

RECEIVED

Oct 16 2026

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from Aiken County

Honorable Walton J. McLeod, IV, Circuit Court Judge

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

V.

ANTONIO JAMARI ISAAC,

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO 2026-001037

RECORD ON APPEAL

WANDA H. CARTER
Chief Appellate Defender

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General

South Carolina Commission on Indigent
Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, SC 29211-1589
(803) 734-1330

MARK R. FARTHING
Senior Assistant Attorney General
1000 Assembly Street, Room 519
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 734-4117

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

INDEX

INDEX	i
GUILTY PLEA TRANSCRIPT DATED AUGUST 4, 2025	1
MOTION TO RECONSIDER SENTENCE DATED AUGUST 6, 2025	30
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RECONSIDER SENTENCE.....	32
ORDER DENYING MOTION TO RECONSIDER OR MODIFY SENTENCE SIGNED SEPTEMBER 19, 2025.....	35
INDICTMENTS AND SENTENCE SHEETS.....	39
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL	45

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	
	)	COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
COUNTY OF AIKEN	)	2023-GS-02-01732
	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,	)	
PLAINTIFF,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD
	)	
ANTONIO JAMARI ISAAC,	)	
DEFENDANT.	)	
_____	)	

August 4, 2025
Aiken, South Carolina

B E F O R E:

THE HONORABLE WALTON J. MCLEOD, IV, JUDGE.

A P P E A R A N C E S:

ASHLEY HAMMACK, ESQ.
Attorney for the Plaintiff

WALLIS ALVES, ESQ.
Attorney for the Defendant

Penny M. Johnson
Court Reporter III

I N D E X

(There were no witnesses called.)

E X H I B I T S

(Court's Exhibits)

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1	911 Call	28

All Exhibits were maintained in the Aiken County Clerk of Court's Office.

P R O C E E D I N G S

(WHEREUPON, this is Mr. Isaac's portion of a group plea.)

THE COURT: Solicitor.

MS. HAMMACK: We call Antonio Isaac.

THE COURT: All right.

ANTONIO ISAAC,

after being sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Madam Solicitor.

MS. HAMMACK: To your right is Antonio Isaac, charged with 2023-GS-02-01732 and 1733, both with attempted murder. He is represented by Wallis Alves of the Second Circuit Public Defender's Office. He is here to enter a guilty plea to the lesser included offenses of assault and battery first degree on each of those charges.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Alves, have you discussed this case with Mr. Isaac?

MS. ALVES: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: He's aware of the nature of the charges and the potential punishments?

MS. ALVES: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: He's indicated his desire to plead guilty to you?

MS. ALVES: He has.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have a right to remain silent, but you give up that right in order to plead

1 guilty?

2 Do you understand that, Mr. Isaac?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

4 THE COURT: You are pleading guilty to two separate
5 counts of assault and battery first degree, each carrying a
6 potential sentence of ten years apiece.

7 Do you understand that, Mr. Isaac?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

9 THE COURT: Do you understand you have a right to a
10 jury trial, where your attorney can assert defenses on your
11 behalf and confront the State's witnesses and the State
12 would have to prove their case against you beyond a
13 reasonable doubt? But by pleading guilty here today, you're
14 giving up those jury trial rights.

15 Do you understand that, Mr. Isaac?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

17 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to discuss this case
18 with your attorney and have all of your questions answered?

19 Mr. Isaac?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

21 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorney's
22 services?

23 Mr. Isaac?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Isaac, as to indictment ending in 1732

1 for assault and battery in the first degree, how do you
2 plead?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

4 THE COURT: As to the indictment ending in 1733 for
5 assault and battery in the first degree, how do you plead?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

7 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty freely and
8 voluntarily to these charges?

9 Mr. Isaac?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

11 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty knowingly and
12 intelligently?

13 Mr. Isaac?

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

15 THE COURT: Has anyone forced you, threatened you or
16 coerced you into pleading guilty today?

17 Mr. Isaac?

18 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

19 THE COURT: Other than the lesser included offense of
20 assault and battery in the first degree, has anyone offered
21 or promised you anything in exchange for pleading guilty
22 today?

23 Mr. Isaac?

24 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

25 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because you are

1 guilty?

2 Mr. Isaac?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

4 THE COURT: You have ten days to appeal these charges,
5 these pleas, but you must do so in writing to this Court.

6 Do you understand that, Mr. Isaac?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

8 THE COURT: Madam Solicitor.

9 MS. HAMMACK: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 These charges stem from an incident that occurred on
11 Christmas morning 2022. At 12:20 a.m., Lisa Aguilar called
12 911 from her home at [REDACTED] Leakes Road. It's in the
13 Williston section of Aiken County. I want to play portions
14 of that 911 call before I go through the factual basis for
15 this plea so Your Honor can understand of what happened this
16 morning.

17 (WHEREUPON, portions of 911 call were played for the
18 Court.)

19 MS. HAMMACK: Your Honor, the entire 911 call is
20 approximately 15 minutes and we played the pertinent parts
21 for Your Honor. We can make those parts a Court's Exhibit
22 if you would like.

23 But what's important from that 911 call and what you
24 didn't hear is that Mr. and Mrs. Aguilar and their four
25 children, who at the time were ages 18, 17, 10 and one had

1 literally just moved into this house. This was their first
2 full day and night in their new home, Christmas Eve, going
3 into Christmas morning. They were staying up late that
4 night. They were up at midnight because they were wrapping
5 Christmas presents. They still had belongings on the front
6 porch that they hadn't moved into the house.

7 Shortly after midnight, Lisa and her husband, Juan,
8 noticed the headlights across the street. As you heard in
9 the 911 call, they had been asked by their brand new
10 neighbor to keep an eye on the home because he and his wife
11 were going out of town for Christmas. Nobody was supposed
12 to be at the house.

13 So when she saw the headlights, she called 911 while
14 her husband sounded the panic alarm on his car in attempt to
15 scare off the would-be robbers. She described four black
16 males in a white Toyota Tacoma attempting to break into the
17 neighbor's property across the street.

18 So as you can see here, this is [REDACTED] where the Aguilars
19 reside. [REDACTED] is the neighbor's house where she observed a
20 Toyota Tacoma pull in almost as if pulling into this
21 driveway past the house, but then, actually, turning into
22 this little cut and parking.

23 Ms. Aguilar stated that when the male exited the
24 vehicle and went towards the house and some outbuildings in
25 the trees that it activated a flood light on the property,

1 so she was able to see the make and model and color of the
2 vehicle and see how many individuals were getting out of the
3 car.

