

RECEIVED

4-4-2014

APR 08 2014

Dear Mr. Shearouse.

C.A. NO: 2013-CP-23-2385 S.C. SUPREME COURT

I'm writing in regards to the above referenced matter. My issue of Bill Godfrey being untruthful at my first PCR hearing concerning my albie witness was not known to me until I received my first PCR hearing transcript in 2007 or 2008. I was not given the chance to raise such issue until I was granted my 2nd PCR. Pg 78, line 19. "Jimmy Arter I was unable to find." Jail records will show that Jimmy Arter was in the county jail in 2004. Before my plea hearing, this is just across the street from Godfrey's office. I called Godfrey and advised him of Arter being in my Pod, (jail cell). Godfrey never showed to get my albies statement.

Concerning my issue of me not wanting Godfrey to be my lawyer for motion hearing is clear. In my asking Godfrey to tell the judge what I told Godfrey the day before motion hearing. See pg 13, line 23 of Motion hearing transcript.

Concerning my issue of Godfrey not objecting to Solicitor Kris Hodge's statement to Judge Pyle about case being too old at the motion hearing is not untimely. Isn't it against Supreme Courts ruling that solicitor Hodge could say case is too old when we're back at the point of the plea hearing where Godfrey should have made Motion to withdraw my plea. →

4-4-2014. See Rolen v State. 384, S.C. 409, 683 SE 2d
471 (S.C. 2009).

"remand the case to the point after formal
acceptance of the guilty plea."

Godfrey should have objected to Solicitor Kris Hodges's
statement of case being too old. He failed
to speak up, again.

Thank you,
Craig Rolen
Craig Rolen 257993
LEE CI
990 Wisacky Hwy
Bishopville, S.C.
29010

P.S. I hope this is enough to keep
my issues in court or whatever.
I really don't understand
this whole thing of Partial Motion
to Dismiss.