

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Charleston
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2024CP1004208

Park Lane Partners Llc et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Folly Beach City Of
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- ☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- ☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ☐ **ACTION DISMISSED** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRPC; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
☐ Other
- ☐ **ACTION STRICKEN** (CHECK REASON): ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRPC; ☐ Bankruptcy;
☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
☐ Other
- ☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- ☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):
☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded;
☐ Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☒ See attached order (formal order to follow) ☐ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

See below.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☒ ends ☐ does not end the case.

☒ See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 08/26/2026 .

RECEIVED
Oct 09 2026
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

Plaintiff brought this action seeking (1) an appeal from the ruling of the City Hearing Officer for the City of Folly Beach in which Appellant's business license was denied pursuant to a "Cap" provision in the City's STR Ordinance; and (2) seeking declaratory judgment on the invalidity of the City's STR Ordinance—including the "Cap."

After multiple hearings the Court issued an Order on August 14, 2026, that granted Appellant's motion for Summary Judgment and held as a matter of law that certain provisions of the STR Ordinance (including the "Cap") were invalid under South Carolina Law and void ab initio. That Order contained a statement that it "ended the case" but that Order did not make a separate ruling on Plaintiff's appeal.

Subsequently, Plaintiff timely filed a Motion to Alter or Amend pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRCP, asking the Court to remove the statement that the Order ends the case.

Once the City's time to request the Court to reconsider its Order passed, on August 26, 2026, the Court issued a second Order finding that in light its August 14 Order, Appellant's appeal was granted and the ruling of the City's Hearing Officer was reversed. That August 26, 2026, Order resolves Plaintiff's Rule 59 motion.

Therefore, except as clarified herein, Plaintiff's motion is otherwise denied.

END OF ORDER.



Charleston Common Pleas

Case Caption: Park Lane Partners Llc , plaintiff, et al VS Folly Beach City Of
Case Number: 2024CP1004208
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ T.J. Rode (#2792)