4 She stated that when her husband sounded the panic
5 alarm on his car, the four males ran back to the Toyota
6 Tacoma, got in the truck and then, ultimately, backed the
7 vehicle onto this drive past the neighbor's house. So the
8 truck was backed in facing the road.

9 She stated that when the panic alarm went off that they
10 exited the vehicle again as if to go back to the property.
11 She told her husband to sound the alarm again, he did. And
12 at that point, all four males got back in the vehicle,
13 pulled to the edge of the road here.

14 As she stated on the 911 call, she said, They're going
15 right. And then you heard her say, They're making a U-turn.
16 So instead of going right, that vehicle makes a U-turn and
17 pulls along side their residence where she states multiple
18 shooters from both sides of the vehicle fired multiple shots
19 on her house. She and her husband and the oldest children
20 were standing in the front doorway. The youngest child, the
21 one-year-old, was asleep in the bed. On the left side of
22 the house, there is a window to that bedroom.

23 As you heard from the 911 call when the dispatcher
24 asked if anyone had been shot, she and her husband went to
25 check on their one-year-old asleep in that bed and found a

1 bullet resting on the child as well as dust from the drywall
2 from the walls where the bullet had penetrated the room and
3 settled on the child.

4 In addition to that bullet, they, ultimately, found
5 multiple bullet holes to the front of their house on either
6 side of that front doorway where she and her family had
7 stood as they were calling 911. There was a large picture
8 frame resting just up next to the door that hadn't been
9 moved into the home yet, it was shattered. Just below it on
10 a post to the porch was a bullet hole. There were bullet
11 holes on either side of that doorway. Another bullet struck
12 and shattered the windshield of the child's Power Wheels car
13 parked in front of the house.

14 While law enforcement was on scene at the Aguilar
15 house, they received a second call from just around the
16 corner from a family member of the burglary victim from
17 across the street. The wife living at this home called her
18 sister saying that she had heard there had been a shooting
19 and a burglary at her house and what was going on, at which
20 time, her sister said, Was it a white truck? If so, I've
21 got to go and call the police because I know who did it.

22 That family member, ultimately, called law enforcement,
23 as I stated, while they were out on scene. She indicated
24 that right around midnight, the end of Christmas Eve going
25 into Christmas morning, just minutes before the shooting

1 that Antonio Isaac showed up at her house. He is related to
2 the family. She knew him. She stated that he said he was
3 coming over to see a young baby that had just been born, I
4 think the end of October. He never went to go see the baby
5 he said he was there to see. Ultimately, stayed for a few
6 minutes and went to leave.

7 She asked him how he was planning on leaving since she
8 knew he didn't drive, so she followed him out into the yard
9 wherein she saw a white Toyota Tacoma belonging to Cameron
10 Walker's grandfather. Cameron Walker was behind the wheel
11 of that Tacoma. She noticed Kaderrian Hutto as a passenger
12 in that vehicle. And Antonio Isaac got in that vehicle
13 before it drove off. She knows all three of these people.
14 She identified them for law enforcement, where they were
15 sitting in the truck.

16 Minutes later, this truck is seen on Leakes Road,
17 individuals getting out, ultimately, shooting up the
18 Aguilars' house.

19 The basis for all of this, Your Honor, is over a
20 four-wheeler. The family helped us understand why these
21 individuals had a motive to commit this offense. That
22 during a prior arrest, Mr. Isaac had had a four-wheeler
23 seized by law enforcement and impounded. Other family
24 members had to pay to have the four-wheeler released, so
25 they wouldn't let Mr. Isaac have his four-wheeler back until

1 he paid them.

2 There had been multiple prior attempts by these people
3 to retrieve the four-wheeler from different properties.
4 There was some question as to whether the four-wheeler was
5 around the corner or whether it was here at [REDACTED] Leakes
6 Road.

7 So in addition to the description of the vehicle, the
8 identification of these three Defendants in the vehicle and
9 their motive for being at this property, law enforcement
10 was, also, able to identify the phone numbers for each of
11 these Defendants. They obtained call detail records from
12 AT&T for each Defendant. And location data from the use of
13 these phones put these Defendants' phones being used off of
14 a cellphone tower by Leakes Road starting just after
15 midnight going into the time when Ms. Aguilar is on the
16 phone with 911 and these shots are being fired.

17 And then after the beginning of that 911 call and those
18 shots, that location data tracks all three Defendants
19 together to an address in Blackville, which is where Mr.
20 Walker's grandfather lives and law enforcement was,
21 ultimately, able to track to that Tacoma identified by the
22 Aguilar in this case.

23 Your Honor, at the appropriate time, the victims are
24 present and would like to address the Court. The State will
25 go into the basis for resolving the case in this way and

1 make our presentation on sentencing.

2 THE COURT: Very well.

3 Did you hear and understand the allegations in this
4 case?

5 Mr. Isaac?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

7 THE COURT: Do you agree with the general allegation
8 regarding the charge of assault and battery first degree?

9 Mr. Isaac?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

11 THE COURT: All right. I'll accept the pleas. I find
12 there is substantial factual basis for the pleas. The
13 Defendants' decisions to plead guilty have been freely,
14 voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently made and they've
15 had the advice and counsel of a competent attorney with whom
16 which they're satisfied.

17 Ms. Hammack, victim impact.

18 MS. HAMMACK: Ms. Aguilar.

19 MS. LISA AGUILAR: Lisa Aguilar, A-G-U-I-L-A-R.

20 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

21 MS. LISA AGUILAR: Good morning, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Good morning.

23 MS. LISA AGUILAR: I would like to request maximum
24 sentence for these boys. This could have been anybody's
25 family. And our kids are traumatized now. We will forever

1 be traumatized. We slept with mattresses on our windows for
2 a year after moving in because they just decided to come by
3 and start shooting at us. Shooting at us directly, not
4 shooting in the air, shooting directly at us. We had holes
5 completely around our entire door frame.

6 And they should be ashamed of themselves and their
7 parents should, too. And I hope and pray that they get the
8 maximum penalty. And they will still -- even with ten years
9 still have life that they can enjoy after this. Because
10 that night, a life could have been taken easily. You don't
11 just Christmas Day wake up -- not even wake up, just in the
12 middle of the night -- you've not even finished getting
13 Christmas ready yet and you find a bullet on your baby's
14 head. There's nothing like that. Nothing.

15 And I don't want to say much because I don't want to
16 make more problems for my family, but some of their family
17 live across the street from us. We don't want problems.
18 That's not what this is about. We were just trying to do a
19 good neighborly thing.

