



SENTENCE TERM (yrs/days)	Good Time Credit	Work Credit	Lost Credit	Total Credit (yrs/days)	Sentence Start Date	Sentence End Date	Time Served (yrs/days)
25/9000	3300	1011	280	11.2/4031	9/19/1991	7/3/2005	13.8/4968
20/7200	2060	482	40	4.3/1538	7/1/2005	4/19/2016	6.95/2502
10/3600	20	0.714	0	***	4/17/2016		

\* Calculations in chart are approximations and numbers have been rounded for demonstrative purposes. 'Days' of sentence are derived by multiplying the term of sentence by 360, e.g. 25 multiplied by 360 equals 9,000.

Inmate completed the 25 year (or 9000 day) sentence for Armed Robbery on July 3, 2005. The chart shows that Inmate reduced the sentence by approximately 11.2 years (or 4032 days) – 3,300 plus 1,011 minus 280 equals 4032. Thus, Inmate completed the 25 year sentence in 13.8 years because it was reduced by 11.2 years of credit that he earned.

The evidence in the record shows that on July 1, 2005, Inmate began serving his 20 year sentence for ABWIK, which is the second of his three consecutive sentences. Based upon Inmate's prison behavior and participation the ABWIK sentence has been reduced, so far, by 6.95 years (or 2,502 days). The sentence calculation sheet indicates that Inmate could potentially reduce the sentence by an additional 2.2 years and maxout on April 19, 2016, at which time Inmate could begin service for his 10 year sentence for Attempted Armed Robbery.

Inmate argues in his brief that he was unable to earn credits while he was placed in the SMU (Special Management Unit) at Ridgeland. The Court finds that this issue is not preserved for appeal. Based upon the Step 1 Grievance, Step 2 Grievance, and the Notice of Appeal, Inmate has only appealed the accuracy of his sentence calculation and not his inability to earn credits at any particular time. In order to raise an argument on appeal it must be raised and ruled upon below. Wilder Corporation v. Wilke, 330 S.C. 71, 76, 497 S.E.2d 731, 733 (1998).

Based upon the aforementioned analysis, it is clear that SCDC has correctly calculated Inmate's sentences and his projected maxout date.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Inmate's appeal regarding his sentence calculations is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.**

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**

June 9, 2014

Columbia, S.C.

CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

To certify that the undersigned has this date served this order in the above entitled action upon all parties to this cause by depositing a copy thereof, in the United States mail, postage paid, or in the Interagency Mail Service addressed to the party(ies) or their attorney(s) 2

This 9<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2014

By: Anthony R. Bellman  
Clerk of Superior Court

John D. McLeod  
John D. McLeod, Judge  
S.C. Administrative Law Court