

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

T. Terrell Bryan

Appellant,

V.

SCDC

Respondent,

Hon Deborah Brooks Durden

Administrative Law Court

Grie. No MCCI 1129-13, 1123-13, 1109-13 & 1131-13

A.R.C Docket no. 14-ALJ-04-0004-IT & 0003-IT

NOTICE OF APPEAL

I T. Terrell Bryan appeal the orders of the Honorable Deborah Brooks Durden dated 1/22/14 attached. I received same on or about 1/24/14, see attached Exhibit #60 & #61

Without Prejudice

2/2/14 s.c
dated

X ~~_____~~ all rights reserved

T. Terrell Bryan

#254638, SMU-B #19, MCCI

386 Redemption Way

McCormick S.C 29899

CHECKLIST

When a civil rights case from a state, local or federal prisoner is received, the Office of the Clerk of Court shall determine whether the case is in proper form. The term "in proper form" means that the Clerk of Court has received:

- (1) A complaint with your original signature on the appropriate form or in a form substantially similar;
- (2) The full filing fee or an Application to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit (Form AO 240) requesting to proceed *in forma pauperis*;
- (3) A Financial Certificate form (from all prisoners except pre-trial detainees) completed by the plaintiff and by an officer or employee of the institution where the plaintiff is confined or of the prison system in which the plaintiff is confined;
- (4) A separate Form USM-285 for each defendant sued if the plaintiff is proceeding *in forma pauperis* (Note that the plaintiff **must** provide information sufficient to identify the defendant(s) on the Form(s) USM-285. The United States Marshal cannot serve a defendant that is not properly identified, and defendants that are not served may be dismissed as parties to a case.);
- (5) A separate summons form for each defendant sued **or** one summons listing all defendants and their addresses;

If you need additional space on any of the forms, you may submit additional pages. The plaintiff must use letter-sized paper [8 x 11 inch] and write or type text on one side of a sheet of paper only. Do not write or type on both sides of any sheet of paper. Do not write to the edge of the paper, but maintain one inch margins on the top, bottom and sides of each paper submitted.

*Note to Inmate: If you are detained in a county jail, city jail, or local detention center, you do not have to submit the Financial Certificate. You **must** submit the Form AO 240.*

V
M.D.
1-17-21

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM
STEP 1

INMATE NAME: T-Terrell Bryan
SCDC NUMBER: 254638
INSTITUTION: MCCI
HOUSING UNIT: SMV-B1A
WORK ASSIGNMENT: NIA

MAR 20 2013

Office Use Only
Grievance No. MCCI 026313
Code: General _____
Policy _____
Disc. Hear. 854
Class. _____
Date Received 3-20-13
IGC Initials _____
HO 3-18-13 #125

STATE GRIEVANCE (include documentation, and date of incident; if SCDC Policy, indicate which policy)

I am appealing case #125, WRITTEN NOTICE REQUIREMENT. The incident report said I was in cell #29, so I prepared my defense around cell #29, see attached EXHIBIT #6, questions for Maddox #7, 8, 9 & Hall #3. At the hearing the DHO orally amended the incident location from #29 to cell #19, THEREFORE, notice is insufficient, where it was not clear enough, it misled me to prepare my defense around cell #29. "IMPARTIAL" HEARING OFFICER." It is
see attached page 'M'

ACTION REQUESTED: see attached request - I request to listen to the DHO tape & for this case to be vacated with prejudice & all sanction lifted.

SPECIFY HOW AND WHEN INFORMAL RESOLUTION WAS ATTEMPTED BY GRIEVANT:

NIA DHO appeal.

ETB 3/18/13
Grievant Signature Date

ACTION TAKEN BY IGC:

Reviewed Digital Recording of Hearing
Reviewed Disciplinary Paperwork
See Warden's Decision

EXHIBIT
#59

P. J. [Signature] 04-17-13
IGC Signature Date

[Signature]
Grievant Signature Date

- I accept the action taken by the IGC and consider the matter closed.
- I do not accept the action taken and wish to appeal.


WARDEN'S DECISION AND REASON:

Terrance Bryan, 254638
MCCI 0263-13


Inmate Bryan:


You have appealed the results of your **03/18/13** Disciplinary Hearing where you were found guilty on the charge of **854 Exhibitionism and Public Masturbation, case #125**. Pertinent documentation has been reviewed and an investigation of the hearing was conducted. No technicalities, procedural errors, or misinterpretation of evidence was noted and the decision of the Disciplinary Hearing Officer was based on substantial evidence. The sentence imposed was not excessive but proportionate to the rule violation. **DHO Glidewell did address/verify with CCC Maddox the cell in which you were in at the time of the incident as B-19.** Based on this information your appeal is without merit and, therefore **denied**.

