

EXHIBIT-A

P.1 OF 2

I Alleged there is Bias against me
in the Clerks Office of the
South Carolina Appeals Court AS
(19) of my files mailed back
AFTER sending in a SWORN OATH
ON 05/22/2014

* See Exhibit B of A Corporate Giant Held
"ACCOUNTABLE"

"
BIAS IN THE COURT TRIBUNE
"



South Carolina Court of Appeals
JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS, CLERK
POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211

Received
05/27/14

" RETALIATION "

I submitted a Sworn Oath
on 5/22/14 For NO. Opposition!
NO Reply Ever!

ALICE ROSEBORO
1852 BON REA DR.
ROCK HILL SC 29730

Clerk's Office
Letter
Dated from
05/23/2014

" I allege " Hindrance took place 5/23/14)
19 pages of files sent back to me
out of Retaliation by the Clerk's Office
After they had Been Cloned IN

Alice Roseboro
Residential Services Rep

Rock Hill Telephone Company
Residential Services
Customer Service Center

Former Employer

Tel: 803-326-2736

Fax:

Wireless:

Alice.Roseboro@comporium.com



P. 10F4

The Attorney sent me Rule 33A on Interrogatives
Page But he never applied it on himself
* He never sent me a sworn Oath *
* Retaliation Needs to stop!

Honorable

Chief Justice John C. Few

* See Exhibit B

* Contempt of Court *

The telephone picture shows arrogant
message sent to me, by and "Allege" Outside
source from case 13-2136 on 04/14/14 page

As you see the Denial was on 4/15/14 page
That cell phone is (inactive) does not work
I only use it for a watch (clock) and calculator and
calendar. Comporium has all the INFO on
that Sony Errison phone to make it work
Anytime as it came from them. When I
used to have service on it.

RULE 33
INTERROGATORIES TO PARTIES

P. 2 OF 4

(a) Availability; Procedures for Use. Except as limited by paragraph (b)(9), any party may serve upon any other party written interrogatories to be answered by the party served or, if the party served is a public or private corporation or a partnership or association or governmental agency, by any officer or agent, who shall furnish such information as is available to the party. Interrogatories may, without leave of court, be served upon the plaintiff after commencement of the action and upon any other party with or after service of the summons and complaint upon that party.

Each interrogatory shall be answered separately and fully in writing under oath, unless it is objected to, in which event the reasons for objection shall be stated in lieu of an answer. The answers are to be signed by the person making them, and the objections signed by the attorney making them. The party upon whom the interrogatories have been served shall serve a copy of the answers, and objections if any, within 30 days after the service of the interrogatories, except that a defendant may serve answers or objections within 45 days after service of the summons and complaint upon that defendant. The court may allow a shorter or longer time. The party submitting the interrogatories may move for an order under Rule 37(a) with respect to any objection to or other failure to answer an interrogatory.

Note:

This Rule 33(a) is the language of current Federal Rule except the first line. This language permits interrogatories to any party, not just an adverse party, and increases the time within which a party may object or respond to 30 days.

(b) Standard Interrogatories. In all cases the following standard interrogatories may be served by one party upon another unless otherwise ordered by the court for good cause shown. The interrogatories shall be deemed to continue from the time of service, until the time of trial of the action so that information sought, which comes to the knowledge of a party, or his representative or attorney, after original answers to interrogatories have been submitted, shall be promptly transmitted to the other party.

(1) Give the names and addresses of persons known to the parties or counsel to be witnesses concerning the facts of the case and indicate whether or not written or recorded statements have been taken from the witnesses and indicate who has possession of such statements.

(2) Set forth a list of photographs, plats, sketches or other prepared documents in possession of the party that relate to the claim or defense in the case.

(3) In cases involving personal injury set forth the names and addresses of all physicians who have treated the party and all hospitals to which the party has been committed in connection with said injuries and also set forth a statement of all medical costs involved.

