

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)

Jeremy Cantrell,)

Plaintiff,)

vs.)

Plex Indoor Sports, LLC,)

Defendant.)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT


CASE NO. 2012-CP-40-05356

ORDER

RICHLAND COUNTY
FILED
2014 JAN -3 PM 4:30
JEANETTE W. McBRIDE
C.C.P. & G.S.

After careful consideration of the record in this case and the submissions of counsel, this Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or facts not appropriately considered. In Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Pursuant to Rule 59(e) submitted on or about December 23, 2013, Plaintiff argues that this Court failed to properly consider that Plaintiff was a minor, and therefore required greater warnings or parental consent for the "advanced bowl." However, Plaintiff failed to cite any South Carolina precedent or rule of law to support these arguments and relied only upon the law of other jurisdictions, which is not the law in this state. Accordingly, this Court hereby **DENIES** Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Pursuant to Rule 59(e). Pursuant to Rule 59(f), the Court is of the opinion that oral argument is not necessary.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.


ALISON RENEE LEE
Presiding Judge

December 31, 2013
Columbia, South Carolina

RECEIVED
FEB 24 2014
SC Court of Appeals

SCANNED