20 We weren't aware that they were gun carriers, that they
21 shoot at people's houses. I guess this is a common thing
22 for them. We weren't alert. We weren't aware of this. If
23 so, we would have never said anything, we would have just
24 minded our own business.

25 Just as any neighbor, when a neighbor goes out of town,

1 they always keep an eye on each other's houses. That's all
2 that we were doing. That's it.

3 I hope they get the maximum penalty today, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

5 MS. LISA AGUILAR: You're welcome. Thank you.

6 MS. HAMMACK: Antonio Isaac does not have any criminal
7 convictions, but currently pending in Barnwell County from
8 April 23rd, 2021, he has charges of possession of controlled
9 substance and resisting arrest. And pending in Barnwell
10 County from a September 14th, 2022 arrest, he has two
11 charges of financial transaction card theft, 12 charges of
12 financial transaction card fraud and a criminal conspiracy
13 for which he was out on bond when this happened three months
14 later.

15 Your Honor, these Defendants were initially charged for
16 attempted murder for all six occupants of the Aguilar home.
17 Unfortunately, under the law, specific intent is required
18 for attempted murder. In this case, the State had no
19 evidence to show that Mr. Hutto, Mr. Isaac or Mr. Walker
20 knew exactly who was in that home, so we were unable to
21 proceed forward on attempted murder charges.

22 Reviewing the assault and battery law, the next charge
23 in line would be assault and battery of a high and
24 aggravated nature. Mr. Hutto, Mr. Isaac and Mr. Walker are
25 benefiting from the law that requires injury to prove

1 assault and battery of a high and aggravated nature.

2 So the next step in line is assault and battery first
3 degree. These three Defendants are having their potential
4 exposure on each of these charges reduced from 30 years to
5 up to ten years, not because of anything redeeming on their
6 part, but because they missed their targets.

7 They didn't know who was in that home. And, Your
8 Honor, it's the State's position that that makes their
9 actions that much more egregious. They didn't know that
10 there were four children in that home. And they didn't
11 care. They didn't know that there was a one-year-old
12 sleeping in the bed by the window whose parents had to pull
13 drywall and a bullet off of their baby. And they didn't
14 care.

15 We have had a rash of incidents in Aiken County. Your
16 Honor tried a drive-by shooting last month --

17 MR. CHANDLER: Your Honor, I don't believe that
18 anything related to anything unrelated to the actions of my
19 client should be discussed or considered by this Court.

20 THE COURT: Well, I don't know where she's going.

21 MR. CHANDLER: Well, Your Honor, in the event that
22 she's was going there, I wanted to make that our clear
23 objection.

24 THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Chandler.

25 MS. HAMMACK: And I want to distinguish this situation

1 from those. Your Honor tried a drive-by shooting last month
2 involving the Seventh Lounge where 11 innocent people were
3 shot. We have had families who have had to bury children in
4 the last few weeks here in Aiken County --

5 MR. CHANDLER: Your Honor, I restate my objection.
6 This isn't necessary.

7 MS. ALVES: I would join in that objection as well.

8 THE COURT: This is a sentencing presentation and y'all
9 are free to address anything the State offers.

10 Yes, ma'am.

11 MS. HAMMACK: The difference between these three
12 Defendants and those cases is that the Aguilar's were lucky
13 enough not to die, but their actions are the same. These
14 three men were part of a group of four that fired into a
15 home of people they didn't know from Adam's house cat. They
16 didn't care and they are receiving a benefit from the law
17 today merely because nobody got shot.

18 It's the State's position that their actions warrant
19 maximum consecutive sentences on both charges.

20 THE COURT: Anything else?

21 MS. HAMMACK: Would you like to make the 911 clips a
22 Court's Exhibit?

23 THE COURT: It was played, so I think so, yes.

24 MS. HAMMACK: Yes, Your Honor.

25 MR. CHANDLER: May it please the Court?

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

2 MR. CHANDLER: My client, Kaderrian Hutto, Your Honor,
3 is 22 years old. He will turn 23 this month on the 27th.
4 He's a 2020 graduate of Blackville-Hilda High School in
5 Barnwell County. After high school, he worked at Pegasus
6 pillow plant and, also, did some work with a mobile car
7 wash.

8 He has today in support of him, Your Honor, his mother,
9 Ms. Shemila (ph) Dunbar, his aunt, Ms. Edna Dunbar, and his
10 brother. Whenever the time is appropriate, Ms. Edna Dunbar
11 would like to speak regarding the support system that's
12 going to be available for Mr. Hutto when he gets out.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. CHANDLER: Your Honor, my client, also, has a
15 five-year-old daughter, so he was a father even when he was
16 in high school, still able to graduate and became gainfully
17 employed.

18 Mr. Hutto was incarcerated, Your Honor, on January the
19 12th of 2023, so that's 935 days, two years, seven months.
20 And as the solicitor has already indicated, this is not a
21 reduction of any of the charges. This is an accurate
22 reflection of what, actually, happened on that day. These
23 are the appropriate charges because this is what happened
24 that day. My client comes before you accepting full
25 responsibility for what he's done.

1 In essence, Your Honor, in other cases where people
2 plead guilty to assault and battery first degree where they
3 were given regular sentences, in essence, this two years and
4 seven months that he's already completed would be around
5 five years that's normally given for a charge like this.
6 Frankly, Your Honor, I do believe under the circumstances of
7 what these charges are, him accepting full responsibility
8 and, as the solicitor indicated, his full record, which is
9 at minimum at best with a simple possession of marijuana, we
10 would request a suspended YOA in this case, recognizing he's
11 not given credit for that time he spent, but, also,
12 recognizing that he's young and he has his life in front of
13 him, Your Honor.

14 And what I shared with my client is that after he
15 completes the YOA program, he will still have to wait five
16 years -- walk the straight and narrow for five years to have
17 the opportunity start afresh and anew. And like I shared
18 with him, if he can do it for five years, he can do it for
19 50.

20 In the event that you believe an active sentence is
21 necessary in this case, Your Honor, we would ask for an
22 active YOA. He does not get credit for 935 days that he's
23 spent, but I think it is an accurate reflection of what the
24 legislators intended with a charge like this under these
25 circumstances. I do believe this would be a fair,

1 reasonable and just sentence.

2 Would it be an appropriate time for Ms. Edna Dunbar to
3 come and speak on his behalf?

4 THE COURT: That will be fine.

5 Yes, ma'am.

6 MS. EDNA DUNBAR: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is
7 Edna Dunbar. I'm Kaderrian's great aunt. Kaderrian has a
8 support system. His father died a couple years ago in a car
9 accident. He never got closure to that. But he has his
10 mom, his grandma, his 18-year-old brother, seven-year-old
11 sister and his five-year-old daughter and then he have his
12 grandma on his mom's side and his granddaddy and lots of
13 great aunties and an uncle.

