

Oct 05 2026

SC Court of Appeals

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas
Case No. 2017-CP-23-08016

Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity Judge

Appellate Case No. 2024-002207

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Plaintiff-Respondent,
v.

Michelle Hodges, individually and as Personal Representative
of the Estate of Ruth Ladson Witherspoon; Stanley Witherspoon;
South Carolina Housing Corporation; and Twin Creeks
Homeowners Association, Inc., Defendants

of whom Michelle Hodges, in her individual capacity,
is the Appellant.

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW PREVIOUSLY FILED REPLY BRIEF AND
NEWLY ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE TO BE FILED OUT OF TIME
AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUBSTITUTE REPLY BRIEF.**

Michelle Hodges, Appellant Pro Se

OPPOSING COUNSEL

Mr. S. Sterling Laney, III, Esquire
Mr. Jason D. Wyman
Womble Bond Dickinson
550 South Main ST
#400
Greenville, SC 29601

Appellant Michelle Hodges, appearing pro se, respectfully moves this Court, pursuant to Rules 208(a)(3), 240, and 263(b), SCACR, for leave to allow previously filed Reply Brief and newly attached Certificate of Service to be filed out of time and for leave to file a Substitute Reply Brief within seven (7) days after entry of the Court's order on this Motion. In support of this Motion, Appellant states:

1. This is Appellant's first request for relief concerning the September 14, 2026 deadline established by this Court's September 2, 2026 Order, directing Appellant to file and serve her Reply Brief within ten (10) days. Appellant previously sought extensions concerning earlier Reply Brief deadlines based on separate circumstances. The present Motion arises from the technical difficulty Appellant encountered in converting the Reply Brief into PDF format and from the inadvertent omission of the Certificate of Service required to be attached to the Reply Brief when filed with the Court.

2. On September 14, 2026, when preparing the Reply Brief for filing, Appellant encountered a problem with scanning her Reply Brief into the computer. The computer Appellant was using did not have a function allowing the document to be saved directly as a PDF, and Appellant's usual method of creating a PDF was to scan the document into the computer. When Appellant attempted to scan the Reply Brief on September 14, 2026, the scanning function did not work.

3. Because the filing deadline was approaching, Appellant transmitted the completed Reply Brief in Word/RTF format by email at 11:58 p.m. on September 14, 2026. Appellant does not contend that the Word/RTF email constituted a timely filing. The email, is time-stamped at 11:58 p.m.

on September 14, 2026, however, the Court's case view reflects receipt at 12:02:18 a.m. on September 15, 2026.

The email shows only that the completed Reply Brief had been prepared and transmitted within minutes

of the deadline; only conversion to the required PDF format remained. A copy of Appellant's 11:58

p.m. email dated September 14, 2026 is attached to this motion as, Exhibit "A". A true and correct copy of the Court's Case view acknowledging Appellant's September 14, 2026 email received at 12:02:18 a.m. on September 15, 2026, which included the Word/RTF Reply Brief, and the Court's acknowledgement email of Appellant's September 14, 2026 email, which includes a September 15, 2026 stamped Copy of Appellant's Reply Brief, are attached to this motion as Exhibit "B".

4. Appellant continued working to obtain the Reply Brief in PDF format and at 3:19 a.m. on September 15, 2026, Appellant sent another email to the Clerk's Office explaining the filing difficulty and stating attached was a copy of the September 14, 2026, 11:58 p.m. email, the PDF Reply Brief and Proof of Service. Appellant states here, that the PDF version contained no substantive changes from the completed Reply Brief previously transmitted in Word/RTF format.

