

Thomas Bloodsaw
384735

v.

The State of South Carolina

Written Memorandum

RECEIVED


APR 18 2014

SC Court of Appeals

Petitioner asserts that he told Appellate Counsel to address these meritorious claims but counsel failed to.

Petitioner argues that Trial Counsel abused his discretion in not Quashing the indictment. The Indictment failed to put Petitioner on notice of what he was called to answer for. The Money value which is a critical element of Grand Larceny was wrong. The Courts agreed that if the elements in which defines a charge is wrong then the Indictment is insufficient, and if the Trial Counsel objects before the Jury is sworn then the Indictment shall be Quashed.

Trial Court abused its discretion and Reverse and Remand should be Granted



Friday April 11, 2014

REBORN

of the

Thomas Bloodsaw 384735
L.C.I. P.O. Box 265
Ridgelyville S.C. 29472
Ash 214

RECEIVED

APR 14 2014
MAIL ROOM
WEBER CT.


SCDC
FOR LEGAL USE ONLY

MAIL ROOM

MAIL ROOM

South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629, Columbia, S.C. 29221

FIRST CLASS



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
Eagle logo
FINEY BOWERS
02 1M \$ 00.480
0004238017 APR 14 2014
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29472

RECEIVED

APR 18 2014

SC Court of Appeals

1

THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS HAS NOT
INSPECTED OR CENSORED THIS ITEM; THEREFORE,
THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY
FOR ITS CONTENTS.

FOR LEGAL USE ONLY

LIEBER CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
S.C. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS