

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

T. Terrell Bryan

Appellant,

V.

SCDC

Respondent

Hon. Deborah Brooks Durden
Administrative Law Court
Case No. MCCJ 1129-13, 1123-13 & 1109-13 & 1131-13
A.R.C. Docket no. 14-ALT-04-0004-IT & 0003-IT

NOTICE OF APPEAL

I Terrell Bryan appeal the orders of the ~~attached~~
Honorable Deborah Brooks Durden dated 1/22/14
attached. I received same on or about 1/24/14
see attached Exhibit #60 & #61

2/12/14, SC
dated

Without Prejudice,
~~X~~ all rights reserved,
T. Terrell Bryan
#254638, Smu-B#14 M.C.C.I
386 Redemption Way
McCormick S.C 29899

in a county jail, city jail or local detention center, you do not have to submit the Financial Certificate.

2. If you do not meet the requirements for paying the filing fee in installments, then the full \$350.00 filing fee and \$50.00 administrative fee authorized by 28 U.S.C. § 1914 must accompany the civil rights complaint. If you cannot afford to pay the full filing fee, but you have sufficient funds (as calculated according to the Prison Litigation Reform Act) to pay an installment payment, then you must pay the first installment of the filing fee upon being granted *in forma pauperis* status, as computed on the Financial Certificate, at the time you file your complaint.
3. **A properly completed financial certificate is required for the court to consider the Form AO 240 submitted by a prisoner in the South Carolina Department of Corrections or Federal Bureau of Prisons.** DO NOT submit your own affidavit instead of the Financial Certificate, or your case may be delayed. The obligation to pay the filing fee in a case arises out of the filing of a case. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
4. To obtain a financial certificate disclosing how much money you have credited to your account(s) with the institution in which you are confined, you must sign your name and write your prisoner number (if you have one) on the lines provided at the top of the Financial Certificate (form attached). You should then submit only that page to the division/department that keeps the records of how much money you have in your account(s). (The name of that division/department varies depending on where you are being held, for example, "institutional services" - "accounting", etc.) Someone in that division/department will complete the remainder of the Financial Certificate and return it to you. It is then ready to be submitted to the court with the civil rights/*Bivens* complaint. You must submit the original Financial Certificate, not a copy.
5. The Financial Certificate must be current and signed by the authorized officer of the penal institution within six (6) months of its submission to the court. The Form AO 240 with the completed financial certificate, the accompanying civil rights complaint and all other papers listed on the Checklist must be mailed to the Clerk's Office TOGETHER.
6. When you receive the completed financial certificate, it will show whether you have sufficient funds to pay the full filing fee or whether you qualify for proceeding by paying the filing fee in installments. This determination is based on how much money is currently on deposit in your institutional account(s) and how much money to which you had access over the past six (6) months. Below is a line-by-line description of the information provided on the Financial Certificate:
 - (a) The first line shows the average monthly deposits to your prison trust account.
 - (b) The second line shows the average monthly balance in your prison trust account for the immediate past six (6) full months.
 - (c) The third line shows the total amount of money to which you have access in your institutional account(s) as of the date that the financial certificate was completed. Money that is not readily accessible is not included in this total; the institution or agency confining you may have a policy which requires that a certain minimum balance be maintained, and so the amount shown on the third line would only include an amount in excess of the required minimum.
 - (d) The fourth line shows the initial installment payment of the filing fee.

V
M.D
1-17-21

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM

STEP 1

INMATE NAME: T. Terrell Bryan
SCDC NUMBER: 254638
INSTITUTION: MCCI
HOUSING UNIT: SMV-131A
WORK ASSIGNMENT: N/A

MAR 20 2013 MC

Office Use Only
Grievance No. MCCI 026313
Code: General _____
Policy _____
Disc. Hear. 854
Class. _____
Date Received 3-20-13
IGC Initials _____
HO 3-18-13 #125

STATE GRIEVANCE (include documentation, and date of incident; if SCDC Policy, indicate which policy)

I am appealing case # 125, WRITTEN NOTICE REQUIREMENT. The incident report said I was in cell #29, so I prepared my defense around cell #29. see attached EXHIBIT #6, questions for Maddox # 7, 8, 9 & Hall #3. At the hearing the DHO orally amended the incident location from #29 to cell #19, THEREFORE, notice is insufficient; where it was not clear enough, it misled me to prepare my defense around cell #29. "IMPARTIAL" HEARING OFFICER. It is
see attached page 'M'

ACTION REQUESTED: see attached request - I request to listen to the DHO tape & for this case to be vacated with prejudice & all sanction lifted.