5. While preparing this Motion, Appellant reviewed this Court's Case View and observed that it reflects only the external email, from Appellant on Tuesday, September 15, 2026 at 12:02:18 and attachment of the Reply Brief.rtf. Appellant did not see a copy of the Certificate of Service or

the 3:19 a.m. email dated September 15, 2026, which included the PDF Reply Brief. Appellant then reviewed her 3:19 a.m September 15, 2026 email and attachments. Although the body of that email stated that the attachments were the 11:58 p.m. September 14, 2026 email, a copy of the PDF version of the Reply Brief and Proof of Service, Appellant determined that the email only contained the 11:58 p.m. September 14, 2026 email and two copies of the PDF Reply Brief. Appellant also determined that she did not receive any electronic notice that the September 15, 2026, 3:19 a.m. email was undeliverable or otherwise rejected. A true and correct copy of Appellant's September 15, 2026, 3:19 a.m. email is attached to this motion as Exhibit "C".

6. Out of an abundance of caution, Appellant submits this Motion to request leave for the previously filed Reply Brief and newly attached Certificate of Service to be filed out of time, should the Court determine that such leave is necessary.

6. Appellant was unable to mail the Reply Brief to Respondent's counsel by midnight on September 14, 2026, because of the PDF-conversion problem with filing and Appellant's subsequent efforts to transmit the Reply Brief to the Court. Appellant served Respondent's counsel with the Reply Brief by United States mail on September 15, 2026. Under Rule 262(c)(2), SCACR, service by mail is complete upon mailing. The Certificate of Service documenting that service, is attached with the United States Postal Service mail receipt dated September 15, 2026, a copy of the post marked envelope which is blurred, which led Appellant to include a copy of the delivery receipt, showing that the document was dropped off at the Post Office on September 15, 2026. All are true and correct copies attached to this motion as Exhibit "D".

7. The delay in filing the PDF Reply Brief and Certificate of Service was brief, unintentional, and resulted from an unforeseen technical difficulty rather than an intent to delay the proceedings. These circumstances constitute good cause for the requested relief.

8. Because the Reply Brief was finalized under time pressure of the midnight deadline and failure of the PDF conversion problem a Substitute Reply Brief is necessary. And because the Reply Brief is not the final brief contemplated by Rule 211, SCACR, Appellant is requesting leave to file a Substitute Reply Brief within 7 days, after the Court's ruling on this motion. Good cause also exists to permit Appellant to file a Substitute Reply Brief. The Substitute Reply Brief will correct and improve the presentation and organization of the issues and arguments already made, including pagination; the Table of Contents; the Table of Authorities; typographical, grammatical, and formatting matters; clarifying language; readability, the placement of citations to the Record on Appeal and relevant authorities in the appropriate sections. The substitute Reply Brief will not raise any new issues or legal questions and will only support issues and arguments already presented.

9. Granting the requested relief will not prejudice Respondent. The Reply Brief was due September 14, 2026, under this Court's September 2, 2026 Order and the PDF Reply Brief was transmitted to the Court at 3:19 a.m. on September 15, 2026. No further response or reply brief is due from Respondent. No separate scheduling order has been entered, and the appeal has proceeded in accordance with the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Appellant does not seek to extend the deadline for serving the Record on Appeal and will comply with the applicable deadline, as determined by the Court. Appellant seeks only leave to allow the previously filed


Reply Brief and newly attached Certificate of Service to be filed out of time, and for leave to file a Substitute Reply Brief. The delay of three hours and 19 minutes of the Reply Brief and omission of the Certificate of Service did not deprive Respondent of an opportunity to respond or be heard and will not affect Respondent's substantive rights.

