

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

C. A. NOs. 2023-CP-42-04152,
2023-CP-42-04153

Varesa **GOODMAN**, Individually and as **PR** of the
ESTATE of Dennis **EDWARDS**,

**THI OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT
SPARTANBURG, LLC, d/b/a MAGNOLIA
MANOR – SPARTANBURG, HUNT VALLEY
HOLDINGS, LLC, et al,**

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: the Court

Attorney for : ☐ Plaintiff ☐
Defendant
or
☐ Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.

☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. ☐ See Page 2 for additional information.

☐ **ACTION DISMISSED** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRCP; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled); ☐ Other

☐ **ACTION STRICKEN** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRCP; ☐ Bankruptcy; ☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; ☐ Other

☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**

☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX): ☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded; ☐ Other

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☒ See formal order to follow ☒ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter was before this Court on **MOTION** of the defendants **TO STAY** this civil action and to **COMPEL** the parties to binding **ARBITRATION** pursuant to an agreement alleged between the parties, and on **MOTION** of defendant Hunt Valley Holdings, LLC, **TO ENLARGE TIME** to answer the Complaint having failed to respond within the time allotted by court rule.

Upon denial of the defendants' motion to compel arbitration, the defendants filed a **MOTION TO RECONSIDER** the Court's decision.

After consideration of the memoranda submitted in support of and in opposition to the motion, the argument of counsel, the applicable court rules, statutory and case law, this Court finds that the defendants' **MOTION TO RECONSIDER** should be and **IS** therefore **DENIED**.

RECEIVED

Sep 17 2026

SC Court of Appeals

As to defendant Hunt Valley Holdings, LLC motion to enlarge time to respond, after consideration of the memoranda submitted in support of and in opposition to the motion, the argument of counsel, the applicable court rules, statutory and case law, this Court finds that the defendant has failed to establish to the satisfaction of this Court good cause for excusing the default in answering the Complaint, and therefore its **MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME** to answer should be and **IS** therefore **DENIED**.

Plaintiff's counsel is instructed to prepare and submit a proposed formal order for the Court's consideration.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☐ ends ☒ does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk

:

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX		
Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.		
Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
		\$
		\$
		\$
If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:		

J. Derham Cole

Circuit Court Judge

2053

Judge Code

04/20/2026

Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the _____ day of _____, 20____ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this _____ day of _____, 20____ to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

MATTHEW W. CHRISTIAN, Esq.

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)

MATTHEW O. RIDDLE, Esq.

Attorney(s) for Defendant(s)

AMY W. COX, Clerk of Court

Court Reporter: on brief

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgement to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Spartanburg Common Pleas

Case Caption: Varesa Goodman , plaintiff, et al VS Thi Of South Carolina At
Spartanburg Llc , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2023CP4204152

Type: Order/Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED!

s/J. Derham Cole 2053