SPECIFY HOW AND WHEN INFORMAL RESOLUTION WAS ATTEMPTED BY GRIEVANT:

N/A DHO appeal.

P. P. B. 3/13/13
Grievant Signature Date

ACTION TAKEN BY IGC:

Reviewed Digital Recording of Hearing
 Reviewed Disciplinary Paperwork
 See Warden's Decision

EXHIBIT
#59

[Signature] 04-17-13
IGC Signature Date

[Signature] _____
Grievant Signature Date

- I accept the action taken by the IGC and consider the matter closed.
- I do not accept the action taken and wish to appeal.

WARDEN'S DECISION AND REASON:

Terrance Bryan, 254638
MCCI 0263-13


Inmate Bryan:


You have appealed the results of your **03/18/13** Disciplinary Hearing where you were found guilty on the charge of **854 Exhibitionism and Public Masturbation, case #125**. Pertinent documentation has been reviewed and an investigation of the hearing was conducted. No technicalities, procedural errors, or misinterpretation of evidence was noted and the decision of the Disciplinary Hearing Officer was based on substantial evidence. The sentence imposed was not excessive but proportionate to the rule violation. **DHO Glidewell did address/verify with CCC Maddox the cell in which you were in at the time of the incident as B-19.** Based on this information your appeal is without merit and, therefore **denied**.

If you are not satisfied with my decision, you may appeal to the appropriate responsible official within, (5) days of receipt, via the Institutional Grievance Coordinator.


Warden Signature 4-17-13
Date

- I accept the Warden's decision and consider the matter closed.
- I do not accept the Warden's decision and wish to appeal.


Grievant Signature 4/23/13
Date


IGC Signature 04-23-13
Date

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING STEP 1 GRIEVANCE FORM

1. An informal resolution shall be attempted prior to the filing of Step 1.
2. Complete each section in its entirety, writing only in the space provided for inmate use.
3. Only one (1) issue is to be addressed on each form.
4. Submit the completed form to the Institutional Grievance Coordinator within fifteen (15) days of an alleged incident; policy grievances at any time. Do not write in the space provided for the Warden's response.
5. If you are not satisfied with the Warden's decision, you may appeal to the appropriate responsible official within five (5) days of your receipt of the Warden's decision, via the Institutional Grievance Coordinator.

PAGE 'M'

clear the DHO prejudged the case, where she went out of her way to ask Maddox leading questions to correct a fatal lie. The DHO ask Maddox if she was sure it was cell #29 or #19, being lead, Maddox change it to cell #19. The DHO's remarks & attitude towards me is evidence of bias. The DHO admitted to cutting me off when I was asking to review statements of Hall, Griffin, Singleton & Wortman to prepare my defense. she refused & said she cut me off ~~because~~ ^{saying} it was irrelevant. The DHO did not consider the 4 statements & refuse to read them in the record. I ask to present the rest of my defense the DHO talked over me. The DHO prejudged the case with C.S. The C.S. told the DHO of the error & they plot how to get around the lie. **RIGHT TO CALL WITNESSES.** The DHO did not allow me to call witness, she told Sgt. Gibbons to get a statement from ILM Wortman where C.S. fail to. No determination was made as to why the witnesses could not be at the hearing. The statements were not made available to me before or at the hearing. Nor did the DHO make a determination as to why she did not make them available to me. Hall, Griffin & Wortman would state & contradict Maddox report in that Maddox never faced my way. **THE DHO WAS BIAS AGAINST QUESTIONING WITNESSES.** C.S. gave the DHO the questions for Maddox & Hall. Questions were not asked by C.S., C.S. did nothing. The DHO asked Maddox part of question #5, did she tell the escorting officer anything but fail to ask Maddox why she did not tell this escorting officer. If asked it would show that the plot to lie on me was made by her & Singleton. see attached EXHIBIT #9 - PROOF OF RETALIATION, w/ attachments. Hall told me that Singleton sent Maddox at me, mental health & myself are being (non-physical or threatening, ~~purely~~ purely mental). Hall would said that Maddox gave him no indication of anything being wrong, if she would of he would of investigated by looking in my cell. Maddox knew this, if she would of said anything it would of meant she would have to convince him to lie for her. Thus, the DHO did ask the full question #5 & did not allow me to call Hall as a witness or make available Hall's statement. **DUE PROCESS WAS VIOLATED.**

page 'm'