If you are not satisfied with my decision, you may appeal to the appropriate responsible official within, (5) days of receipt, via the Institutional Grievance Coordinator.


Warden Signature 4-17-13
Date

- I accept the Warden's decision and consider the matter closed.
- I do not accept the Warden's decision and wish to appeal.


Grievant Signature 4/23/13
Date


IGC Signature 04-23-13
Date

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING STEP 1 GRIEVANCE FORM

1. An informal resolution shall be attempted prior to the filing of Step 1.
2. Complete each section in its entirety, writing only in the space provided for inmate use.
3. Only one (1) issue is to be addressed on each form.
4. Submit the completed form to the Institutional Grievance Coordinator within fifteen (15) days of an alleged incident; policy grievances at any time. Do not write in the space provided for the Warden's response.
5. If you are not satisfied with the Warden's decision, you may appeal to the appropriate responsible official within five (5) days of your receipt of the Warden's decision, via the Institutional Grievance Coordinator.

PAGE 'M'

clear the DHO prejudged the case, where she went out of her ^{way} to ask Maddox leading questions to correct a fatal lie. The DHO ask Maddox if she was sure it was cell #24 or #19, being lead, Maddox change it to cell #19. The DHO's remarks & attitude towards me is evidence of bias. The DHO admitted to cutting me off when I was asking to review statements of Hall, Griffin, Singleton & Wortman to prepare my defense. she refused & said she cut me off ~~because~~ ^{saying} it was irrelevant. The DHO did not consider the 4 statements & refuse to read them in the record. I ask to present the rest of my defense the DHO talked over me. The DHO prejudged the case with C.S. The C.S. told the DHO of the error & they plot how to get around the lie. **RIGHT TO CALL WITNESSES.** The DHO did not allow me to call witness, she told Sgt. Gibbons to get a statement from Elm Wortman where C.S. fail to. No determination was made as to why the witnesses could not be at the hearing. The statements were not made available to me before or at the hearing. Nor did the DHO make a determination as to why she did not make them available to me. Hall, Griffin & Wortman would state & contradict Maddox report in that Maddox never faced my way. **THE DHO WAS BIAS AGAINST QUESTIONING WITNESSES.** C.S. gave the DHO the questions for Maddox & Hall. Questions were not asked by C.S., C.S. did nothing. The DHO asked Maddox part of question #5, did she tell the escorting officer anything but fail to ask Maddox why she did not tell this escorting officer. If asked it would show that the plot to lie on me was made by her & Singleton. see attached EXHIBIT #9 - PROOF OF RETALIATION, w/ attachments. Hall told me that Singleton sent Maddox at me, mental health & myself are beefing (non-physical or threatening, ~~partly~~ purely mental). Hall would said that Maddox gave him no indication of anything being wrong, if she would of he would of investigated, by looking in my cell. Maddox knew this, if she would of said anything it would of meant she would have to convince him to lie for her. Thus, the DHO did ask the full question #5 & did not allow me to call Hall as a witness or make available Hall's statement. **DUE PROCESS WAS VIOLATED.**

page 'M'

Questions for Maddox

1. Is he circumcised or uncircumcised?
2. Did you have a list of films to see on 2/20/13?
3. Was Bryan on your list?
4. Are you Bryan's counselor?
5. Did you tell the officer who was escorting you about the incident, why or why not?
6. Did you tell any of the officers on smc that Bryan did anything, why or why not?
7. Who told you Bryan was in cell #29?
8. When you saw Bryan in the cell, did you see him standing up or sitting down?
9. Do you know Bryan by sight?
10. Where was Bryan standing in the cell?
11. Could you see his face & him masturbating at the same time?
12. Are you sure Bryan was masturbating?

Hall

1. Which way did you & Maddox walk to my cell from the left or the right?
2. When Maddox first came to the cell which way was she turned?
3. Can you see cell #29, if you are standing at cell #4?
4. Did Maddox say anything to you or gave you any indication that something was wrong?

