
is relevance evidence don't we think. The
presence of DNA evidence on a spent shell
casings has some tendency to identify the
person who fired the bullet. Don't you
think that's important

Did the law changed on shell casings
~~but~~ if im not mistaking does all shell
casings have to match too confirm that
a weapon firearm was involve in a homicide
the victim was shot four times the
Forensic and SLED who are weapon experts
testified that only 2 shell casings match
the bullets in the gun it's 2 more shell
casing that is unidentified all shell casings
have to match too confirm that the
weapon was involve in a Attempted murder
case if all shell casings doesn't match
by law the weapon is inadmissible too
Present at trial correct? if the law
has changed the state ignored the fact
that all shell casings have to match
for a homicide weapon to be admissible
at trial they confused the Jury and
Presented inadmissible evidence at trial
there are multiple errors within this case
the victim testified the the nurse told
him that he was shot 6 times this
case has been ~~falsified~~ falsified
this case is highly Prejudicial the
Jury ignored too apply the law and
Possession of a weapon during commission
of a violent crime the Jury and state

Failed too Present evidence of me Publicly
Visibly displaying a Firearm Failed too
apply elements and the law of presenting
evidence of having a weapon during a
crime that they never presented any
camera footages DNA results that can
place me on the Crime scene they
have my phone they never presented cell
site location evidence that can track my
Physical movement would you reset my
callings or grant my requestings on
appeal the trial court should have
the testimony recordings of every person
who testified at my trial recently

However, the absence of my DNA from the shell casings would only provide evidence of my absence at the crime scene don't you think.

They never presented evidence of me lying and waiting to commit a premeditated crime that the state and victim suggested.

In homicide cases all shell casings have to match not half we should know that there is no direct evidence that places me on the crime scene at 9:06 of the day of the shooting the jury ignored the fact and the judge denied my directed verdict on attempted murder if you recall at the body worn camera the victim said the guy who shot him had on a ski mask a jacket shorts and flip flops they asked the victim what color the victim stated he doesn't know the fire department said they seen a guy matching the description he stated he recognized me behind a mask late at night because of my eyes the description is also lacking of identity im not sure if I still have counsel so this is the reason im sending this letter on my behalf. This is a wrongful conviction if I still have counsel can you now a end so

this letter and send this too
Lube Bulman Dalton of the Public defenders
Office of Charleston County and make sure
that you keep my letter to make copies
before you forward too him that if I
still have counsel im not sure if I still
have counsel.

000154 1410 JALIS 10/04/25
3841 Leads Avenue
North Charleston, SC 29405

CHARLESTON SC 294

9 SEP 2026 AM 1 L



FOREVER / USA

SUPREME COURT
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, SC 29201

9 SEP 2026 AM 3 L
CHARLESTON SC 294
55925-10252

