

RECEIVED

Oct 05 2026

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY

Court of Common Pleas, 9th Circuit Court

Jennifer B. McCoy, Circuit Judge

Court of Appeals Case No. 2022-000351

Family Court Case No. 2024-CP100-4891

Futeral and Nelson, LLC.....Respondent,

Janet L. Frisco.....Appellant.

PETITION FOR REHEARING

The Appellant, Janet L. Frisco, respectfully petitions for a rehearing in response to the order dated September 16, 2026 dismissing appeal on grounds of failure to provide proof of service on the record on appeal as required by Rule 210(a) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules and the letter dated August 31, 2026 for the following reason:

The South Carolina Court of Appeals as well as the Supreme Court of South Carolina have shown in the Appellant's past appeals in the Appellant's earlier appeals that they do not equitably judge on these matters in accordance with Appellate Court Rules so there is no point in the Appellant going through the steps of the appeal process when the Courts will not consider the Appellant's

arguments in rendering their final judgements. The Appellant would have incurred substantial costs and effort completing the Record on Appeal all the while knowing that it would be fruitless because the courts in the state of South Carolina consistently rendered their judgments in favor of a corporation and did not consider the actual facts that the Appellant attempted to present concerning Pet Helpers, Inc. and the Respondent, Stephan V. Futeral were jointly involved in criminal fraud and used the initial lawsuit against the Appellant, with the cooperation of the Courts, to conceal their crime and profit financially by the use of sanctions and other court orders. The record of the cases that were filed and orders rendered testifies to the criminal abuse of civil process by the Respondent and the abuse of the standard of discretion by the courts. the decisions on the Appellant's previous appeals, petitions for rehearings, and writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court were a flagrant abuse of judicial power and abuse of civil process in the Appellant's attempt to defend herself from the Respondent and the courts allied with him. The restraining orders, consolidation, denial of a jury trials by the granting of two summary judgements were used by the courts of South Carolina to render judgements that prevented the Appellant access to the judicial process while the rules of civil process were manipulated by the Respondent and the courts in order to make litigation against the Appellant appear legitimate. The following cases will all be used as evidence in the appeal to the highest court of this nation:

CIVIL CASES

Pet Helpers, Inc. v. Janet Frisco 2021-CP-10-2682

Janet L. Frisco v. Pet Helpers, Inc. and Melissa Susko Case No.2021-CP-100-2848

Janet L. Frisco v. Futeral and Nelson, LLC Case No. 2024-CP-100-4891

APPEALS

Pet Helpers, Respondent v. Janet L. Frisco, Appellant v Melissa Susko, Third-Party Defendant Case No. 2022-000021

Pet Helpers, Inc., Respondent v Janet L. Frisco, Appellant v Melissa Susko, Third-Party Defendant Appeal Case No. 2022-000775


Pet Helpers, Inc., Respondent v. Janet L. Frisco, Petitioner, v Melissa Susko,
Third- Party Defendant. Case No. 2025-001836
Futeral and Nelson, LLC, Respondent v. Janet L. Frisco, Appellant, Case No. 2026-
000351

Pet Helpers, Inc. Respondent and Melissa Susko, Third-Party Defendant v. Janet L.
Frisco, Petitioner Writ of Certiorari to Supreme Court

The reason the appellant is filing the Petition for Rehearing and afterward a Writ of Certiorari, if necessary, is a formality necessary to bring the Appellant's two cases and appeals before the Supreme Court of the United States for violation of the Appellant's constitutional right to a jury trial which was denied by the lower courts and in addition to facilitate prosecution of the Defendant for his crimes committed as an officer of the court during the course of the litigation against the Appellant.

Although the Appellant's Petition for Rehearing deadline was October 2, 2026, the untimeliness is minor in comparison to the greater infractions of the South Carolina court's actions during the litigation against the Appellant.

Sincerely submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Janet L. Frisco". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and address.

Janet L. Frisco,
Pro Se Appellant
203 Cardinal Drive
Summerville, S.C. 29485
janetfrisco@yahoo.com
843-804-0875

DATED: October 4, 2026

RECEIVED

Oct 05 2026

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY

Court of Common Pleas, 9th Circuit Court

Jennifer B. McCoy, Circuit Judge

Court of Appeals Case No. 2022-000351

Family Court Case No. 2024-CP100-4891

Futeral and Nelson, LLC.....Respondent,


Janet L. Frisco.....Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have sent the following counsels on record by email only the Appellant's *Petition for a Rehearing* that was filed with the South Carolina Court of Appeals electronically on October 4, 2026.

Dated: October 4, 2026

Sincerely,



Janet Frisco, Pro Se Appellant

David Overstreet,

david.overstreet@earhartoverstreet.com

Elizabeth Garrett,

Elizabeth.garrett@earhartoverstreet.com

Maxwell Seferian,

max.seferian@earhartoverstreet.com

Stephan V. Futeral,

sfuteral@charlestonlaw.net