(4) Set forth the names and addresses of all insurance companies which have liability insurance coverage relating to the claim and set forth the number or numbers of the policies involved and the amount or amounts of liability coverage

FILED: April 15, 2014

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

↑
P. 3 OF 4

No. 13-2136
(0:10-cv-03267-MBS)

ALICE L. ROSEBORO

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

COMPORIUM COMMUNICATIONS, Rock Hill Telephone Co; MARY GRAVELEY; TIFFANY THOMPSON; LOUIS RIDINGER; FRANK MARSHALL; GLEN MCFADDEN; JEFF BUSHARDT

Defendants - Appellees


ORDER

Upon consideration of appellant's motion to compel, the court denies the motion.

Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Wilkinson, Judge Diaz and Senior Judge Hamilton.

For the Court

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk



HINDRANCE Contempt of Court
ALARM Messsent to prose
ON APRIL 14, 2014

MY 4th
Circuit
APPEALS

CASE NO:
13-2136

P4 D ELL

Exhibit B P.1-9

Honorable Judge Chief Justice:

John C. Few (Retail Giant Held Accountable)

«
*Judge Sets Good Example The Honorable Judge
Daniel Hurley He stayed True to his Oath.»

he held the Big Corporate Giant; The

HOME DEPOT ACCOUNTABLE When They

Tried to take Advantage of the Little Guy.

«
He did not let there Billions of Dollars

bribe him by any means. He upheld

the Oath he took a Pledge to follow

in Fairness and Integrity to all persons

»
in his Court Tribune. I ask you to

hold Wells Fargo Accountable for the

wrong done to me in Disgrace Publicly

»
and much duress. Please Grant My Judgment.

Carol Tomé

12-23-2012

The Home Depot reported solid fourth-quarter earnings results on Tuesday, driven by great execution, favorable weather and continued strength in our core business.

In the fourth quarter, sales were \$16.0 billion, up 5.9 percent from last year. Comp sales for U.S. stores were 6.1 percent. Total Company Comp was 5.7 percent.

HD's diluted earnings per share for the fourth quarter were 50 cents, up 38.9 percent from last year.

The Company also reported strong results for the Year. Fiscal 2011 sales were \$70.4 billion, up 3.5 percent from the previous year. Comp sales for U.S. stores were 3.0 percent. Total Company Comp was 3.4 percent.

Diluted earnings per share for fiscal 2011 were 2.47, up 22.9 percent from the previous year.

We asked EVP and CFO Carol Tomé to dive a little deeper into the numbers and explain the highlights. For more details on our Q4 results, you can watch a replay of *The Quarterly Report* broadcast by [clicking here](#).



Kudos on a strong quarter!

Injury Lawyers News

Nicole Howley- Staff Journalist/Public Relations Specialist - Nationwide Injury & Legal News

"Home Depot called "callous and arrogant," ordered to pay millions to inventor"

Intellectual property attorneys alert: Home Depot ordered to pay inventor Michael Powell for safety feature for radial saws.

FACTS:
JAN 2010

05/13/2010 // West Palm Beach, Florida, USA // Injury Lawyers News // Nicole Howley
West Palm Beach, FL—A U.S. District Judge called Home Depot "callous and arrogant" before ordering the company to pay a former Boca Raton man \$3 million in punitive damages, in addition to other awards. Home Depot was found guilty of stealing an invention, which would keep Home Depot employees from slicing off their fingers while they are cutting wood for customers, as reported by The Palm Beach Post.

U.S. District Judge Daniel Hurley stated, "Home Depot knew exactly what it was doing... They simply pushed Mr. Powell away and they did it totally and completely for their own economic benefit."

Michael Powell was awarded \$3 million in punitive damages, in addition to another \$15 million he was awarded by a jury in March. The \$15 million is what the jury determined Home Depot should pay him for stealing his "Safe-Hands" invention. The invention is now installed on about 2,000 radial saws at Home Depot stores nationwide.

Home Depot was also awarded to pay Powell's attorneys \$2.8 million in legal fees for litigating the case. On top of that, Home Depot must pay Powell an estimated \$1 million in interest annually on the judgment, which began building in 2006 and will continue until the judgment is paid in full.