Questions for Maddox

1. Is he circumcised or uncircumcised?
2. Did you have a list of films to see on 2/20/13?
3. Was Bryan on your list?
4. Are you Bryan's counselor?
5. Did you tell the officer who was escorting you about the incident, why or why not?
6. Did you tell any of the officers on smu that Bryan did anything, why or why not?
7. Who told you Bryan was in cell #29?
8. When you saw Bryan in the cell, did you see him standing up or sitting down?
9. Do you know Bryan by sight?
10. Where was Bryan standing in the cell?
11. Could you see his face & him masturbating at the same time?
12. Are you sure Bryan was masturbating?

Hall

1. Which way did you & Maddox walk to my cell from the left or the right?
2. When Maddox first came to the cell which way was she turned?
3. Can you see cell #29, if you are standing at cell #4?
4. Did Maddox say anything to you or gave you any indication that something was wrong?

EXHIBIT
#6

File 0263-13
APR 22 2013

**SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
INMATE GRIEVANCE FORM
STEP 2**

INMATE NAME: L. Terrell Bryan
 SCDC NUMBER: 254638
 INSTITUTION: MCCI
 HOUSING UNIT: smv-619
 WORK ASSIGNMENT: N/A

Office Use Only
 Grievance No. NYCI 0263-13
 Code: General _____
 Policy _____
 Disc. Hear. 1356, 125, 3184
 Class. _____
 Date Received 04-26-13
 IGC Initials PT

INMATE'S REASON FOR APPEAL (state specific dissatisfaction):

under the finality rule the Warden did not address but issue
 & left open the others, therefore Headquarters lack jurisdiction & should
 remain for the warden to address all. The DHO pointed out to Maddox how
 she lied so she could amend it, which I was put on notice for an incident
 that happen in cell #29. I was denied the right to present a complete
 defense, statements were hidden from me, & I could not question as then or
 my accuser. The DHO order is not a "Final order" appealable where I was not
 allowed to appeal the Warden Finalizing & due process violate
 violated where finding of guilt is always the same, i.e. "ofc. Is your report true & correct." can not read DHO writing.
 Grievant Signature [Signature] Date 4/23/13

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL'S DECISION AND REASON:

The documentation provided indicates that the evidence presented was sufficient to support the conviction(s) of Exhibitionism and Public Masturbation (854) case #125, on March 18, 2013, under SCDC Policy OP-22.14, Inmate Disciplinary System, dated July 1, 2012, and the sanction(s) imposed, which included the loss of -9- days accrued good time, were appropriate for the rules violation(s). There was no reason found to warrant a reversal of the Disciplinary Hearing Officer's decision. A review of your appeal revealed that you received forty-eight (48) hour notice prior to the hearing, you were afforded due process rights, as required, and the offense was classified and heard in a timely manner.

Therefore, your grievance is denied.

You may appeal this decision under the Administrative Procedures Act to the Administrative Law Court. In order to appeal, you must fill out the attached Notice of Appeal Form and submit it as instructed on the form within 30 days of receipt.

EXHIBIT
#58

Signature [Signature] Date 12/10/12

The decision rendered by the responsible official exhausts the appeal process of the Inmate Grievance Procedure. I hereby acknowledge receipt of the official's response and understand this is the Agency's final response to this matter.

Grievant Signature _____ Date _____

IGC Signature [Signature] Date 12-19-13

(SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR INSTRUCTIONS)

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

T. Terrell Bryan

Appellant,

vs.

South Carolina Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

EXHIBIT
#54

NOTICE OF APPEAL

DOCKET NO. -ALJ-04-
GRIEVANCE NO.: McC 0263-13, 1129-13,
1123-13, 1109-13, & 1131-13

Notice is hereby given that T. Terrell Bryan does hereby appeal the final decision of the South Carolina Department of Corrections dated 12/11/13 and received on 12/19/13, a copy of which is attached. A general statement of the grounds for appeal is (See S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-380(A)(6)):

per 0263-13, I lost good time, the remaining four case implicates a liberty interest where all case taken together show a pattern of denial of due process.