10. Attached to this Motion are: (1) Appellant's Affidavit in support of the Motion; (2) Certificate of Service for this Motion; (3) Exhibit "A", a copy of the 11:58 p.m. September 14, 2026 email; (3) Exhibit "B", the Court's email acknowledgement documentation and stamped Reply Brief; (4) Exhibit "C", a copy of the 3:19 a.m. September 15, 2026 email; and (5) Exhibit "D", the newly Attached Certificate of Service documenting the September 15, 2026 service of the Reply Brief and supporting United States Postal Service mailing documentation.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant Appellant leave for the previously transmitted PDF version of her Reply Brief and newly attached Certificate of Service to be filed out of time;
- B. Grant Appellant leave to file a Substitute Reply Brief within seven (7) days after entry of the Court's order on this Motion;
- C. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



October 5, 2026

Michelle Hodges, Appellant Pro Se
michellehodges938@gmail.com
6 Young Harris Drive
Simpsonville, South Carolina 29681
Telephone: 864-692-3748

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHELLE HODGES

1. I, Michelle Hodges, am the Appellant in this matter, appearing pro se. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Affidavit and am competent to testify to them. This affidavit is provided in support of Appellant's Motion for Leave to allow Previously filed Reply Brief and newly attached Certificate of Service to be filed out of time and for Leave to File a Substitute Reply Brief.
2. By Order dated September 2, 2026, the South Carolina Court of Appeals directed me to file and serve the Reply Brief within ten (10) days of the date of the Order. The resulting deadline was September 14, 2026.
3. On September 14, 2026, while preparing my Reply Brief for filing, I encountered a technical difficulty converting the document to PDF format. The computer I was using did not have a function that allowed me to save the document directly as a PDF. My usual method for creating a PDF for filing was to scan the document into the computer. However, on that day, when I attempted to scan the Reply Brief, the scanning function did not work.
4. Because the filing deadline was approaching, I transmitted the Reply Brief in Word/RTF format by email to the Court at 11:58 p.m. on September 14, 2026. A true and correct copy of my 11:58 p.m. email, is attached to my motion, as Exhibit "A". The Court's acknowledgment of the external email record identifies the email from me on Tuesday September 15, 2026 at 12:02:18 a.m., together with a case view record of that email and a stamped copy of the Reply Brief, bearing the same date; are true and correct copies and attached to the motion as Exhibit "B".
5. On September 15, 2026, at 3:19 a.m., I sent another email to the Court attaching the 11:58 email dated September 14, 2026 and a PDF version of my Reply Brief. The PDF version contained no substantive changes from the Reply Brief transmitted in Word/RTF format in my 11:58 p.m. email. In my 3:19 a.m. email, I stated that I had attached a copy of the 11:58 p.m. email, a copy of the Reply Brief and proof of service. A true and correct copy of the September 15, 2026, 3:19 a. m. email, is attached to the motion, as Exhibit "C".
6. While preparing the accompanying Motion, I reviewed the Court's case view and did not see a Certificate of Service or the 3:19 a.m. email dated September 15, 2026. , I then reviewed my 3:19 a.m. email and realized that although the email stated that the proof of service was attached, I had inadvertently attached a second copy of my Reply Brief instead of the Certificate of Service. I further reviewed my email account and did not see any notice that this email was undeliverable or otherwise rejected.
7. Because of the PDF-conversion problem before midnight of September 14, 2026, Appellant served the Reply Brief on opposing Counsel on September 15, 2026. Documenting that service Appellant has included the following true and correct copies of the delivery receipt, showing the document was dropped off at the Post Office on September 15, 2026, this is included because the post marked envelope is blurred. I have also attached a true and correct

copy of the Certificate of Service for my Reply Brief, together with the supporting United States Postal Service mailing receipt dated September 15, 2026, showing a mailing to opposing counsel's zip code and a copy of the postmarked envelope, ~~is~~^{are} attached to the Motion as Exhibit "D".

8. The technical difficulties described above prevented me from timely submitting a properly formatted PDF Reply Brief and the accompanying motion requests leave for Appellant to file a Substitute Reply Brief within seven (7) days after entry of the Court's Order concerning the accompanying motion. The Substitute Reply Brief will correct and improve pagination, the Table of Contents, Table of Authorities, typographical, grammatical, and formatting matters. It will also provide clarifying language for readability and refine citations to the record on appeal and authorities regarding issues and arguments already presented. The Substitute Reply Brief will not assert any new issues, legal questions.

9. I make this Affidavit based upon my personal knowledge and for the purpose of supporting the accompanying Motion.


October 3, 2026

Michelle Hodges, Appellant Pro Se
michellehodges938@gmail.com
6 Young Harris Dr.
Simpsonville, SC 29681



Sworn/affirmed before me this 3 day of

October, 2026


(Notary Public/Clerk of Courts)

my commission expires: 3-28-2033

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity Judge

Case No. 2024-002207

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. Plaintiff – Respondent

v.

Michelle Hodges, Individually and as Personal Representative
of the Estate of Ruth Ladson Witherspoon; Stanley Witherspoon;
SC Housing Corp.; and Twin Creeks Homeowners Association,
Inc. Defendants,

Of Whom Michelle Hodges, in her Individual capacity,
is the Appellant.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW PREVIOUSLY FILED REPLY BRIEF AND
NEWLY ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE TO BE FILED OUT OF TIME
AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SUBSTITUTE REPLY BRIEF**

RECEIVED

Oct 05 2026

SC Court of Appeals

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 4, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of following document:


- ★ 1. Motion For Leave to Allow Previously Filed Reply Brief and Newly Attached Certificate of Service to Be Filed Out of Time and For Leave to File a Substitute Reply Brief.

The above document was served by deposit in the United States mail, properly addressed and with first-class postage prepaid, on opposing counsel, as shown below:

WELLS FARGO'S COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Mr. S. Sterling Laney, III, Esquire
Mr. Jason D. Wyman
Womble Bond Dickinson
550 South Main ST
#400
Greenville, SC 29601

Respectfully,



October 5, 2026

Michelle Hodges, Appellant Pro Se
michellehodges938@gmail.com
6 Young Harris Dr.
Simpsonville, SC 29681
864-692-3748

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS-

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity Judge

Appellate Case No. 2024-002207
Circuit Case No. 2017-CP-2308016

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. Plaintiff..... Respondent

v.

Michelle Hodges, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate
of Ruth Ladson Witherspoon; Stanley Witherspoon; SC Housing Corp.; and
Twin Creeks Homeowners Association, Inc.,.....Defendants,

Of Whom Michelle Hodges, in her Individual capacity, is the Appellant.....Movant

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW PREVIOUSLY FILED REPLY BRIEF AND
NEWLY ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE TO BE FILED OUT OF TIME
AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUBSTITUTE REPLY BRIEF**

EXHIBIT "A"

**SEPTEMBER 14, 2026 11:58 P.M. EMAIL TO THE
COURT WHICH INCLUDED THE WORD/RTF
REPLY BRIEF - 1 PAGE**



Michelle Hodges <michellehodges938@gmail.com>

REPLY BRIEF

Michelle Hodges <michellehodges938@gmail.com>
To: Court Of Appeals Filings <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>

Mon, Sep 14, 2026 at 11:58 PM



9 11 26 REPLY BRIEF.rtf
44K

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS-

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity Judge

Appellate Case No. 2024-002207
Circuit Case No. 2017-CP-2308016

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. Plaintiff..... Respondent

v.

Michelle Hodges, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate
of Ruth Ladson Witherspoon; Stanley Witherspoon; SC Housing Corp.; and
Twin Creeks Homeowners Association, Inc.,.....Defendants,

Of Whom Michelle Hodges, in her Individual capacity, is the Appellant.....Movant

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW PREVIOUSLY FILED REPLY BRIEF AND
NEWLY ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE TO BE FILED OUT OF TIME
AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUBSTITUTE REPLY BRIEF**

EXHIBIT "B"

**COURT'S ACKNOWLEDGMENT EMAIL OF
APPELLANT'S SEPTEMBER 14, 2026 FILING,
WHICH INCLUDES A STAMPED COPY OF THE
REPLY BRIEF AND COURT'S CASE VIEW
SHOWING RECEIPT ON SETEMBER 15, 2026 AT
12:02:18 IN WORD/RTF FORMAT - 3 PAGES**



Michelle Hodges <michellehodges938@gmail.com>

REPLY BRIEF

Court Of Appeals Filings <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>

Tue, Sep 15, 2026 at 9:37 AM

To: Michelle Hodges <michellehodges938@gmail.com>, Court Of Appeals Filings <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>

The Court has received your filing. A stamped copy is attached for your records.