14 Kaderrian, after his dad died, his cousin and best
15 friend was killed and a week later, his great-grandma passed
16 away. So he has been through some trauma in his life. But
17 we are there to support him and to make sure he gets on the
18 right track. We're there for him.

19 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

20 MR. CHANDLER: Your Honor, you've heard the State's
21 presentation. This is not another case, Your Honor, this is
22 this case. The facts are clear. There was a shooting.
23 There was no specific intent. There was no meeting of the
24 minds. There was just some shots that came from a car. And
25 my client is accepting responsibility for exactly what

1 happened on that day. And we're asking in this case for a
2 suspended YOA. But in the event you deem active time
3 necessary, we would ask for the active YOA.

4 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

5 Ms. Alves.

6 MS. ALVES: Your Honor, may it please the Court.

7 Your Honor, Mr. Isaac is 23 years old. He was 20 when
8 this happened. He was, also, 20 when he was arrested for
9 this charge in February of 2023. He is from Blackville,
10 South Carolina. His mother's family is from Barnwell
11 County. His father's family is from the Columbia area. He
12 was raised primarily by his grandmother. And he lived with
13 his grandmother, his maternal grandmother prior to his
14 arrest. His paternal grandmother died -- who he was, also,
15 close with, she died about a week before Christmas of 2022.

16 Mr. Isaac went to Bamberg-Hilda High School. He got to
17 the 12th grade. He left school because -- due to some
18 problems, he tells me, at home and he started working. He
19 has worked in his life. He's worked at Russell's Pizza in
20 Blackville. He's worked at a manufacturing plant where he
21 made metal brackets for light poles. He's, also, worked at
22 Pegasus in Denmark. That's the plant that makes pillows.
23 He's had other odd jobs throughout, but that's the primary
24 places he worked at for an extended period of time. He
25 tells me his last job was at Pegasus and he worked there for

1 about a year.

2 Judge, Mr. Isaac has one child. She is now two years
3 old. That child was born about a month prior to his arrest,
4 so he doesn't have an extended relationship with her,
5 unfortunately, because he's been incarcerated since that
6 time. I'd just note that he's been in jail for 890 days.

7 Judge, Mr. Isaac does regret his part in what happened.
8 Judge --

9 THE COURT: Ms. Alves, you said 890?

10 MS. ALVES: 890 days. He's been in jail since February
11 27th of 2023. He has -- Judge, the person in the burglary,
12 as the Solicitor stated, that was a relative. And it's my
13 understanding from talking to the Solicitor that that person
14 no longer wanted to pursue the charges, which is why the
15 burglary charge which they were originally charged with is
16 being dismissed. That person, also, talked to Mr. Isaac's
17 mother after they talked to the Solicitor to tell her that
18 they forgave them and didn't want their charge to be the
19 cause of anything happening to them.

20 I know he regrets his part in this. I know he's
21 grateful that no one was injured in this situation.

22 I'd, also, reiterate what Mr. Chandler said, that these
23 two charges that he's pleading to are not part of any type
24 of plea down from those charges. These are accurate charges
25 based on what happened with no one being injured and there

1 being no specific intent to shoot at anybody in particular
2 in the house.

3 So I would ask Your Honor to take into consideration
4 the fact that my client does not have any convictions, the
5 fact that he is 23 years old and the fact that he is here
6 taking responsibility and he's spent the past 890 days
7 incarcerated for these charges. I would ask you to consider
8 a youthful offender act sentence suspended to probation for
9 Mr. Isaac.

10 If Your Honor feels that -- and Mr. Isaac understand
11 what would be entailed of him on probation. We've discussed
12 that. He knows what would be required by the department
13 should you give him such a sentence.

14 I would ask you to consider if you don't think a
15 probationary sentence is appropriate for you to consider an
16 active youthful offender act sentence. He understands that
17 he would not get any credit for the time that he's been in
18 should you give him a youthful offender act sentence, but
19 that's -- he, also, understands that would be an opportunity
20 for him -- he understands what would be required, that once
21 he gets out of that he would be on parole for a period of
22 time, which he would have to live right.

23 He, also, understands that's the opportunity he would
24 have from such a sentence to show this Court that he could
25 stay out of trouble in the future because he would have the

1 opportunity to some day come out of this without a record
2 should he be able to go five years without any type of
3 trouble after he gets off of the parole.

4 So that's why I'm asking you to consider sentencing him
5 in the manner in which I have. And as I note, he
6 understands that if you did that, he would not get any
7 credit for the time that he's done.

8 I would, also, ask -- he, also, has family support, his
9 mother. I, also, want to tell you what he told me. He did
10 attend church with his family prior to his arrest. He tells
11 me that he attended the Greater Sweet Branch Church in Olar.
12 So this is not how he was -- this is not how he tells me he
13 was raised, but, unfortunately, this is the situation he
14 found himself in on Christmas Day of 2022.

15 I would ask you to hear from his mother, Tiffany Jones,
16 at this time.

17 THE COURT: Very well.

18 MS. TIFFANY JONES: I'm Jamari's mother, my name is
19 Tiffany. My son, Jamari -- people call him Antonio, I call
20 him Jamari. Jamari is sweet, loving, caring, sharing.
21 Every time I ask him to do something, it always yes, ma'am.
22 You know, no back talk, no none of that. And he has a
23 two-year-old daughter. You know, I feel like, you know, he
24 missed out on a lot. And I feel like he done sat in jail
25 long enough now and I feel like he should be able to come

1 home.

2 THE COURT: Thank you.

3 MS. ALVES: Nothing further from Mr. Isaac.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Thompson.

5 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, may it please the Court.

6 Cameron at the time was 20 years old. He's from
7 Blackville. He grew up with these boys. They were friends
8 growing up. They went to high school together. They
9 graduated from Blackville High School.

10 Cameron is a rather soft-spoken fellow. He has no
11 juvenile record. He has no adult record. Your Honor, at
12 trial, I would, also, be arguing he had no gun. What he had
13 was a ride. He was the driver of the pickup truck because
14 his uncle would let him drive the pickup truck because he
15 had a job in Blackville at the fiberglass plant. He had a
16 ride and as you heard earlier, that was the thing missing,
17 they did not have a ride. He gave them a ride.

18 Now, this does not make him any less guilty. I would
19 just argue that it, perhaps, makes him maybe less of a
20 threat to society.

