


| | | |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA |) | Indictment No.: 2014-GS-46-0389 |
| |) | 2014-GS-46-0390,2014-GS-46-0391 |
| IN THE COURT OF APPEALS |) | 2011-GS-46-0392, 2014-GS-460495 |
| |) | 2014-GS-46-0497,2014-GS-46-0572 |
| State of South Carolina |) | |
| |) | |
| -vs- |) | |
| |) | |
| Paul Antonio McKnight |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

RULE 203(B) EXPLANATION

Pursuant to Rule 203(B)(iv), the issue to be raised on appeal is whether the trial court abused its discretion when it imposed a concurrent sentence of 14 years upon the Appellant after he pled guilty to Distribution of Crack 3rd, Distribution of Crack Proximity Park, Distribution of Heroin 3rd, Distribution of Heroin 3rd, PWID Clonazepam 2nd, PWID Crack Proximity School, Trafficking Crack 2nd, Possession of Marijuana 2nd

The undersigned does not have a good faith basis to believe that this issue is properly before the Court of Appeals, and the undersigned did not object to the sentence or file a motion to reconsider the sentence. Nevertheless, the undersigned consulted with the Appellant about his right to appeal, and after consultation, the undersigned has filed the instant appeal at the request of the Appellant because the Sixth Amendment requires counsel to follow the Appellant's request. *See Frazer v. South Carolina*, 430 F. 3d 696, 705 (4th Cir. 2005) ("A defendant has a right to pursue a direct appeal, even if frivolous, which counsel must assist as 'an active advocate on behalf of his client.'") (Quoting *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967)).

Respectfully submitted,



 James Creighton Hayes
 Assistant Public Defender
 York, South Carolina 29745
 (803) 628-3031

York, South Carolina
 Creighton Hayes, 2014

2014 MAR -4 PM 3:16
 FILED-RECEIVED
 DAVID HAMILTON
 C.C.P. & G.
 YORK COUNTY
RECEIVED
 MAR 07 2014

SC Court of Appeals