EXHIBIT
#6

File 01-24-13

APR 26 2013

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM
STEP 2

INMATE NAME: T. Terrell Bryan

SCDC NUMBER: 254638

INSTITUTION: MCCI

HOUSING UNIT: smv-619

WORK ASSIGNMENT: N/A

Office Use Only

Grievance No. NYCE 0263-13

Code: General _____

Policy _____

Disc. Hear. 854, 125, 318-1

Class. _____

Date Received 04-26-13

IGC Initials PT

INMATE'S REASON FOR APPEAL (state specific dissatisfaction):

under the finality rule the Warden did not address but issue left open the others, therefore Headquarters lack jurisdiction & should remain for the warden to address all. The DHO pointed out to Maddox how she lied so she could amend it, which I was put on notice for an incident that happen in cell #29. I was denied the right to present a complete defense, statements were hidden from me, & I couldnt question as then or my accusers. The DHO order is not a "Final order" appealable where I was not allowed to appeal the Warden Finalizing & due process violated where finding of guilt is always the same, i.e. "ofc. Is your report true & correct." can not read DHO writing.

Grievant Signature _____ Date 4/23/13

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL'S DECISION AND REASON:

The documentation provided indicates that the evidence presented was sufficient to support the conviction(s) of Exhibitionism and Public Masturbation (854) case #125, on March 18, 2013, under SCDC Policy OP-22.14, Inmate Disciplinary System, dated July 1, 2012, and the sanction(s) imposed, which included the loss of -9- days accrued good time, were appropriate for the rules violation(s). There was no reason found to warrant a reversal of the Disciplinary Hearing Officer's decision. A review of your appeal revealed that you received forty-eight (48) hour notice prior to the hearing, you were afforded due process rights, as required, and the offense was classified and heard in a timely manner.

Therefore, your grievance is denied.

You may appeal this decision under the Administrative Procedures Act to the Administrative Law Court. In order to appeal, you must fill out the attached Notice of Appeal Form and submit it as instructed on the form within 30 days of receipt.

EXHIBIT
#58

Signature _____ Date 12/10/12

The decision rendered by the responsible official exhausts the appeal process of the Inmate Grievance Procedure. I hereby acknowledge receipt of the official's response and understand this is the Agency's final response to this matter.

Grievant Signature _____ Date _____

IGC Signature P. Talley Date 12-19-13

(SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR INSTRUCTIONS)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

T. Terrell Bryan

Appellant,

vs.

South Carolina Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

EXHIBIT
#54

NOTICE OF APPEAL

DOCKET NO. ALJ-04-

GRIEVANCE NO.: MCC 0263-13, 1129-13,
1123-13, 1109-13, & 1131-13

Notice is hereby given that T. Terrell Bryan does hereby appeal the final decision of the South Carolina Department of Corrections dated 12/11/13 and received on 12/19/13, a copy of which is attached. A general statement of the grounds for appeal is (See S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-380(A)(6)):

per 0263-13, I lost good time, the remaining four case implicates a
liberty interest where all case taken together show a pattern of denial
of due process.

T. Terrell Bryan
Appellant's Name
254635, smu 019, MCCI
386 Redemption Way
Mailing Address
McCormick, SC 29899
City, State, Zip Code

mmg, all rights reserved,
Signed
1/02/14
Dated

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, T. T. Bryan (your name), on the 2 day of 1, 2014, in McCormick (city), South Carolina, served a copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal on all parties to this matter by depositing the same in the United States Mail, postage paid, or in the mail room of the undersigned's institution and addressed as follows:

Name of person/Agency served: General Counsel
Address: 4444 Broad River Rd.
City, State, Zip Code: Columbia, SC 29810

FILED
JAN 02 2014

ADMIN. LAW COURT

Print your name: T. Terrell Bryan
(See reverse side for instructions)

Sign your name: mmg, all rights reserved

Dear Appellant:

Below is information regarding your case which has been filed with the ALC. Please refer to the Rules of Procedure (enclosed) for the time frames on filing briefs and other matters.

Case Number	inmate Number	inmate First Name	inmate Last Name	Grievance No	Respondent	Filing Date	Date Assigned	Judge Last Name
14C0002	254638	TERELL	BRYAN	MCCI 263-13	DOC	1/2/2014	1/16/2014	DURDEN

EXHIBIT
#63

FILED
JAN 16 2014
ADMIN. LAW COUR

You must file all original documents and correspondence regarding this case directly with the above-named Judge and serve a copy on the Dept. of General Counsel, S.C. Dept. of Corrections, PO Box 21787, Columbia, SC 29221.

Dear Appellant:

Below is information regarding your case which has been filed with the ALC. Please refer to the Rules of Procedure (enclosed) for the time frames on filing briefs and other matters.