Thank you!

From: Michelle Hodges <michellehodges938@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, September 14, 2026 11:59 PM

To: Court Of Appeals Filings <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>

Subject: REPLY BRIEF

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

---

 **Wells Fargo Bank v. Hodges - Reply.pdf**  
683K

RECEIVED

Sep 15 2026

SC Court of Appeals

In the S. C. Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas  
2017CP2308016

Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge  
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity Judge

Case No. 2024-002207

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. Plaintiff – Respondent

v.

Michelle Hodges, Individually and as Personal Representative  
of the Estate of Ruth Ladson Witherspoon; Stanley Witherspoon;  
SC Housing Corp.; and Twin Creeks Homeowners Association,  
Inc. Defendants,

Of Whom Michelle Hodges, in her Individual capacity,  
is the Appellant.

**REPLY BRIEF OF APPELLANT**

Hodges

Harris Dr.

Simpsonville, SC 29681

864-692-3748

Appellant Pro Se

Michelle

6 Young

**Wells Fargo's Counsel**

Mr. S. Sterling Laney, III, Esquire

Mr. Jason D. Wyman

Womble Bond Dickinson

**From:** [Michelle Hodges](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Subject:** REPLY BRIEF  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 15, 2026 12:02:18 AM  
**Attachments:** [9 11 26 REPLY BRIEF.rtf](#)

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. **\*\*\***

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS-

---

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge  
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity Judge

---

Appellate Case No. 2024-002207  
Circuit Case No. 2017-CP-2308016

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. Plaintiff..... Respondent

v.

Michelle Hodges, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate  
of Ruth Ladson Witherspoon; Stanley Witherspoon; SC Housing Corp.; and  
Twin Creeks Homeowners Association, Inc.,.....Defendants,

Of Whom Michelle Hodges, in her Individual capacity, is the Appellant.....Movant

---

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW PREVIOUSLY FILED REPLY BRIEF AND  
NEWLY ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE TO BE FILED OUT OF TIME  
AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUBSTITUTE REPLY BRIEF**

---

**EXHIBIT "C"**  
**SEPTEMBER 15, 2026 3:19 A.M. EMAIL WHICH  
INCLUDES A COPY OF THE SEPTEMBER 14, 2026,  
11:58 P.M. EMAIL AND TWO COPIES OF THE  
PDF VERSION OF THE REPLY BRIEF WHICH  
INDVERTENTLY OMMITED THE CERTIFICATE  
OF SERVICE - 2 PAGES**



Michelle Hodges &lt;michellehodges938@gmail.com&gt;

**Wells Fargo v. Michelle Hodges - App. No. 2024-002207**

1 message

**Michelle Hodges** <michellehodges938@gmail.com>  
To: Court Of Appeals Filings <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>

Tue, Sep 15, 2026 at 3:19 AM

MICHELLE HODGES  
6 YOUNG HARRIS DR  
SIMPSONVILLE, SC 29681  
[michellehodges938@gmail.com](mailto:michellehodges938@gmail.com)  
864-692-3748

September 15, 2026

Jenny Abbott Kitchings,  
Clerk of Court, of South  
Carolina Court of Appeals  
1220 Senate ST  
Columbia, SC 29201

RE: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Michelle Hodges  
Appellate - Case No. 2024-002207

Dear Ms. Kitchings, Clerk of Court,  
I hope this letter finds you well.

