

RECEIVED

APR 9 2014

SC Court of Appeals

Wesley E. Smith III

465 North Nassau Street
Charleston, South Carolina 29403
(843)723-8598

LETTER TO CLERK OF LOWER COURT FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL

April 3, 2014

CORRECTION TO THE RECORD

CLERK

Honorable Julie J. Armstrong
100 Broad Street Suite 106
Charleston, South Carolina 29401

RE: Wesley Smith, Respondent v Charleston County School District, Appellant Case 2003-10-CP-4751

Dear Honorable Clerk Armstrong;

This correction to the record is to supersede the previous filing of the notice to appeal in the above docketed and assigned case for which Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III has a legal interest and standing in the outcome of this case. Please refer to Wesley Smith (if not stricken) as the "RESPONDENT" and Charleston county School District and Mr. Townsend as APPELLANTS.

Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407, a private citizen was absent the case docketed above that initiated by Mr. Daniel F. Blanchard, III and Mr. Charlmers Johnson LLC. The lower court action has erred and mistakenly identified Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 as the person of interest for which the attorneys has inextricably interwoven two or more complaints. Based on information and belief (proof needed) the honest mishap was due to lack of service. Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III should have been listed as the "respondent" in a cross complaint. The Charleston County School District (CCSD) committed error or err was invited by the complaining party under a faulty premise but stated facts in writing as though Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 committed criminal misconduct (alleged action not relevant here) and terminated him from employment for a "just cause" without disclosing supporting substantiated evidence which is ground to terminate. The action was acts were based upon school yard children he say, she say and the other concerted children rumors.

Money is still owed to Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407, but CCSD failed to oblige the promise of a covenant relationship in good faith and in fair dealings under the color of law

for the South Carolina Pay and Wages act under 41-10-80. The treatment sustained by CCSD toward an employee gives reasons to believe that I have been discriminated against and treated less favorably, for opposing to CCSD failure to follow the law or delegation of duties to oblige the mandated requirements of law for which an unlawful business employment practice presents and draws objectionable inferences and question for rule of law that govern all action under the color of law are guided by South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedures Rule 56(c).

Issue relevant is where evidence and discovery for production of evidence is required before a special referee (Judge Doyet a Early III) in this case as assigned above and to whom a cause was referred and before the trial judge was not included the transcripts of record, Court of common pleas is required to assume that there, based upon the face of the complaint, that there was sufficient evidence to support finding of fact and did not allow all opportunities to raise an reasonable or objective objection point to the record based on the lack of discovery to material legal facts remaining that involves CCSD depriving Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 due process. The issue of this case as prejudged, deals with the infringement upon and abuse of [power relating to the judicial process, conversion, fraud upon the courts and its proceedings, deception, interference with a covenant relationship that exist between private protected citizen employment rights, hindering a fair trial, suppression and fabrication of evidence, attempt to bribe, or influence witnesses and officials of the State.

Sincerely, Person of legal Interest in that case



Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III

Wesley Edward Smith III
465 N. Nassau Street
Charleston, South Carolina 29403
(843)723-8598
Respondent Pro Se

cc: Mr. Daniel F. Blanchard, III
134 Meeting Street Suite 200
Charleston, South Carolina 29403
Attorney for Appellant

NOTICE OF APPEAL IN A CIVIL CASE
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

APR 9 2014

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Doyet A. Early, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2003-CP-10-4751

Wesley Smith,

Respondent,

v.

Charleston County School District
and Mr. Townsend,

Appellants

CORRECTED NOTICE OF APPEAL

Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III moves a respondent (a person now having a legal interest and standing as a ex parte) appeals the judgments of the Honorable R. Markely Dennis dated on 20 March 2006 and the subsequent actions of the Honorable Doyet A. Early order dated November 29, 2007. Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III based on the lack of service of process is given reasons to believe, a mistaken identity has been made for which I am seeking to challenge this court action as an Ex parte while proceeding In forma Pauperis. In forma Pauperis based on the fact that these actions are causing unnecessary undue hardships while expanding upon my impoverished conditions. This action gives reason to believe a mistake for inadvertently sanctioning this Ex parte Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 in this action based on or

about the approximate 217 Mr. Wesley Smith's that resided in South Carolina as of 2007. Based upon an irregularity in proper service a complaint, summon and or legal right to appeal a decision as a required legal duty.

Based on the rule of law, proof shall be required for the proper service of legal notification of all court related action by adversaries that initiated any action or acts related to employment termination against Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III by CCSD on all parties by the Appellants or designated actors in the case as referenced above is necessary. The last written notification of me being, I was informed by a letter that I am denied any court action was based on the aforementioned judgments. Action of notice was received from this court of Common Pleas on 12 March 2014.

Enclosed for the previous filing request informing this court of a notice to appeal in the above case docketed and assigned for which now Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III has a legal interest in the outcome of this case. Please refer to Wesley Smith (if not stricken) to the "RESPONDENT" and the Charleston county School District and Mr. Townsend (to appellants) in this instant action for CCSD was the complaining party that initiated the termination action against Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407, the employee.

Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 the private citizen in this private affair between myself and CCSD were absent from this action, as case is docketed as assigned

above, was initiated by Mr. Daniel F. Blanchard, III and Mr. Charlmers Johnson LLC has Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III mistakenly identified as the person listed in the attorneys inextricably interwoven complaints, Based on information and belief (proof needed) from the lack of service. Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III should have been listed as the "Respondent" a cross complaint response case assigned to him. The Charleston County School District (CCSD) complained of an action and terminated Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 allegedly with "just cause" under criminal misconduct (not relevant here) being the reason for termination Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 from employment. This irregularity and mistake for the application of law also gives the reason to believe that the a error exist for the right deprived due process on appeals. The respondent nor his once retained legal entity who was rescued by this same court jurisdiction, was not served within the 10 to 30 days requirement under the rules for the adverse or aggrieved party rights to appeal under the color of law on a judgment.

Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 was owed money under the color of law for South Carolina Pay and Wages under 41-10-80 and action gives reasons to believe that he has been discriminated against, and opposing CCSD failure to follow or oblige the mandated requirements of law for perceived unlawful business employment practice and reasons to believe fraud based on the Unfair Trade Practice judgment as presented draws objectionable inferences and question pursuant to the provisions under the rule of law, governing all citizen and actions under the color of law are guided by South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedures Rule 56(c).

Issues here as relevant is where evidence and discovery for the production of evidence is required before a special referee (Judge Doyet a Early III) as in related to adjudication in the matters of this case, as assigned above and to whom a cause was referred before this trial judge was not included the transcripts on the record, of which the Court of Common Pleas was required (without quick appeal of action) was to assume that there, as based upon the face of the complaint was sufficient evidence to support finding of fact, but has not allowed all opportunities to the non-moving party to raise reasonable or objective objections to point in such produce record that due to the lack of discovery there is not way to know the legal fact of law based upon the enforcement agency law review supporting arguments, for which material legal facts remains which involves CCSD depriving Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III XXX-XX-3407 due process.

Assuming arguendo, whereas legal material fact remains in the record, favorable judgment was shown to a more preferential client or customer, and the non prevailing dissatisfied and aggrieved party was gag by being mistakenly sanctioned by the above referenced court order, and the fact not allowed, on the matter to strike under South Carolina Rule of Civil Procedure of rule 12 (f) the assertion under the color of law the States At-will law, on the finding of its intent for which substantive due process which has been deprived and currently denied under the State of business and personal affairs under the color of law or not.

I do not support the disclosure other that the intended party of such related to be published as reliable information from illegal acts for any ill-gotten gains based on hearsay that is unsupported by a credible or noteworthy enforcement agency. By only adhering to the expressly written promises and guarantees of the covenant that protects, shields and governs all citizen action and subsequent acts accordingly. Third party sources without

first hand knowledge are latches and should be discarded as moot and void for vagueness I do not consent to the waiving of any of my afforded legal based on my ignorance due to hidden laws or misspoken understanding or language. The appellants failure to comply to the mandates of the enacted and written statutes that were to be followed by the letter of the law. All other rights afforded to me that are expressly written laws are preserve and reserved until otherwise notified.


I declare that under the penalty of perjury this information is true and correct



April 3, 2014

Mr. Wesley E. Smith, III
465 N. Nassau Street
Charleston, South Carolina 29403
(843)723-8598
Appellant Pro Se


SWORN TO BEFORE ME


this 3 day of April 2014

Notary Public for the State of

SOUTH CAROLINA

My Commission expires 6/7/22



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals
APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

RECEIVED

APR 9 2014

SC Court of Appeals

Doyet A. Early, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2003-CP-10-4751

Wesley Smith,

Respondent,

v.

Charleston County School District
and Mr. Townsend,

Appellants

CORRECTED PROOF OF SERVICE

Mr. Wesley Edward Smith III (herein refer to as RESPONDENT" the person now having a legal interest and standing as a ex parte) on April 3, 2014 certify that this CORRECTION TO THE RECORDS on the motion Motion to Proceed In forma pauperis and notice to appeal the judgments of the Honorable R. Markely Dennis dated on 20 March 2006 and the subsequent collateral actions of the Honorable Doyet A. Early order dated November 29. 2007 was sent to the parties addressed on records

To: Mr. Daniel Frankie Blanchard, III
134 Meeting Street suite 200
Charleston, South Carolina 29403



April 3, 2014

Mr. Wesley E. Smith, III
465 N. Nassau Street
Charleston, South Carolina 29403
Respondent Pro Se

SWORN TO BEFORE ME

Denise M James
this 3 day of April 2014
Notary Public for the State of

SOUTH CAROLINA

My Commission expires 6/7/22