Case Number	Inmate Number	Inmate First Name	Inmate Last Name	Grievance No	Respondent	Filing Date	Date Assigned	Judge Last Name
14C0003-IJ	254638	TERELL	BRYAN	MCCI 1139-13	DOC	1/2/2014	1/16/2014	DURDEN

EXHIBIT
#64

FILED
JAN 16 2014
ADMIN. LAW COUR

You must file all original documents and correspondence regarding this case directly with the above-named Judge and serve a copy on the Dept. of General Counsel, S.C. Dept. of Corrections, PO Box 21787, Columbia, SC 29221.

Dear Appellant:

Below is information regarding your case which has been filed with the ALC. Please refer to the Rules of Procedure (enclosed) for the time frames on filing briefs and other matters.

Case Number	Inmate Number	Inmate First Name	Inmate Last Name	Grievance No	Respondent	Filing Date	Date Assigned	Judge Last Name
14C0004-U	254638	TERELL	BRYAN	MCCI 1123-13	DOC	1/2/2014	1/16/2014	DURDEN

EXHIBIT
#65

FILED
JAN 16 2014
ADMIN. LAW COUR.

You must file all original documents and correspondence regarding this case directly with the above-named Judge and serve a copy on the Dept. of General Counsel, S.C. Dept. of Corrections, PO Box 21787, Columbia, SC 29221.

EXHIBIT #60

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

T. Terell Bryan, #254638,

Docket No. 14-ALJ-04-0004-IJ

Appellant,

vs.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

South Carolina Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

This matter is before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) pursuant to the Notice of Appeal filed by Appellant (Inmate) above named, who is incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC).

Inmate filed a Notice of Appeal for Grievance Nos. MCCI 0263-13, 1129-13, 1123-13, 1109-13 and 1131-13, of which a copy of his Step 1 Grievance No. MCCI 1123-13 was included. The Notice of Appeal does not contain a copy of a final decision of SCDC which is the subject of the appeal as required by ALC Rule 59(C).

By failing to obtain a final decision from SCDC, Inmate has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies and has thus failed to meet the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act for review by this Court: "(A) A party who has exhausted all administrative remedies within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final decision in a contested case is entitled to review under this article, Article I, and Article 5. § 1-23-380, S.C. Code of Laws, Annotated." (Emphasis supplied).

Thus, this matter is not properly before this Court. Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that this appeal be and hereby is DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE.

Deborah Brooks Durden, Judge S.C. Administrative Law Court

January 22, 2014 Columbia, South Carolina

FILED

JAN 22 2014

SC ADMIN. LAW COURT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE This is to certify that the undersigned has this date served this order in the above entitled action upon all parties to this cause by depositing a copy hereof, in the United States mail, postage paid, or in the interagency Mail Service addressed to the party(ies) or their attorney(s). This 22nd day of January 2014 By: R E L Judicial Law Clerk

21

EXHIBIT
#61

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

T. Terell Bryan, #254638,

Appellant,

vs.

South Carolina Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

Docket No. 14-ALJ-04-0003-IJ


ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This matter is before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) pursuant to the Notice of Appeal filed by Appellant (Inmate) above named, who is incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC).

Inmate filed a Notice of Appeal for Grievance Nos. MCCI 0263-13, 1129-13, 1123-13, 1109-13 and 1131-13, of which a copy of his Step 1 Grievance No. MCCI 1129-13 was included. The Notice of Appeal does not contain a copy of a final decision of SCDC which is the subject of the appeal as required by ALC Rule 59(C).

By failing to obtain a final decision from SCDC, Inmate has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies and has thus failed to meet the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act for review by this Court: “(A) A party who has **exhausted all administrative remedies within the agency** and who is aggrieved by a **final decision** in a contested case is entitled to review under this article, Article I, and Article 5. § 1-23-380, S.C. Code of Laws, Annotated.” (Emphasis supplied).