T. Terrell Bryan
Appellant's Name
W254634, smu B19, mcci
386 redemption way
Mailing Address
mccormick, sc 29899
City, State, Zip Code

mmy, all rights reserved,
Signed
1/02/14
Dated

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, T. T. Bryan (your name), on the 2 day of 1, 20 14, in mccormick (city), South Carolina, served a copy of the foregoing Notice of Appeal on all parties to this matter by depositing the same in the United States Mail, postage paid, or in the mail room of the undersigned's institution and addressed as follows:

Name of person/Agency served: General Counsel
Address: 4444 Broad River Rd.
City, State, Zip Code: Columbia, SC 29810

FILED

JAN 02 2014

ADMIN. LAW COURT

Print your name: T. Terrell Bryan
(See reverse side for instructions)

Sign your name: mmy, all rights reserved

Dear Appellant:

Below is information regarding your case which has been filed with the ALC. Please refer to the Rules of Procedure (enclosed) for the time frames on filing briefs and other matters.

Case Number	Inmate Number	Inmate First Name	Inmate Last Name	Grievance No.	Respondent	Filing Date	Date Assigned	Judge Last Name
14C0002	254638	TERELL	BRYAN	MCCI 263-13	DOC	1/2/2014	1/16/2014	DURDEN

EXHIBIT
#63

FILED

JAN 16 2014

ADMIN. LAW COUR

You must file all original documents and correspondence regarding this case directly with the above-named Judge and serve a copy on the Dept. of General Counsel, S.C. Dept. of Corrections, PO Box 21787, Columbia, SC 29221.

Dear Appellant:

Below is information regarding your case which has been filed with the ALC. Please refer to the Rules of Procedure (enclosed) for the time frames on filing briefs and other matters.

Case Number	Inmate Number	Inmate First Name	Inmate Last Name	Grievance No	Respondent	Filing Date	Date Assigned	Judge Last Name
14C0003-1J	254638	TERELL	BRYAN	MCCI 1139-13	DOC	1/2/2014	1/16/2014	DURDEN

EXHIBIT #64

FILED
JAN 16 2014
ADMIN. LAW COUR

You must file all original documents and correspondence regarding this case directly with the above-named Judge and serve a copy on the Dept. of General Counsel, S.C. Dept. of Corrections, PO Box 21787, Columbia, SC 29221.

Dear Appellant:

Below is information regarding your case which has been filed with the ALC. Please refer to the Rules of Procedure (enclosed) for the time frames on filing briefs and other matters.

Case Number	Inmate Number	Inmate First Name	Inmate Last Name	Grievance No	Respondent	Filing Date	Date Assigned	Judge Last Name
I4C0004-1J	254638	TERELL	BRYAN	MCCI 1123-13	DOC	1/2/2014	1/16/2014	DURDEN

EXHIBIT #65

22

FILED
JAN 16 2014
ADMIN. LAW COUR

You must file all original documents and correspondence regarding this case directly with the above-named Judge and serve a copy on the Dept. of General Counsel, S.C. Dept. of Corrections, PO Box 21787, Columbia, SC 29221.

EXHIBIT
#60

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

T. Terell Bryan, #254638,

Docket No. 14-ALJ-04-0004-IJ

Appellant,

vs.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

South Carolina Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

This matter is before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) pursuant to the Notice of Appeal filed by Appellant (Inmate) above named, who is incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC).

Inmate filed a Notice of Appeal for Grievance Nos. MCCI 0263-13, 1129-13, 1123-13, 1109-13 and 1131-13, of which a copy of his Step 1 Grievance No. MCCI 1123-13 was included. The Notice of Appeal does not contain a copy of a final decision of SCDC which is the subject of the appeal as required by ALC Rule 59(C).

By failing to obtain a final decision from SCDC, Inmate has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies and has thus failed to meet the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act for review by this Court: "(A) A party who has **exhausted all administrative remedies within the agency** and who is aggrieved by a **final decision** in a contested case is entitled to review under this article, Article I, and Article 5. § 1-23-380, S.C. Code of Laws, Annotated." (Emphasis supplied).

Thus, this matter is not properly before this Court. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that this appeal be and hereby is **DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE.**

Deborah Brooks Durden
Deborah Brooks Durden, Judge
S.C. Administrative Law Court

January 22, 2014
Columbia, South Carolina

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
This is to certify that the undersigned has this date served this order in the above entitled action upon all parties to this cause by depositing a copy hereof, in the United States mail, postage paid, or in the interagency Mail Service addressed to the party(ies) or their attorney(s).
This 22nd day of January 2014
By: R E [Signature]
Judicial Law Clerk

FILED

JAN 22 2014

SC ADMIN. LAW COURT

.21

EXHIBIT
#61

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

T. Terell Bryan, #254638,

Docket No. 14-ALJ-04-0003-IJ

Appellant,

vs.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

South Carolina Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

This matter is before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) pursuant to the Notice of Appeal filed by Appellant (Inmate) above named, who is incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC).

