

Hon. Jenny A. Kitchings, Clerk
S.C. Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

Mr. Oscar Z. Sorcia, 338274
A.C.I. F3-B36
P.O. Box 1151
Fairfax, S.C. 29827

May 26, 2014.

RECEIVED

MAY 26 2014

SC Court of Appeals

RE: Oscar Sorcia v. Brady Mathis, et al., 2013-001825

Dear Mrs. Kitchings,

This letter is in reference to your recent correspondence, dated May 20, 2014, in which you requested that I provide the Court with an update on the status of my transcript request.

Please be advise that I received the transcript and have notified the Court of the same by way of a letter sent to counsel for the Respondents, dated March 29, 2014. This Court was sent a copy of that letter.

In my letter to Mr. Paul Landis, esq. I also provided him with a copy of the transcript he requested and also made him aware of the fact that he was required to file an initial brief within Thirty (30) days after receipt of the requested transcript.

Please check your records for a copy of the letter spoken of above.

For this Court's notification and record, I received the Transcript on March 28, 2014. A copy of the attachment cover page is being provided with the court reporter's verification of delivery. I hope this satisfy the information needed by this Court. I do apologize for any misunderstanding or confusion I may have caused.

Also, could you please notify me of the status of my request for extension of time to file an "Amended Initial Brief" as ordered by the Court on April 9, 2014.

Your cooperation and assistance will be greatly appreciated I thank you in advance and will await your response at your earliest convenient time.

Cc: file

Enclosures

Respectfully Requested

S/ 

Mr. Oscar Z. Sorcia, 338247

MARCH 21, 2014

TO: MR. OSCAR SORCIA, 228247
A.C.I. F1-A47
P.O. BOX 1151
FAIRFAX, S.C. 29827


RECEIVED
MAY 30 2014
SC Court of Appeals

IN RE: Sorcias versus Mathis
2011-CP-23-08455

FOR: Services rendered for preparing a transcript for the above mentioned case that took place on June 19, 2013 before the Honorable Leticia Verdin, Judge.

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

8 pages @ \$3.25 per page	\$26.00
POSTAGE	\$ 5.89
TOTAL DUE	\$ 31.89
TOTAL PAID	\$60.00
REFUND	28.11

Thank You,

Tracy McBride
Court Reporter

Paul S. Landis, Esq.
Fayssous Law Firm, PA
209 E. Washington St.
Greenville, S.C. 29601

Mr. Oscar Z. Sorcia, 338247
A.C.I. F1-A47
P.O. Box 1151
Fairfax, S.C. 29827

March 29, 2014.

RE: Sorcia v. Mathis, et al., 2013-001825 Hearing Transcript.

Dear Mr. Landis,

This letter is in reference to my receipt of the transcript of the June 19, 2013 Motion Hearing. Please find enclosed the requested transcript you claim to need so badly.

Please be advised that this record contain only Six (6) pages of text.

Please be further advised that I will renew my motion for sanctions, as the unnecessary requesting, demanding and production of the transcript was solely for the purpose of delay.

There is absolutely no probative value for the use of a Six page transcript where neither party was sworn, no testimony was taken and no ruling by the Court was made. No other evidence was presented that was not already apart of the record and no argument made to issues or reference point that was not already made in previous documents presented to the Court prior to the hearing.

The Trial Court deferred judgment until all documents presented in the matter cold be reviewed, and when the final judgment was made it was not based upon anything in particular that was presented at the June 19, 2013 hearing. Rather it was based upon evidence or the lack thereof, presented prior to the hearing. So, ordering the transcript and delaying the appeal process demonstrates the propriety to deceive and manipulate the Court of Appeals for the purpose of delay and legal maneuvering to gain an unfair advantage of Appellant. This conduct should not be allowed with impunity. In the interest and administration of justice, and the integrity of the judicial system.

Be further advised, pursuant to orders of the Court of Appeals, the time to file Respondent's initial brief runs Thirty (30) days from receipt of the requested transcript.

Any further delay in perfecting this appeal process will [Not] be viewed favorably when it becomes necessary to negotiate settlement. This matter has been protracted by Respondents for far longer than necessary and tolerance for dilatory practices in running out for the appellant and the Court. So, tread the waters lightly as your client's best interest is at stake.


By copy of this letter the following individuals have been made aware of the service of said transcript and appellant's intentions to seek sanctions pursuant to Rule 269 SCACR, because of the unnecessary delay.

Jenny A. Kitchings, Clerk
S.C. Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

Mrs. Desire Allen,
Court Reporter Manager
S.C. Court Administration
1015 Sumter St. Suite 200
Columbia, S.C. 29201

Your cooperation and attention to the above matter will be greatly appreciated, I thank you in advance and will await your response at your earliest convenient time.

Respectfully Requested

S/ 
~~Mr. Oscar Z. Sorcia, 338247~~
A.C.I. F1-A47
P.O. Box 1151
Fairfax, S.C. 29827

Cc: file
enclosures