

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGM IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NUMBER: 2012CP4007790

South Carolina Public Interest Foundation

John E Courson

William B DePass Jr

Darrell Jackson

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: \_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for :  Plaintiff  Defendant or  Self-Represented Litigant

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other \_\_\_\_\_
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  Other \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk : \_\_\_\_\_

**INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC INDEX**

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled
		\$
		\$
		\$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order: \_\_\_\_\_

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. **Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.**

Circuit Court Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Judge Code \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was entered on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20 \_\_\_\_ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this 3 June 2014 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

James G. Carpenter

Michael Robert Hitchcock

J. Emory Smith Jr.

\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Court Reporter

\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of Court

*Jeanette W. McBride*

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
 COUNTY OF RICHLAND )  
 )  
 South Carolina Public Interest Foundation, )  
 and William B. DePass, Jr., individually, )  
 and on behalf of all others similarly )  
 situated, )  
 )  
 Plaintiffs, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 Senator John E. Courson, Senator Darrell )  
 Jackson, Senator Joel Lourie, Senator )  
 John L. Scott, Jr., and the State of South )  
 Carolina, )  
 )  
 Defendants. )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
 FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

C/A No.: 2012-CP-40-7790

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'  
 MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND**

RICHLAND COUNTY  
 FILED  
 2014 JUN 24 AM 10:24  
 JEANEY  
 C. F. G.S.  
 W. HERBIE

This matter comes before the Court by way of Plaintiffs' Motion to Alter or Amend pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRCF. Specifically, Plaintiffs ask this Court to reconsider its Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees filed March 19, 2014.

After careful consideration of the record in this case and the submissions of the parties, this Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or facts not appropriately considered. Accordingly, this Court hereby **DENIES** Plaintiffs' Motion under to Rule 59(e), SCRCF to Reconsider this Court's Order filed March 19, 2014. Pursuant to Rule 59(f), the Court is of the opinion that oral argument is not necessary.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Columbia, South Carolina  
 May 29, 2014

  
 G. Thomas Cooper, Jr., Judge  
 Fifth Judicial Circuit