Inmate filed a Notice of Appeal for Grievance Nos. MCCI 0263-13, 1129-13, 1123-13, 1109-13 and 1131-13, of which a copy of his Step 1 Grievance No. MCCI 1129-13 was included. The Notice of Appeal does not contain a copy of a final decision of SCDC which is the subject of the appeal as required by ALC Rule 59(C).

By failing to obtain a final decision from SCDC, Inmate has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies and has thus failed to meet the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act for review by this Court: "(A) A party who has **exhausted all administrative remedies within the agency** and who is aggrieved by a **final decision** in a contested case is entitled to review under this article, Article I, and Article 5. § 1-23-380. S.C. Code of Laws, Annotated." (Emphasis supplied).

Thus, this matter is not properly before this Court. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that this appeal be and hereby is **DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE.**

Deborah Brooks Durden, Judge
S.C. Administrative Law Court

January 22, 2014
Columbia, South Carolina

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the undersigned has this date served this order in the above entitled action upon all parties to this cause by depositing a copy hereof, in the United States mail, postage paid, or in the Interagency Mail Service addressed to the party(ies) or their attorney(s).

This 22nd day of January 2014

By: [Signature]
Judicial Law Clerk

22

FILED

JAN 22 2014

SC ADMIN. LAW COURT

Judge S. Phillip Lenski
Adm. Law Court
Edgar A. Brown Bldg., Ste. 224
1205 Pendleton St.
Columbia, SC 29201

Re: Bryan V. SCDC, MCCI 1138-13

Dear Honorable Lenski,

I wish to appeal the attached MCCI 1138-13 Grievance to your court.
Also I am being denied access to the Court of Appeals where I have
submitted numerous appeals to your court & not receive a reply. Please
investigate.

12/18/13, SC
dated

CC: ON FILE
CC: Chris Florian/General Counsel

Thank you,
T. Terrell Bryan
#254638, SMU-BIS, MCCI
386 Redemption Way
McCORMICK, SC 29399

EXHIBIT
71

Judge S. Phillip Lenski.
Adm. Law Court
Edgar A. Brown Bldg., Ste. 224
1205 Pendleton St.
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

RE: 14C0002, 14C0003, 14C0004

Dear Honorable Lenski,

On 12/18/13 I wrote you on wish to appeal MCEC 1138-13 ~~to you~~ & informing you that I am being denied access to the court by the clerk not responding. On 1/15/14 I receive a ~~copy from~~ ^{Memo from the clerk} citing 14C0002, 14C0003, & 14C0004 with enclosed MCEC 1138-13 & two copies of MCEC 1131-13. It seems the clerk assigned two case #'s to the same grievance #! Please correct this error & let ~~the record~~ one of the ACC's ^{no. 5} reflect I am appealing MCEC 0263-13 (17th appeal, I previously sent). Also the clerk had previously sent back to me MCEC 1138-13 stating I already filed 3 appeals for the 2013 year & I appeal MCEC 1138-13 to the S.C. Ct. of Appeals, so please cancel the ACC's ~~to~~ for MCEC 1138-13. Also I did not receive the ^{ACC's} ~~step~~ document letting me know what case number goes with what grievance no., filing date, date assigned, & judge last name.

1/15/14, SC
dated

EXHIBIT
#73

Thank you,
T. Terrell Bryan
#254638, SMO-B19, MCEC
336 Redemption Way
McCormick, SC 29399

Smu 131c

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
NOTICE TO WITHHOLD INCOMING/OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE
AND
DISPOSITION OF CORRESPONDENCE

INMATE NAME: <u>Terence Bryan</u>	SCDC # <u>254638</u>	DATE: <u>9-12-13</u>
--------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

This notice is to advise you that you have received/attempted to send correspondence ~~from~~ College Guild - PO Box 6448 Brunswick, ME 04011 which contained Publication
Inmate in Smu

This is deemed questionable and requires further review by the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) pursuant to SCDC Policy PS-10.08; "Inmate Correspondence Privileges." The Committee has 60 days (excluding weekend and holidays) to advise you of the decision.

