

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.


Antonio Gordon, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2013-002411

ORDER

Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss, arguing Appellant failed to timely serve the notice of appeal. Appellant filed a return, noting he timely served the trial judge and any failure to timely serve the Respondent was a clerical error.

After a careful consideration, this appeal is dismissed. *See* Rule 263(b), SCACR ("The time prescribed by these Rules for performing any act except the time for serving the notice of appeal under Rules 203 and 243 may be extended"); *Mears v. Mears*, 287 S.C. 168, 337 S.E.2d 206 (1985) (explaining the notice of appeal is a jurisdictional requirement, and the appellate courts have no authority to extend the time in which the notice of intent to appeal must be served).



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Antonio Gordon, 259798

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Donald J. Zelenka, Esquire