21 He was arrested and spent three days in jail. He only
22 has three days credit for time served. His family bonded
23 him out. They're hardworking folks that are not here today,
24 but he does have some family support -- they are here today.

25 Your Honor, for the last 922 days, he's been on bond.

1 And for the last 922 days, he's been on the street and he
2 hasn't been arrested. He hasn't been in any trouble
3 whatsoever. He hasn't been any threat to the Aguilaras at
4 all. He's right now, frankly, working at Amazon, still
5 lives in Blackville.

6 I would argue that he would be an excellent candidate
7 to, perhaps, take a risk for probation on. I would ask that
8 the Court consider a sentence for him under the youthful
9 offender act and consider a probationary sentence in this
10 matter. He would not disagree with me that this is probably
11 the stupidest thing he's ever done in his life and he's very
12 sorry.

13 THE COURT: Any family that wishes to be heard?

14 MR. THOMPSON: No, sir.

15 THE COURT: So your client was the driver?

16 MR. THOMPSON: The Toyota Tacoma pickup truck belongs
17 to his uncle. Cameron's the driver. There's testimony that
18 the State talked about how when the lady goes outside, he's,
19 actually, sitting there behind the wheel of the truck that
20 night. He is the driver of the vehicle.

21 THE COURT: And there's four people, but we don't know
22 who the fourth person is?

23 MS. HAMMACK: The State has information as to who the
24 fourth person, not because of any cooperation by any of
25 these Defendants, but independently.

1 MR. THOMPSON: My understanding is the fourth person
2 may have been a juvenile. I don't know the answer to that
3 question.

4 THE COURT: Well, I've heard about four people, but we
5 have three here.

6 MR. THOMPSON: Correct, Your Honor, that's correct.

7 MS. HAMMACK: At the time of these charges, the
8 identity of the fourth person was unknown.

9 MR. THOMPSON: The only other thing I would add is I
10 don't believe that it would be appropriate to infer any bad
11 intent at all about going to the right and then going to the
12 left because going to the left is the way to get back to
13 Blackville, which is where they're from.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 I'm probably going to take a break.

16 Anything else from anyone before I do?

17 MS. HAMMACK: No, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Let me look over this. I'll be back
19 shortly.

20 (WHEREUPON, a short break was taken.)

21 THE COURT: All right. Obviously, a lot to hear over
22 the last hour. I appreciate the presentation from the
23 attorneys today.

24 The Defendants are all charged with assault and battery
25 in the first degree. Assault and battery in the first

1 degree is classified as a nonviolent offense, a parolable
2 offense. And in my time as a Judge, I can't imagine a more
3 violent circumstance for assault and battery. I can't
4 imagine a more violent instance than shooting at a house
5 with people on the porch, apparently, on Christmas Eve.

6 So I recognize assault and battery is the charge we're
7 here for today. I recognize how it's classified under the
8 law, but there's nothing nonviolent about these facts,
9 nothing at all. I think it's a pretty aggravated case. And
10 there's nothing accidental about it. These are very
11 deliberate acts. I recognize we don't have every bit of
12 information, but I heard the 911 tape. I heard from the
13 State. I heard from the lawyers. I've heard from the
14 families. But this is a really disturbing case on the
15 facts.

16 Mr. Thompson, I don't think -- it's tough to know who
17 did what here. All I know is your guy was the driver. And
18 I've tried to take that into account as well as his behavior
19 while out on bond.

20 As far as the criminal background of the other two
21 Defendants, Mr. Hutto and Mr. Isaac, some criminal history,
22 nothing like this, at least, as I recall. Still this is an
23 aggravating case and that's how I'm treating it.

24 I'll just start with Mr. Walker, who appeared to be on
25 a very bad night, perhaps, the least culpable. On the

1 charges of assault and battery first degree, both of those
2 charges, those are concurrent active YOA's not to exceed six
3 years. No probation.

4 For Mr. Hutto and Mr. Isaac, I look at it quite
5 differently. I don't think a YOA is appropriate at all. A
6 YOA is meant for nonviolent offenses. And I realize that
7 the charge of assault and battery can be classified that
8 way, but this is a really violent act based upon what I
9 heard.

10 So, also, active sentences for both Mr. Isaac and Mr.
11 Hutto. For Mr. Isaac, on indictments ending in 1732, that's
12 ten years and on 1733, that's five years. Those will run
13 consecutive.

14 Mr. Hutto, the charges 1753 and 1754, ten, plus five
15 years consecutive. Both totaling 15 years in the Department
16 of Corrections. That is all.

17 (WHEREUPON, Court's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
18 identification.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

COUNTY OF AIKEN)

I, PENNY M. JOHNSON, Official Court Reporter for the Second Judicial Circuit of the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, accurate, and complete Transcript of Record of the proceedings had and the evidence introduced in the hearing of the captioned case, relative to appeal, in the Court of General Sessions for Aiken County, South Carolina, on the 4th day of August, 2025.

I do further certify that I am neither of kin, counsel, nor interest to any party hereto.

July 25, 2026

Penny M. Johnson
Penny M. Johnson
Certified Court Reporter

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF AIKEN

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

State of South Carolina,

Indictments 2023GS0201732 2023GS0201733

Antonio Jamari Isaac

**MOTION TO RECONSIDER
SENTENCE**

Defendant.

The Defendant in the above-captioned matter respectfully moves the Court to reconsider the sentence it imposed on the Defendant on Monday, August 4, 2025

As grounds for the reconsideration, the Defendant submits the attached Memorandum in Support of his Motion and urges that the interests of justice will be served by the granting of this request. If the Court deems this necessary, the Defendant is prepared to present further grounds as necessary, in open court and/or *in camera* at such date and time as the Court deems appropriate.



Respectfully submitted,



Wallis Alves

Attorney for Defendant

Aiken, South Carolina
August 6, 2025

FILED August 6 20 25

C.C.O.P. & G.S.

Deputy Clerk

2 cc pd

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF AIKEN

) IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
) FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
)

State of South Carolina,

) Indictments 2023GS0201732 2023GS0201733
)
)
)

Antonio Jamari Isaac,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


Defendant.

I, Wallis Alves did serve a copy of this Motion on Deputy Solicitor Ashley Hammack and Judge Walton McLeod IV by email on August 6, 2025.



Wallis Alves
Attorney for Defendant

FILED August 6 2025

CCJP. & G.S.