Thus, this matter is not properly before this Court. Therefore,
IT IS ORDERED that this appeal be and hereby is **DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE.**


Deborah Brooks Durden, Judge
S.C. Administrative Law Court

January 22, 2014
Columbia, South Carolina

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
This is to certify that the undersigned has this date served this order in the above entitled action upon all parties to this cause by depositing a copy hereof, in the United States mail, postage paid, or in the Interegency Mail Service addressed to the party(ies) or their attorney(s).
This 22nd day of January 2014
By: R. E. C.
Judicial Law Clerk

FILED
JAN 22 2014

SC ADMIN. LAW COURT

22

Judge S. Phillip Lensk.
Adm. Law Court
Edgar A. Brown Bldg., etc. 224
1205 Pendleton St.
Columbia, SC 29201

RE: 14C0002, 14C0003, 14C0004

Dear Honorable Lenski,

On 12/18/13 I wrote you on wish to appeal MCEI 1138-13 ~~to you~~ & informing you that I am being denied access to the court by the clerk not responding. On 1/15/14 I receive a ^{Memo from the clerk} ~~copy from~~ citing 14C0002, 14C0003, & 14C0004 with enclosed MCEI 1138-13 & two copies of MCEI 1131-13. It seems the clerk assigned two case #'s to the same grievance #! Please correct this error & let ~~the record~~ one of the ACC's ^{docs} reflect I am appealing MCEI 0263-13 (DHO appeal, I previously sent). Also the clerk had previously sent back to me MCEI 1138-13 stating I already filed 3 appeals for the 2013 year & I appeal MCEI 1138-13 to the S.C. Ct. of Appeals, so please cancel the ACC's ~~for~~ for MCEI 1138-13. Also I did not receive the ^{ACC's} ~~stop~~ document letting me know what case number goes with what grievance no., filing date, date assigned, & judge last name.

1/15/14, SC
dated

EXHIBIT
#13

Thank you,
T. Terrell Bryan
#254638, SMU-B19, MCEI
386 Redemption Way
McCormick, SC 29399



ROBIN L. BLUME
CLERK OF COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
901 RICHLAND STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201-2431
(803) 765-5816 FAX (803) 765-5960
www.scd.uscourts.gov

DIVISIONAL OFFICES

P. O. BOX 835
CHARLESTON, SC 29402
(843) 579-1401 FAX 579-1402

300 E. WASHINGTON STREET
ROOM 239
GREENVILLE, SC 29601
(864) 241-2700 FAX 241-2711

P. O. BOX 2317
FLORENCE, SC 29503
(843) 676-3820 FAX 676-3831

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

ALL 1983 PACKETS COME WITH THE FOLLOWING FORMS:

1 COMPLAINT FORM
1 IFP APPLICATION
1 FINANCIAL CERTIFICATE
1 SUMMONS

PLEASE RETURN THE FORMS PROVIDED AND IF MORE
FORMS ARE REQUIRED, THEY WILL BE SENT TO YOU AS
DIRECTED BY THE JUDGE.

Judge S. Phillip Lenski
Adm. Law Court
Edgar A. Brown Bldg., Ste. 224
1205 Pendleton St.
Columbia, SC 29201

Re: Bryan V. SCDC, MCCI 1138-13

Dear Honorable Lenski,

I wish to appeal the attached MCCI 1138-13 Grievance to your court.
Also I am being denied access to the Court of Appeals where I have
submitted numerous appeals to your court & not receive a reply. Please
investigate.

12/18/13, SC

dated

CC: ON FILE

CC: Chris Florigan/General Counsel

Thank you,

T. Terrell Bryan

#254638, SMU-B19, MCCI

386 Redemption Way

McCORMICK, SC 29899

EXHIBIT
71

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On September 20, 1999, the Abbeville County Grand Jury indicted Leroy McGrier on two counts of distribution of crack cocaine third offense and one count of attempt and conspiracy indictment # 99-GS-01-465 and 466. He was convicted and sentenced to three years on each count to run concurrently. Subsequently, McGrier was placed on community supervision after serving eighty-five percent (thirty-two months) of his sentence. He had four months revoked on February 23, 2004. Later six months were revoked on April 11, 2005, and on October 30, 2006 Judge Maddox revoked ninety days with no credit for time served as McGrier had already been incarcerated approximately three months on this violation.

On April 2, 2007, McGrier appeared before the Honorable Kenneth G. Goode for a community supervision revocation hearing. Judge Goode revoked six months. McGrier's attorney filed a timely notice of intent to appeal on April 9, 2007. This appeal follows.

Smu 1310

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
NOTICE TO WITHHOLD INCOMING/OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE
AND
DISPOSITION OF CORRESPONDENCE

INMATE NAME: <u>Terence Bryan</u>	SCDC # <u>254638</u>	DATE: <u>9-12-13</u>
--------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

This notice is to advise you that you have received/attempted to send correspondence ~~from~~ College Guild - P.O. Box 6448 Brunswick, ME 04011 which contained Publication Enmak in Smu

This is deemed questionable and requires further review by the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) pursuant to SCDC Policy PS-10.08; "Inmate Correspondence Privileges." The Committee has 60 days (excluding weekend and holidays) to advise you of the decision.