Attached please find Appellant's Reply Brief, originally sent over in word document form on September 14, 2026 at 11:58 pm. I am having a problem with scanning and converting to PDF and I have to use an electronic signature. Please accept as timely.

See attached proof of email sent and Proof of Service now attached..

Respectfully,  
/s/

Michelle Hodges, Appellant Pro Se  
cc: file

---

**3 attachments**



**Screenshot\_20260915\_023739\_Gmail.jpg**  
274K



**9 14 26 COA REPLY BRIEF.rtf.pdf**  
273K



**9 14 26 COA REPLY BRIEF.rtf.pdf**  
273K

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS-

---

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge  
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity Judge

---

Appellate Case No. 2024-002207  
Circuit Case No. 2017-CP-2308016

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. Plaintiff..... Respondent

v.

Michelle Hodges, Individually and as Personal Representative of the Estate  
of Ruth Ladson Witherspoon; Stanley Witherspoon; SC Housing Corp.; and  
Twin Creeks Homeowners Association, Inc.,.....Defendants,

Of Whom Michelle Hodges, in her Individual capacity, is the Appellant.....Movant

---

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW PREVIOUSLY FILED REPLY BRIEF AND  
NEWLY ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE TO BE FILED OUT OF TIME  
AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUBSTITUTE REPLY BRIEF**

---

**EXHIBIT "D"**  
**NEWLY ATTACHED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**  
**DOCUMENTING SEPTEMBER 15, 2026 SERVICE**  
**OF PDF REPLY BRIEF, COPY OF MAILING**  
**RECEIPT, POST MARKED ENVELOPE AND**  
**DELIVERY NOTICE SHOWING DATE**  
**DOCUMENT WAS HANDED TO THE POST**  
**OFFICE - 8 PAGES**

In the Court of Appeals

---

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Perry H. Gravely, Circuit Court Judge  
Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity Judge

---

Case No. 2024-002207

Wells Fargo Bank, N. A. Plaintiff – Respondent

v.

Michelle Hodges, Individually and as Personal Representative  
of the Estate of Ruth Ladson Witherspoon; Stanley Witherspoon;  
SC Housing Corp.; and Twin Creeks Homeowners Association,  
Inc. Defendants,

Of Whom Michelle Hodges, in her Individual capacity,  
is the Appellant.

---

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  
OF APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF

---

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF  
APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF

I hereby certify that on September 15, 2026, I served a true copy of following document:

✱ 1. Reply Brief

The above document was served by deposit in the United States mail, properly addressed and with with first-class postage prepaid, on opposing counsel, as shown below:

**WELLS FARGO'S COUNSEL OF RECORD:**

Mr. S. Sterling Laney, III, Esquire  
Mr. Jason D. Wyman  
Womble Bond Dickinson  
550 South Main ST  
#400  
Greenville, SC 29601


This Cetificate of Service is attached to and submitted in support of Apellant's Motion to Allow Late Filing of Appellant's Reply Brief and Certificate of Service.

Respectfully,



October 5, 2026

Michelle Hodges, Appellant Pro Se  
michellehodges938@gmail.com  
6 Young Harris Dr.  
Simpsonville, SC 29681  
864-692-3748



01:51 PM

9545 3121 1533 6258 3661 69



Send tracking number to 28777 (2USPS)  
Standard message and data rates may apply

Visit <https://www.usps.com/tracking>  
Text and e-mail alerts available

| Product                     | Qty | Unit Price | Price  |
|-----------------------------|-----|------------|--------|
| First-Class Mail®           | 1   |            | \$2.56 |
| Large Envelope              |     |            |        |
| Greenville, SC 29601        |     |            |        |
| Weight: 0 lb 4.00 oz        |     |            |        |
| Estimated Delivery Date     |     |            |        |
| Thu 09/17/2025              |     |            |        |
| Tracking #:                 |     |            |        |
| 9545 3121 1533 6258 3661 69 |     |            |        |
| Insurance                   |     |            | \$2.80 |
| Amount: \$5.00              |     |            |        |
| Total                       |     |            | \$5.36 |