Deputy Clerk

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA }
COUNTY OF AIKEN }

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
Indictments 2023GS0201732 2023GS0201733

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
vs.
ANTONIO JAMARI ISAAC,
Defendant

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO RECONSIDER SENTENCE**

The Defendant pled guilty to 2 counts of Assault and Battery 1st degree on August 4, 2025. He received a sentence of 10 years on one charge and 5 years on the other, with the sentence to run consecutively. He received credit for 890 days of pre-trial incarceration.

The authority to change a sentence rests exclusively with the sentencing judge and is within his or her discretion. State v. Smith, 276 S.C. 494, 498, 280 S.E.2d 200, 202 (1981). A judge or other sentencing authority is to be accorded with very wide discretion in determining an appropriate sentence and must be permitted to consider any and all information that reasonably might bear on the proper sentence for the particular defendant, given the crime committed. Wasman v. United States, 468 U.S. 559, 563, 104 S.Ct. 3217, 82 L.Ed.2d 424 (1984).

In this situation, there were 3 Defendants before the Court. There was a fourth person alleged to be involved but that person was never identified or charged. The three defendants charged were originally charged with Burglary 2nd degree and Attempted Murder (6 counts) and Possession of a Weapon during the Commission of a Violent Crime. The charged stemmed from an attempt to break into a storage container and a subsequent shooting into a dwelling, The State was never able to identify the actual shooter and charged the Defendants under the premise of the hand of one being the hand of all.

FILED August 6 20 25
Robert J. Korte
CCJP & G.S.
Katie P. Williams
Deputy Clerk

During the investigation of the case, the State recognized the difficulty and near impossibility of being able to prove the elements of Attempted Murder beyond a reasonable doubt and indicted all 3 Defendants for Assault and Battery of a High and Aggravated Nature. (4 counts). They also recognized that they would not be able to meet their burden regarding these charges due to the fact that no one was injured during the incident. Thus, the Defendants were offered a plea to the offenses of Assault and Battery 1st degree. During the plea, the Deputy Solicitor acknowledged that a plea on these charges was not due to any reductions for plea negotiations but because these were the charges that appropriately fit the facts of the case and the law.

At the time of the plea, the Defendant did ask the Court to consider a probationary sentence or in the alternative, to sentence him to a Youthful Offender sentence. Co-Defendant Cameron Walker was given a Youthful Offender Act sentence while the Defendant and Co-Defendant Kadarrien Hutto were given identical adult sentences. All three co-defendants were similarly situated with no one being identified as the shooter. Walker was identified as the driver of the vehicle.

During the plea hearing, there were statements made regarding 2 shooters. This was new information and the statements provided during discovery do not mention 2 shooters. Noone was ever identified as a shooter. Also, although there were the shell casings collected at the scene, the defense was not provided with any information to indicate 2 separate weapons being used during the shooting. Walker's attorney indicated that he was the driver of the vehicle but that does not preclude him from being a possible shooter or from having more involvement in the crime. In addition, the State did not support Walker's attorney's assertion that he did not possess a weapon and was merely the driver.

The Defendants were also similarly situated with the lack of significant criminal history. Defendants Isaac and Hutto had significant pre-trial release incarceration time, while Walker had approximately 3 days. All three pled guilty under the premise of the hand of one being the hand of all, with none being specifically identified as a shooter by the State or defense. There was also no evidence presented of the actions by the fourth person in the vehicle.

The Defendant is informed and believed that there is not a justifiable basis for such a disparity in the sentences of him and Co-Defendant Hutto vs. the sentence received by Co-Defendant Walker. Thus, the Defendant is asking that his sentences reflect their similar situated status and that he resentenced similarly to Co-Defendant Walker.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant prays that the Court reconsider his sentences and sentence him under the Youthful Offender Act. In the alternative, the Defendant would ask the Court to amend the sentences to allow them to run concurrently rather than consecutively.



Wallis Alves
Post Office Box 267
Barnwell, SC 29812
(803) 541-1129
Attorney for Defendant

August 6, 2025

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
 COUNTY OF AIKEN)
)
 The State of South Carolina,)
)
 vs.)
)
 Antonio Jamari Issac,)
)
Defendant.)

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
 SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Indictment: 2023GS0201732 2023GS0201733

ORDER DENYING MOTION
 TO RECONSIDER OR MODIFY SENTENCE

Defendant filed a Motion to Reconsider Sentence on August 6, 2025. Defendant argues that the interests of justice will be served by granting his motion. Defendant pled guilty of two counts of Assault and Battery, First Degree. This Court sentenced Defendant to ten (10) years and five (5) years consecutively in prison on August 4, 2025. The State filed a subsequent response to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider on September 3, 2025.

Defendant asserts in his Motion that the sentence imposed is in excess of what is necessary to serve the ultimate goal of criminal sentencing. Specifically, Defendant argues it was unfair for the court to allow Co-Defendant Walker to receive a sentence under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed six years, whereas both Defendant Hutto and Defendant Issac received consecutive sentences, as the State was unable to identify the shooter. However, Defendant Walker was identified as the driver of the vehicle.

This incident occurred in the evening of Christmas Eve into the early morning hours of Christmas. It was the Aguilars', the victims in this case, first night in their new home. When they observed Defendants attempting to break into their neighbor's home they called 911 and sounded their car alarms to attempt to deter Defendants. In retaliation, Defendants drove past the Aguilars home and fired 7 or 8 shots towards their home. Much of the bullet damage was around the door frame where the Mr. and Mrs. Aguilar and their 17 and 18-year-old children

2 CC- SOL
 1 CC- W. ALVIS

FILED September 19 20 25
Robert J. White
 C.C.P. & G.S.
Jonas S. Johnson
 Deputy Clerk

stood. Additionally, a bullet was found resting on their sleeping one-year old baby and dust from the drywall settled on the child.

Initially, Defendants were all charged with six counts of Attempted Murder for the Aguilar family. The State discerned they would not be able to prove specific intent as the evidence did not support that Defendants knew exactly who was in the home. Further the State could not charge Defendants with Assault and Battery of a High and Aggravated Nature as no one was injured, they argued "the Defendants are benefitting from the law not because of anything redeeming on their part, but because they missed their targets." The State asserted that the fact that Defendants did not know who was in the home made their actions even more reckless. Additionally, since the State could not identify the shooter, Defendants were found equally culpable under the "hand of one hand of all doctrine."

The Court heard testimony that the neighbor's house broken into was a family member of Defendant Issac. The family member was in possession of Defendant Isaac's four-wheeler, which had been impounded and several family members paid for it to be retrieved. Defendant Issac's family members informed him he would not receive the four-wheeler until they were paid back. Apparently, Defendant Issac had made several attempts to break into the family member's property to retrieve the four-wheeler. It was believed this four-wheeler was in the Aguilar's neighbor's storage unit. The Aguilars informed law enforcement that during the break-in they observed four individuals get out of the vehicle and attempted to break into the storage unit which reportedly contained Defendant Walker's uncle's vehicle.

Defendant Isaac asserts that there is no justifiable basis for the disparity of sentence and that all three were similarly situated. Further Defendant notes that since the State charged all Defendants under the hand of one hand of all doctrine, each Defendant should receive equal

sentences, proportional to the acts. Under the “the hand of one is the hand of all” doctrine, the State proves the defendant guilty by proving he had a mutual plan or agreement with another person to commit one crime, and during the course of committing that initial crime, the other person committed a second crime they had not agreed to commit. *State v. Sellers*, 442 S.C. 140, 148, 898 S.E.2d 116, 120 (2024) (citing *State v. Harry*, 420 S.C. 290, 299, 803 S.E.2d 272, 276 (2017); see also *Butler v. State*, 435 S.C. 96, 97-98, 866 S.E.2d 347, 348 (2021) (“Under the theory the ‘hand of one is the hand of all,’ when two people join together to commit a crime, and during the commission of that crime one of the two commits another crime, both may be criminally liable for the unplanned crime if it was a natural and probable consequence of their common plan to commit the initial crime.”)). In *Sellers*, the Supreme Court distinguished from *Barber v. State* that the State does not need to prove it was possible one Co-Defendant fired the shots but that the Co-Defendants joint actions supports that either one or both Defendants delivered the fatal blow or blows. 442 S.C. 140, 152-153 898 S.E.2d 116, 123.

Additionally, in *Barber*, three out of four defendants pled and testified against Barber for a robbery that resulted in the murder of two people. 393 S.C. 232, 234-235, 712 S.E.2d 436, 438 (2011). Barber's co-defendants received sentences ranging from 15-30 years for their involvement. *Id.* The Supreme Court found the trial court did not err in charging “hand of one is the hand of all” as there was sufficient evidence to support that he was acting with the other defendants in the robbery and could have been one of the shooters. *Id.*, at 237 712 S.E.2d at 439. Barber's convictions and sentences were upheld. *Id.*, at 239 712 S.E.2d at 440.

In considering the Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Sentence, this court reviewed all the facts and circumstances presented this at the sentencing hearing and through post plea

motion filings by all the parties. In conclusion, this court finds the sentence imposed to be appropriate under the circumstances. THEREFORE, this court DENIES Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Sentence. Further, this court finds that oral argument is not necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Walton J. McLeod, IV
Presiding Judge
Second Judicial Circuit

September 19, 2025
Aiken, South Carolina

WITNESSES

Aiken County Sheriff

Mark A Christensen

Law Enforcement Case #: 22-079959

B. Cleary

AAH

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2022A0210700385

FILED August 10, 2023

Robert J. White
C.C.M. & G.S.*Jonny S. Johnson Jr.*
Deputy Clerk

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

*True Bill*Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: August 10, 2023

VERDICT

DOCKET NO. 2023GS0201732

The State of South Carolina

County of Aiken

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

AUGUST TERM 2023

THE STATE
vs.

ANTONIO JAMARI ISAAC

CDR #: 3410

Indictment for

ATTEMPTED MURDER

§ 16-03-0029(A)

BILL WEEKS, SOLICITOR

Foreperson of Petit Jury

Date:

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
 COUNTY OF AIKEN)
)

INDICTMENT FOR
 ATTEMPTED MURDER

§ 16-03-0029(A)

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on August 21, 2023, the Grand Jurors of Aiken County present upon their oath:

That **ANTONIO JAMARI ISAAC** did, along with others, in Aiken County on or about December 25, 2022, feloniously and with malice aforethought, attempt to murder Lisa Aguilar by means of shooting. All in violation of Section 16-3-29 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976), as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.


 BILL WEEKS, SOLICITOR

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

SENTENCE ORDER

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF AIKEN

STATE

VS.

Antonio Jamari Isaac

AKA: SSN: [REDACTED]

RACE: Black SEX: Male

DOB: [REDACTED] /2002

) INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2023GS0201732) AW#: 2022A0210700385) Date of Offense: 12/25/2022) S.C. Code §: 16-3-29) CDR Code #: 3410) Range of Offense: 0-30 yearsIn disposition of the above indictment comes now the Defendant who was ☐ CONVICTED OF or ☒ PLEADSTO: Assault and Battery First DegreeRange of Offense Pled: 0-10 yearsIn violation of § 16-3-600(C)(1)of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 3412
☒ NON-VIOLENT ☐ VIOLENT ☐ SERIOUS ☐ MOST SERIOUS ☐ Mandatory GPS ☐ § 17-25-45
 (CSC w/minor 1st or CSC w/minor 3rd)
The charge is: ☐ As indicted, ☒ Lesser Included Offense, ☐ Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand JuryThe plea is: ☒ w/o Rec/Negotiations ☐ Negotiated _____ ☐ Recommendation _____Ashley Hammack
Solicitor80178
SC Bar #Wallis April Alves
Attorney For Defendant65401
SC Bar #The Defendant is committed to the ☒ SCDC ☐ County Detention Center ☐ Home Incarceration Program
for a determinate term of 10 days/months/years Time Served ☐ YOA NTE _____ years and/or shall pay a fine

of \$ _____; provided that upon the service of _____ days/months/years/Time Served and or payment

of \$ _____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for _____
months/years and subject to SCDPPPS standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.☐ The sentence shall run CONCURRENT or ☐ CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: _____☐ The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by SCDC.890

days/month

☐ To include time spent on monitored house arrest prior to trial and sentencing.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

- ☐ PTUP
- ☐ No Contact with Victim ☐ Domestic Violence Intervention Program ☐ Hold for Inpatient Treatment
- ☐ Sex Offender Registry pursuant to S.C. Code § 23-3-430 ☐ SAC/MHC if necessary
- ☐ Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code § 17-25-135
- ☐ Other: _____

☐ RESTITUTION See Separate Order (20% per S.C. Code § 24-21-490 (B))

§14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%)

§14-1-211 (A)(1)(Conv. Surcharge)

§14-1-211 (A)(2)(DUI Surcharge)

§56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)

§56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)

§14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)

§14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)

§34-11-70(b)and(c), and 34-11-90(c)and(d) (Admin Fraud Check Court Costs)

§50-21-114 (BUI Breath Test Fee)

§56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)

3% to County (if paid in installments)