Disposition:

- This notice is to advise you that the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) has reviewed and disapproved the following correspondence:
 - _____ Special Investigations Unit will maintain this material for further investigation.
 - _____ The correspondence is being returned to sender at your expense by using Form 10-14, "Agreement to Debit E.H. Cooper Account." (Attached)
 - _____ There are insufficient funds in your E.H. Cooper Trust Account to cover the cost of returning this correspondence. You have 30 days to advise the Mailroom of available funds or provide a pre-paid envelope. If funds are not available, the correspondence will be disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy OP-22.03, "Authorized Inmate Property and Disposition of Unauthorized Property."
 - _____ By my signature below I choose to have this correspondence disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy 22.03. (This form must be returned to the Mailroom within 10 days of receipt.)

Inmate Signature

Date

Franklin
Postal Director/Staff/Designee

MCCI
Institution

White-Inmate
Canary-Mailroom
Pink-CRC/Sender/Property Control

SCDC Form 10-6 (May 2013)

EXHIBIT
#4

21

Smu B19

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
NOTICE TO WITHHOLD INCOMING/OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE
AND
DISPOSITION OF CORRESPONDENCE

INMATE NAME: <u>T. Bryan</u>	SCDC # <u>254638</u>	DATE: <u>8.28.13</u>
---------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

This notice is to advise you that you have received/attempted to send correspondence to/from _____ which contained _____

This is deemed questionable and requires further review by the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) pursuant to SCDC Policy PS-10.08, "Inmate Correspondence Privileges." The Committee has 60 days (excluding weekend and holidays) to advise you of the decision.

Disposition:

This notice is to advise you that the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) has reviewed and disapproved the following correspondence: Rec'd 8-1-13
College Guide PO Box 6448 Brunswick, ME 04011
_____ Special Investigations Unit will maintain this material for further investigation.

_____ The correspondence is being returned to sender at your expense by using Form 10-14, "Agreement to Debit E.H. Cooper Account." (Attached)

There are insufficient funds in your E.H. Cooper Trust Account to cover the cost of returning this correspondence. You have 30 days to advise the Mailroom of available funds or provide a pre-paid envelope. If funds are not available, the correspondence will be disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy OP-22.03, "Authorized Inmate Property and Disposition of Unauthorized Property."

_____ By my signature below I choose to have this correspondence disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy 22.03. (This form must be returned to the Mailroom within 10 days of receipt.)

Inmate Signature

[Signature]
Postal Director/Staff/Designee

Date

MCCI
Institution

White-Inmate
Canary-Mailroom
Pink-CRC/Sender/Property Control

EXHIBIT
27

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
 OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
 NOTICE TO WITHHOLD INCOMING/OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE
 AND
 DISPOSITION OF CORRESPONDENCE

SMU B1

INMATE NAME: <i>Terence T. Bryan</i>	SCDC # <i>254638</i>	DATE: <i>11-27-13</i>
---	-------------------------	--------------------------

This notice is to advise you that you have received/attempted to send correspondence to/from College Guild which contained publication ? / printed matter

This is deemed questionable and requires further review by the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) pursuant to SCDC Policy PS-10.08; "Inmate Correspondence Privileges." The Committee has 60 days (excluding weekend and holidays) to advise you of the decision. *PO Box 6448 Brunswick, ME 04011*

Disposition:

- This notice is to advise you that the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) has reviewed and disapproved the following correspondence:
- _____ Special Investigations Unit will maintain this material for further investigation.
 - _____ The correspondence is being returned to sender at your expense by using Form 10-14, "Agreement to Debit E.H. Cooper Account." (Attached)
 - _____ There are insufficient funds in your E.H. Cooper Trust Account to cover the cost of returning this correspondence. You have 30 days to advise the Mailroom of available funds or provide a pre-paid envelope. If funds are not available, the correspondence will be disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy OP-22.03, "Authorized Inmate Property and Disposition of Unauthorized Property."
 - _____ By my signature below I choose to have this correspondence disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy 22.03. (This form must be returned to the Mailroom within 10 days of receipt.)

Inmate Signature

[Signature]
Postal Director/Staff/Designee

Date

MCCI
Institution

White-Inmate
 Canary-Mailroom
 Pink-CRC/Sender Property Control

EXHIBIT
#3