

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
Robin R. Stilwell, Judge

---

Case No. 2011-CP-23-02204  
Appellate Case No. 2011-204086

---

Deena L. Bettencourt and Scott Bettencourt,..... Appellants,  
v.  
Mary R. Wald,..... Respondent.

---

PETITION FOR REHEARING

---

Robert C. Childs, III  
CHILDS LAW FIRM  
2100 Poinsett Highway, Suite D  
Greenville, SC 29609  
(864) 242-9997

Robert C. Ray  
Robert C. Ray and Assoc.  
306-A Mills Avenue  
Greenville, SC 29605  
(864) 233-4555

J. Falkner Wilkes  
114 Whitsett Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
(864) 282-1292  
*Counsel for Appellants*

**RECEIVED**

MAY 12 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

---

APPEAL FROM GREENVILLE COUNTY  
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
Robin R. Stilwell, Judge

---

Case No. 2011-CP-20-4086

---

Deena L. Bettencourt and Scott Bettencourt,..... Appellants,  
v.  
Mary Wald,.....Respondent.

---


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

---

I certify that on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2014, I served the Appellants' Petition for Rehearing and Certificate of Counsel on the Respondent by placing a copy of same in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, addressed to counsel of record and others as indicated below:

Marcus K. McGarr  
108 Whitsett Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
Counsel for Respondent

Respectfully submitted,



---

Robert C. Childs, III  
CHILDS LAW FIRM  
2100 Poinsett Highway, Suite D  
Greenville, SC 29609  
(864) 242-9997  
*Counsel for Appellants*

**RECEIVED**

MAY 1 2 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

## PETITION FOR REHEARING

Pursuant to Rule 221, SCACR, the Appellant petitions this Court to grant a rehearing in the present case. The Appellant submits this Court may have overlooked or misapprehended important facts and points of law as follows:

**I. THE COURT ERRED IN DENYING THE APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR ALL ATTORNEYS FEES AND EXPENSES PURSUANT TO RULE 37(c).**

This Court's opinion overlooks the intent of Rule 37, SCRCP, which is evidenced by its mandatory language. Rule 37 provides for the recovery of attorney fees and expenses directly related to proving facts denied by the other party. Here the Respondent denied the Appellants' requests to admit physical injury; pain and suffering; medical expenses; and permanent injury.

Rule 37 provides for the recovery of fees and costs unless the court finds (1) the request was held objectionable pursuant to Rule 36(a), or (2) the admission sought was of no substantial importance, or (3) the party failing to admit had reasonable ground to believe that he might prevail on the matter, or (4) there was other good reason for the failure to admit. Here, the trial court made no such findings, and as a result, the Appellants were entitled to reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to the mandatory language of Rule 37.

As a result of having to prove essential facts denied by the Respondent, the Appellant incurred substantial attorney fees and expenses to prove her case. Expenses included deposition costs and fees relating to expert witnesses without whom the Appellants could not have established an injury. In addition to the costs and fees relating to the expert witnesses, the Appellants incurred additional costs and expenses, totaling \$15,893.87. All of the expenses were directly related to proof of injury or other facts which were denied by the Respondent in her responses to the


Appellants' Requests for Admissions.

There are no facts in record to support a finding that any of the requested fees, costs, or expenses were unreasonable. The only evidence as to costs and fees is the affidavit of Appellants' counsel. The Respondent did not cross-examine the Appellants' counsel or argue at trial that the fees were unreasonable or unrelated. As a result, there is no evidence to support the trial court's limitation of costs and fees under the mandatory language of Rule 37(c) SCRPC. Absent a factual basis to support its ruling, the trial court's limitation of fees in this case constitutes an abuse of discretion. Sessions v. Withers, 327 S.C. 409 (Ct. App. 1997).

### CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Appellant moves this Court to grant a Rehearing in this case and reverse and/or modify the trial court's award of attorney fees and expenses.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert C. Childs, III  
2100 Poinsett Highway, Suite D  
Greenville, SC 29609  
(864) 242-9997  
(864) 242-9914

J. Falkner Wilkes  
114 Whitsett Street  
Greenville, SC 29601  
(864) 282-1292  
(864) 271-6035 (facsimile)

Robert C. Ray  
Robert C. Ray and Assoc.  
306-A Mills Avenue  
Greenville, SC 29605

April 29, 2014.

*Counsel for Appellants*

Childs Law Firm, LLC

Robert C. Childs, III  
2100 Poinsett Highway, Suite D  
Greenville, South Carolina 29609

WWW.LawyerChilds.com  
Robert@LawyerChilds.com

864-242-9997  
Fax - 864-242-9914

May 6, 2014

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk of Court  
South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211


Re: Deena L. Bettencourt & Scott Bettencourt v. Mary R. Wald  
C. A. No.: 2011-CP-23-02204  
Appellate Case No.: 2011-204086

Dear Judge Kitchings:

Enclosed please find six copies of the Petition for Rehearing along with the Certificates of Service. The original Petition for Rehearing was mailed on April 30, 2014.

With kind regards, I remain

Sincerely,



---



Robert C. Childs, III  
2100 Poinsett Highway, Suite D  
Greenville, SC 29609  
(864) 242-9997  
Attorney for Appellant

RCC/kmh  
cc: Mr. Marcus K. McGarr

**RECEIVED**

MAY 12 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**



Greenville PS&DC 296

TUE 06 MAY 2014 PM

Childs Law Firm  
2100 Poinsett Highway, Suite D  
Greenville, SC 29609

**RECEIVED**

MAY 12 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk of Court  
South Carolina Court of Appeals  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211