Home Depot could have saved about \$25 million if they would have paid Powell the \$2,000 per device that he was originally asking. ** Followed their Core Values **

Home Depot is considering an appeal and stated that, "We have a strong commitment to dealing with our business partners fairly and with integrity, which is how we've maintained long-standing relationships with literally tens of thousands of suppliers over the past 30-plus years. We would never condone actions that intentionally violate another company's intellectual property rights."

Legal News Reporter: Nicole Howley-Legal news for intellectual property attorneys.



to comment.

A company spokesman said Home Depot disagreed with the ruling and is considering an appeal.

"We have a strong commitment to dealing with our business partners fairly and with integrity, which is how we've maintained long-standing relationships with literally tens of thousands of suppliers over the past 30-plus years," company spokesman Stephen Holmes wrote in an e-mail. "We would never condone actions that intentionally violate another company's intellectual property rights."

However, Hurley said, that's not what the evidence showed during Monday's day-long hearing or during a nearly monthlong trial.

Recognizing it was a Goliath to Powell's David, the company sought to cut him out of any profit for the invention that saved the company millions in worker's compensation claims. In the year before the devices were installed, the company paid out \$1 million in claims related to injuries caused by the saw. In the year after the gadgets were installed, it paid out \$7,000.

He also criticized Home Depot attorneys for their handling of the case, which he described as "nasty, mean litigation." For instance, when Powell's attorney asked for records of injuries caused by the saws, Home Depot attorneys handed over 6,000 documents. In a spot check of 2,300 pages, Powell's attorneys found one document that dealt with a saw injury.

"This is the kind of activity that people look at that engenders outright disgust for the legal profession," Hurley said. "It is shameful."

Find this article :

Print this page

Close

<http://www.palmbeachpost.com/news/home-depot-called-arrogant-ordered-to-pay-ex-680890.html>

*** Home Depot Did NOT show appreciation ***
to the inventor's work ***
*** The Judge held them accountable ***

Home Depot
Executive said
About inventor
Fhim Let him sue

tors

AND GOLIATH

Home Depot Called "Arrogant." Must Actually Pay Inventor
For Invention

122 Comments

Home Depot had a problem. Over employees were sawed off their own
extremities with the saws they used to cut lumber for customers.
Michael Powell invented a gadget which fixed the problem and offered
to sell the device to the chain for \$2,000 each. Then, the inventor's
lawsuit alleged, Home Depot simply stole the gadget's design, made

2,408

1000

and wouldn't compensate him. "F** Michael Powell. Let him sue us," one executive said at the time
the chain must pay him a judgment of about \$25 million. More »

This is NOT Part
of HD core Values

... Of The ... Chain Has Done



Home Depot
" Called "
Callous and Arrogant
Big Giant

Inventor's win over Home Depot upheld

By Bruce R. Bennett
Palm Beach Post

11:17 a.m. Tuesday, November 22, 2011

Found Guilty
" Little Guy prevails over Giant "

A devoutly religious inventor is about to become divinely rich.

In a 31-page opinion, a federal appeals court this week upheld a nearly \$24 million verdict former Boca Raton resident Michael Powell won against Home Depot for stealing an invention that gave the home improvement a giant leg up on its competition.

"I'm thankful to the Lord that he gave us this victory," said Powell, who now lives in Vero Beach. "It's been a long, long road."

It's been seven years since he showed Home Depot officials a saw guard he invented that allowed it to continue to cut lumber for its customers without having to shell out as much as \$1 mil on annually to employees who lost fingers while offering the unique service. It's been four years since he filed suit, claiming the chain stole his "Safe Hands" saw. It's been more than a year since a West Palm Beach jury and federal Judge Daniel Hurley agreed that it had.

"It's done. It's over with. I'm just a producing person," Powell said of his ability to return to his first love, problem-solving, instead of being consumed by the legal fight.

→ Home Depot officials agreed not to appeal the ruling to the U.S. Supreme Court, said attorney Peter Herman, who represents Powell. However, a company spokesman said it may ask the Washington, D.C., court to reconsider its decision. Herman said less than 2 percent of such requests are granted.

→ Home Depot spokesman Stephen Holmes said the company was disappointed by the ruling. "We would never intentionally violate another company's intellectual property rights, we would never condone such behavior, and we are confident that we did not do so in this case," he said.

