

RE: State v Robert Watkins
Appellate Case No 2011-195272

In The Supreme Court of
South Carolina
RECEIVED

JAN 13 2014

Mr. Daniel E. Shearouse SC Supreme Court
Post office Box 11330
Columbia SC, 29211

S.C. SUPREME COURT
January 7th 2014

Dear Mr. Daniel E. Shearouse

I received your letter dated January 3, 2014 concerning my Motion dealing with lack of Subject Matters Jurisdiction. The First reason you said that no action will be taken on my pro-se motion is that I am represented by counsel. That statement and reason is not true. When you received my Motion, SC Supreme Court had already sent the remittitur, and SCLTD Division of Appellate Defense no longer represented me. The oral argument was held on Oct 16, 2013. By Dec 4th 2011 The S.C. Supreme Court issued its Judgment of reversal of SC Court of appeal Judgment of reversal of my case, on (Dec 20th 2013) the Remittitur was sent. So at that point The circuit court had jurisdiction, meaning I could file my PCR application, which is evidence that I was no longer represented by SCLTD Division of Appellate defense counsel. David Alexander when you received my motion for lack of

subject matter jurisdiction. Plus the day I sent
my Motion off to SC Supreme Court, I also picked
up from The M^r Cormick Corr Not Mail room
the December 20 2013 copy of the sending of the mail letter -

Yet arguing since you want take no action
of my Motion dealing with Lack of Subject Matter
Jurisdiction. Please return my Motion to me.
If you sent it to David Alexander of SCCID Division
of Appellate Defense, that agency no longer represent
me, I know, because I tried to call them collect
and they have contact SCDC and informed them that
they do not represent me anymore and has restricted
my calls from SCDC. So I am requesting that you
return my Motion to me since you will not take an
action on my Motion, even although SC Supreme Court
can hear a Motion concerning lack of Subject Matter
Jurisdiction on its own motion; but you apparently
have some type of interest in the matter that in order
for SC Supreme Court to take any actions on anything
I present, I must first get past you, the clerk
of Court of S.C. Supreme Court, who for some reason
uses the S.C. laws to deny me access to the
S.C. Supreme Court, and instead of returning my
attempt filing to access to SC Supreme Court to
me, sends them to SCCID Division of Appellate defense

or dispose of my motions, letters, and attached exhibits.
If this is not correct, then please return
my motion and attached exhibits - Back to me,
so I can submit the motion and exhibits concerning
lack of subject matter jurisdiction to the circuit
court. Since that court now, has jurisdiction
over my case. Your cooperation will be
deeply appreciated.

Respectfully Submitted by
Robert Wintkins 243803 FY-1974

cc. David E Shearman
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia SC 29211

M. E. F.
386 Redemption Way
M.E. Cornick SC 29899

cc: United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave N.W.
Washington DC 20530, 0001

Robert Wintkins

Sworn to before me
on the 8th day January 2014

cc National Legal Professional Associates
11331 Grooms Rd. Suite 1000
Cincinnati Ohio, 45242

S - Notary of Frank
Exp. Date: 12-16-2019

cc ACLU, 125 Broad St. New York NY
10004

Robert Watkins 293803 Pt-107A
M. C. T.
386 Robinson Way
M^cComick St, 29519

SC Supreme Court
David S Sheenowse Clerk of Court
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia SC 29211

LEGAL MAIL
MAIL ROOM

MCCI
MAIL ROOM

JAN 08 2014

RECEIVED

THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND PROBATION
RECORDED THIS ITEM THEREFORE THE
DEPARTMENT DOES NOT ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CONTENTS
WARDEN