Disposition:

This notice is to advise you that the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) has reviewed and disapproved the following correspondence:

- _____ Special Investigations Unit will maintain this material for further investigation.
- _____ The correspondence is being returned to sender at your expense by using Form 10-14, "Agreement to Debit E.H. Cooper Account." (Attached)
- _____ There are insufficient funds in your E.H. Cooper Trust Account to cover the cost of returning this correspondence. You have 30 days to advise the Mailroom of available funds or provide a pre-paid envelope. If funds are not available, the correspondence will be disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy OP-22.03, "Authorized Inmate Property and Disposition of Unauthorized Property."
- _____ By my signature below I choose to have this correspondence disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy 22.03. (This form must be returned to the Mailroom within 10 days of receipt.)

Inmate Signature

Date

[Signature]
Postal Director/Staff/Designee

MCCI
Institution

White-Inmate
Canary-Mailroom
Pink-CRC/Sender/Property Control

SCDC Form 10-6 (May 2013)

EXHIBIT
#4

Shmu B19

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
NOTICE TO WITHHOLD INCOMING/OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE
AND
DISPOSITION OF CORRESPONDENCE

INMATE NAME: <u>T. Bryan</u>	SCDC # <u>254638</u>	DATE: <u>8.28.13</u>
---------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------

This notice is to advise you that you have received/attempted to send correspondence to/from _____ which contained _____

This is deemed questionable and requires further review by the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) pursuant to SCDC Policy PS-10.08, "Inmate Correspondence Privileges." The Committee has 60 days (excluding weekend and holidays) to advise you of the decision.

Disposition:

This notice is to advise you that the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) has reviewed and disapproved the following correspondence: College Guild PO Box 6448 Brunswick ME 04011 Rec'd 8-1-13
_____ Special Investigations Unit will maintain this material for further investigation.

_____ The correspondence is being returned to sender at your expense by using Form 10-14, "Agreement to Debit E.H. Cooper Account." (Attached)

There are insufficient funds in your E.H. Cooper Trust Account to cover the cost of returning this correspondence. You have 30 days to advise the Mailroom of available funds or provide a pre-paid envelope. If funds are not available, the correspondence will be disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy OP-22.03, "Authorized Inmate Property and Disposition of Unauthorized Property."

_____ By my signature below I choose to have this correspondence disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy 22.03. (This form must be returned to the Mailroom within 10 days of receipt.)

Inmate Signature

J. J. Rankin
Postal Director/Staff/Designee

Date

MCCI
Institution

White-Inmate
Canary-Mailroom
Pink-CRC/Sender/Property Control

SCDC Form 10-6 (May 2013)

EXHIBIT
27

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
 OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
 NOTICE TO WITHHOLD INCOMING/OUTGOING CORRESPONDENCE
 AND
 DISPOSITION OF CORRESPONDENCE

SMU B1

INMATE NAME: <u>Terence T. Bryan</u>	SCDC # <u>254638</u>	DATE: <u>11-27-13</u>
---	-------------------------	--------------------------

This notice is to advise you that you have received/attempted to send correspondence to/from College Guild which contained publication of printed matter

This is deemed questionable and requires further review by the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) pursuant to SCDC Policy PS-10.08; "Inmate Correspondence Privileges." The Committee has 60 days (excluding weekend and holidays) to advise you of the decision. PO Box 6448 Brunswick, ME 04011

Disposition:

- This notice is to advise you that the Correspondence Review Committee (CRC) has reviewed and disapproved the following correspondence:
- _____ Special Investigations Unit will maintain this material for further investigation.
 - _____ The correspondence is being returned to sender at your expense by using Form 10-14, "Agreement to Debit E.H. Cooper Account." (Attached)
 - _____ There are insufficient funds in your E.H. Cooper Trust Account to cover the cost of returning this correspondence. You have 30 days to advise the Mailroom of available funds or provide a pre-paid envelope. If funds are not available, the correspondence will be disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy OP-22.03, "Authorized Inmate Property and Disposition of Unauthorized Property."
 - _____ By my signature below I choose to have this correspondence disposed of pursuant to SCDC Policy 22.03. (This form must be returned to the Mailroom within 10 days of receipt.)

Inmate Signature

Date

Franklin
Postal Director/Staff/Designee

MCCI
Institution

White-Inmate
 Canary-Mailroom
 Pink-CRC/Sender Property Control

SCDC Form 10-6 (May 2013)

EXHIBIT
#3