☐ Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees☐ §17-3-30(B) Unpaid Application Fee to be paid to the Public Defender FundMiaude Oskude
Clerk of Court/Deputy ClerkDeBenny Johnson
Court Reporter2765
Judge Code8/4/2025
Sentence DateWallis April Alves
Presiding Judge

Restitution	\$
FINE:	\$
	\$
\$100	\$ <u>100.00</u>
\$100	\$
\$12	\$
\$25	\$
\$25	\$ <u>25.00</u>
\$150	\$
\$41	\$
\$50	\$
\$40/ea	\$
TBD	\$ <u>3.75</u>
\$500	\$
TBD	\$
TOTAL	\$ <u>128.75</u>

SCCA217B
01/27/2025

WITNESSES

Aiken County Sheriff

Mark A Christensen

Law Enforcement Case #: 22-079959

B. Henry

AAH

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

2022A0210700388

FILED

*August 10, 2023**Robert L. Bente*
C.C.R. & G.S.*Jonny S. Johnson*
by Deputy ClerkACTION OF GRAND JURY*True Bill**[Signature]*
Foreperson of Grand Jury
Date: August 10, 2023VERDICTCDR #: 3410

Indictment for

ATTEMPTED MURDER

§ 16-03-0029(A)

[Signature]
Foreperson of Petit Jury
Date:BILL WEEKS, SOLICITORDOCKET NO. 2023GS0201733The State of South CarolinaCounty of AikenCOURT OF GENERAL SESSIONSAUGUST TERM 2023THE STATE
vs.ANTONIO JAMARI ISAAC

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF AIKEN)
)

INDICTMENT FOR
ATTEMPTED MURDER

§ 16-03-0029(A)

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on August 21, 2023, the Grand Jurors of Aiken County present upon their oath:

That **ANTONIO JAMARI ISAAC** did, along with others, in Aiken County on or about December 25, 2022, feloniously and with malice aforethought, attempt to murder Juan Aguilar by means of shooting. All in violation of Section 16-3-29 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976), as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.


BILL WEEKS, SOLICITOR

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

SENTENCE ORDER

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF AIKEN

STATE

VS.

Antonio Jamari Isaac

AKA: SSN: [REDACTED]

RACE: Black SEX: MaleDOB: [REDACTED] 2002) INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2023GS0201733) AW#: 2022A0210700388) Date of Offense: 12/25/2022) S.C. Code §: 16-3-29) CDR Code #: 3410) Range of Offense: 0-30 yearsIn disposition of the above indictment comes now the Defendant who was ☐ CONVICTED OF or ☒ PLEADSTO: Assault and Battery First DegreeRange of Offense Pled: 0-10 yearsIn violation of § 16-3-600(C)(1) of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 3412
☒ NON-VIOLENT ☐ VIOLENT ☐ SERIOUS ☐ MOST SERIOUS ☐ Mandatory GPS ☐ § 17-25-45
 (CSC w/minor 1st or CSC w/minor 3rd)
The charge is: ☐ As indicted, ☒ Lesser Included Offense, ☐ Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand JuryThe plea is: ☒ w/o Rec/Negotiations ☐ Negotiated _____ ☐ Recommendation _____Ashley Hammack
Solicitor80176
SC Bar #Wallis April Alves
Attorney For Defendant65401
SC Bar #The Defendant is committed to the ☒ SCDC ☐ County Detention Center ☐ Home Incarceration Program
for a determinate term of 3 days/months/years/Time Served ☐ YOA NTE _____ years and/or shall pay a fine

of \$ _____; provided that upon the service of _____ days/months/years/Time Served and or payment

of \$ _____; plus costs and assessments as applicable*; the balance is suspended with probation for _____ months/years and subject to SCDPPPS standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

☐ The sentence shall run CONCURRENT or ☒ CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: 2023 GS 02-01732☐ The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by SCDC.890 days/month ☐ To include time spent on monitored house arrest prior to trial and sentencing.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

- ☐ PTUP
- ☐ No Contact with Victim ☐ Domestic Violence Intervention Program ☐ Hold for Inpatient Treatment
- ☐ Sex Offender Registry pursuant to S.C. Code § 23-3-430 ☐ SAC/MHC if necessary
- ☐ Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code § 17-25-135
- ☐ Other: _____

☐ RESTITUTION See Separate Order (20% per S.C. Code § 24-21-490 (B))

§14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%)

§14-1-211 (A)(1)(Conv. Surcharge)

§14-1-211 (A)(2)(DUI Surcharge)

§56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment)

§56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test)

§14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding)

§14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge)

§34-11-70(b)and(c), and 34-11-90(c)and(d) (Admin Fraud Check Court Costs)

§50-21-114 (BUI Breath Test Fee)

§56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment)

3% to County (if paid in installments)

☐ Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, Proviso requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation and shall be collected before any other fees☐ § 17-3-30(B) Unpaid Application Fee to be paid to the Public Defender Fund

Clerk of Court/Deputy Clerk

Penny Johnson
Court Reporter2765
Judge Code8/4/2025
Sentence DateWal J O
Presiding Judge

Restitution	\$	
FINE:	\$	
	\$	
\$100	\$	100.00
\$100	\$	
\$12	\$	
\$25	\$	
\$25	\$	25.00
\$150	\$	
\$41	\$	
\$50	\$	
\$40/ea	\$	
TBD	\$	3.75
\$500	\$	
TBD	\$	
TOTAL	\$	128.75


SCCA217B
01/27/2025

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

Counsel for appellant certifies that this Record on Appeal contains all material proposed to be included by any of the parties and not any other material and that this Record on Appeal complies to the best of my ability with the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive Information in Appellate Court Filings."

RECEIVED
Oct 16 2026
SC Court of Appeals

Respectfully Submitted,



Wanda H. Carter
Chief Appellate Defender

South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, S.C. 29211-1589

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

This 16th day of October, 2026.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED
Oct 16 2026
SC Court of Appeals

Appeal from Aiken County

Honorable Walton J. McLeod, IV, Circuit Court Judge

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,


V.

ANTONIO JAMARI ISAAC,

APPELLANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Rule 262(a)(3) and Rule 262(c)(3), SCACR, the undersigned hereby certifies a true copy of the Record on Appeal in the above-referenced case has been served upon Mark R. Farthing, Esquire, at the primary e-mail address listed in the Attorney Information System (AIS), and on Antonio J. Isaac, 344731, at Palmer Pre-Release Center, 2012 Pisgah Road, Florence, SC, 29501, this 16th day of October, 2026.



Wanda H. Carter
Chief Appellate Defender