Grand Total: \$5.36

|                  |        |
|------------------|--------|
| Debit Card Remit | \$5.36 |
|------------------|--------|

Card Name: VISA  
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXXX4783  
Approval #: 146270  
Transaction #: 031  
Receipt #: 075275  
Debit Card Purchase: \$5.36

Grand Total: \$5.36

Debit Card Remit \$5.36

Card Name: VISA  
Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX4783  
Approval #: 146270  
Transaction #: 031  
Receipt #: 075275  
Debit Card Purchase: \$5.36  
AID: A0000000980840 Contactless  
AL: US DEBIT

TO REPORT AN ISSUE  
Visit <https://email.usps.com>

All hazardous labels/markings on reused  
boxes MUST be completely  
removed/obliterated if they no longer  
match the contents.

In a hurry? Self-service kiosks offer  
quick and easy check-out. Any Retail  
Associate can show you how.

TO FILE AN INSURANCE CLAIM  
Visit <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm>

PREVIEW YOUR MAIL AND PACKAGES  
Sign up for FREE at  
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

Looking for a new opportunity? Join a team  
that delivers! The Postal Service is  
actively hiring for full and part-time  
positions. To learn more visit us at  
[www.usps.com/careers](http://www.usps.com/careers)

All sales final on stamps and postage.  
Refunds for guaranteed services only.  
Thank you for your business.


Customer Service  
1-800-ASK-USPS  
(1-800-275-8777)  
Agents do not have any additional  
information other than what is provided on  
USPS.com.

Tell us about your experience.  
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>  
or scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.

UFN: 455680-0662  
Receipt #: 840-52900274-3-8572286-2  
Clerk: 36



ALERT: IMPACTS FROM FLOODING IN THE MIDWEST REGION OF THE U.S. MAY DELAY FINAL...

USPS Tracking®

FAQs &gt;

Tracking Number:

Remove X

**9545312115336258366169**

Copy

Add to Informed Delivery

### Latest Update

Your item was delivered in or at the mailbox at 11:28 am on September 17, 2026 in GREENVILLE, SC 29601.

Get More Out of USPS Tracking:

USPS Tracking Plus®

Feedback

#### Delivered

##### Delivered, In/At Mailbox

GREENVILLE, SC 29601

September 17, 2026 11:28 AM

#### Out for Delivery

GREENVILLE, SC 29601

September 17, 2026 6:44 AM

#### Arrived at Post Office

GREENVILLE, SC 29602

September 17, 2026 6:33 AM

#### Arrived at USPS Facility

GREENVILLE, SC 29602

September 17, 2026 1:15 AM

#### Departed USPS Facility

GREENVILLE SC DISTRIBUTION CENTER

September 17, 2026 12:28 AM

**Arrived at USPS Facility**  
GREENVILLE SC DISTRIBUTION CENTER  
September 16, 2026 2:26 PM

**In Transit to Next Facility**  
September 16, 2026 1:44 PM

**Departed USPS Facility**  
GASTONIA, NC 28054  
September 16, 2026 12:45 PM

**Arrived at USPS Facility**  
CHARLOTTE NC DISTRIBUTION CENTER  
September 16, 2026 11:42 AM

**Departed Post Office**  
MAULDIN, SC 29662  
September 16, 2026 7:44 AM

**USPS in possession of item**  
MAULDIN, SC 29662  
September 15, 2026 1:50 PM

**Hide Tracking History**

What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean?

|                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| Text & Email Updates | ▼ |
| USPS Tracking Plus®  | ▼ |
| Product Information  | ▼ |

See Less ^

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

## Need More Help?

Contact USPS Tracking support for further assistance.

[FAQs](#)