However, the appeals court said, the evidence proved otherwise. As a result, it said Hurley was justified adding \$3 million to the \$15 million jury award. Calling the company arrogant and greedy, Hurley also ordered it to pay Powell's \$2.8 million legal bill and interest that began building in 2006.

Powell is now helping developing nations deal with problems they are encountering as they build hospitals, water systems and other necessities of modern life. Powell said he hasn't decided how he will spend the money he will share with Herman and his other attorney, Alex Brown.

But, he said, his success should inspire struggling inventors that they can take on giants and win. The \$15 million the jury awarded wasn't just for the money Home Depot should have paid to install the saw guards in

The Home Depot values wheel

P.7 of 9

The following shows the Home Depot values wheel.




"9 CORE VALUES"
CH

- ⊙ Excellent customer service—Customers always come first. Our actions and decisions are guided by and measured against their needs.
- ⊙ Creating shareholder value—We run a profitable and growing business with an unwavering commitment to the highest standards of ethics and governance.
- ⊙ Entrepreneurial spirit—We focus on continuous improvement to find better ways to run our business and lead the market.
- ⊙ Taking care of our people—Associates are our most important asset and biggest competitive advantage. We demonstrate care in supporting them and invest in their development and success.
- ⊙ Respect for all people—We embrace diversity and leverage inclusion. We treat everyone with dignity and respect.
- ⊙ Doing the right thing—We have a moral compass that empowers our associates to act and behave in the best interests of all our stakeholders.
- ⊙ Building strong relationships—The relationships we build are lasting and continually deliver innovation and value for our customers and partners.
- ⊙ Giving back to our communities—It is our responsibility to enhance the communities and environment we share.
- ⊙ Entrepreneurial spirit—We focus on continuous improvement to find better ways to run our business and lead the market.

Respect for All People *P. 8 of 9*

Introduction

Respect for all People was created to help ensure that associates are sensitive to the diversity and culture of others in the workplace. In this lesson we will cover Respect for All People HDT-1542 available in your Orientation kit.



P. 9 OF 9

The Vision & Values of Wells Fargo

By John G. Stumpf, Chairman, President & CEO



Documents such as Vision & Values are rare these days in corporate America. Most companies have them, of course, but few as long as we've had ours. Fewer have resisted fads and stayed faithful to their founding language. Only a handful have made measurable progress guided by the same values toward an unchanging vision not just for a year or two but almost two decades. Our progress has not been perfect. We learn just as much from failure (perhaps more) as we do from success. Companies are made up of human beings who make mistakes. When we make them we admit them, learn from them, then we keep moving forward with even more understanding, guided by the same values toward the same vision.

We first published our Vision & Values in the early 1990s as Wells Fargo's predecessor, Norwest Corporation. Our industry, our world and our company have changed a lot since then. We've grown from a network of small Midwestern banks into a national company with a global reputation. We're the product of hundreds of combinations. Tens of thousands of our team members today trace their heritage to our ancestor companies — from as large as Norwest, Wells Fargo, Wachovia, First Union, CoreStates Financial, SouthTrust, Golden West Financial, A.G. Edwards, First Interstate, Crocker Bank, United Banks of Colorado, First Security, Acordia and First United Bank Group to as small as Comfort Bancshares of Comfort, Texas, and Little Mountain Bancshares of Monticello, Minnesota. Big or small, each influenced and strengthened our culture. Each brought us new geographies or capabilities and inspiring stories, and then each found common cause in our Vision & Values.

As a result, we believe in our vision just as strongly — we embrace these values just as passionately — as we did the first time we put them on paper. Our vision, our values, our commitment to outstanding sales and service for every customer, our diversified business model, our relationship strategy, our belief in people as a competitive advantage, our goal of consistent, sustainable revenue growth — none of that has changed. In fact, our unchanging vision and values and our time-tested business model are, more than ever, a competitive advantage as our industry evolves and consolidates. If our vision and values continue to anchor us, then we believe solving every problem, seizing every opportunity, and making every decision consistent with our vision and values will guide us toward more growth and success not just this year but for decades to come.