

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

T.R. , P.R., K.W. and A.M. on behalf of )  
themselves and others similarly situated; )  
and Protection and Advocacy for People )  
with Disabilities, Inc., )

Civil Action No. 2005-CP-40-029

Plaintiffs, )

vs. )

State of South Carolina; South Carolina )  
Department of Corrections; and Jon )  
Ozmint, as Director of the South )  
Carolina Department of Corrections, )

Defendants. )

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'**  
**MOTION TO COMPEL**  
**RESPONSES TO 13<sup>TH</sup> REQUEST**  
**FOR PRODUCTION, 14<sup>TH</sup>**  
**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION AND**  
**INTERROGATORIES, AND 15<sup>TH</sup>**  
**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION AND**  
**INTERROGATORIES**


JEANETTE W. S. C. P. & S. BRIDGE  
2010 NOV 12 PM 1:21  
RICHLAND COUNTY  
FILED

The Plaintiffs have filed two motions to compel the Department of Corrections' responses to outstanding discovery requests. By way of motion filed May 21, 2010, Plaintiffs asked the Court to compel the Department to respond fully and completely to Plaintiffs' 14<sup>th</sup> Request for Production. By way of a second motion filed September 22, 2010, Plaintiffs' moved the Court for an order compelling responses to Plaintiffs' 13<sup>th</sup> Request for Production, 14<sup>th</sup> Set of Interrogatories, 15<sup>th</sup> Request for Production, and 15<sup>th</sup> Set of Interrogatories. Although it has produced some documents in response to the pending discovery requests, the Department has not filed any written responses to the requests.

Having denied the Department's motion for a protective order staying discovery by Order dated October 14, 2010, the Court finds no reason exists for the Department to withhold responses to the Plaintiffs' discovery. Accordingly, within fifteen days of the date of this Order, Defendants shall respond fully to Plaintiffs' 13<sup>th</sup> Request for Production, 14<sup>th</sup> Request for

Production, 14<sup>th</sup> Set of Interrogatories, 15<sup>th</sup> Request for Production, and 15<sup>th</sup> Set of Interrogatories.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J. Michael Baxley  
Presiding Judge  
Complex Jurisdiction

Hartsville, South Carolina

November 8, 2010