

RECEIVED

AUG 06 2014

SC Court of Appeals

The South Carolina court of appeals

RECEIVED

AUG 06 2014

Apex Automotive, LLC dba Piedmont Chrysler Jeep

Godge, Respondent

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Earl Janison Nash and Murna Lee Nash Defendants,

Of Whom Earl Jamison Nash appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2014-000976

trial Court Case no/2013CP0402001

Appellant has service and notice of appeals and i did pay that intial filing fees as required of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules Accordingly this court was suppose to make a ruling on what was sent to them and this was not done .

NO.1 EVERYTHING MUST BE IN WRITING ,

NO.2 HEARSAY IS NOT ALLOW IN ANY COURT OF LAW .NO3.

NO 3. THIS COURT DID NOT MAKE ANY RULING ON WHAT WAS SENT TO THEM TO HEAR WHY.

NO.4.THE QUESTION THAT WAS PUT TO THS COURT WAS THIS DOES JOHN KIRKMAN MOORHEAD HAVE ANY SIGN DOCUMENT TO PROVE THAT I OWN APEX AUTOMOTIVE ANY MONEY AND THE COURT DID NOT MAKE ANY RULING ON THIS WHY .

NO 5. WHY DID THIS COURT NOT MKE ANY RULING ON THIS MATTER WHEN ALL PAPERWORK WAS SENT TO THEM FOR A RULING AND THE COURT REFUSED TO MAKE ANY RULING ON THIS MATTER WHY.

NO.6 THE COURT IS SUPPOSE TO MAKE A RULING ON WHAT WAS IN THE COURT FILE WHEN THIS APPEALS WAS FILE AND THEY REFUSED TO MAKE THIS RULING WHY.

NO. 7. QUESTION ? WAS FOR THIS COURT DID JOHN KIRKMAN MOORHEAD HAVE AND DOCUMENT TO PROOVE THAT I OWNE APEX AUTOMOTIVE AND HE DOES NOT HAVE ANY PROVE . ANY MONEY AND THE COURT REFUSED TO MAKE THIS RULING ON THIS MATTER WHY>

no. 8 why did this court not rule on the matter that was put to them to rule on at the beinning of this appeals instead of all of this running around that they are coming up with like now .

no.9 now this court has this appeal court but they refused to make any ruling on this case because they know what they are doing is fake because the APPEALS COURT REFUSED TO MAKE ANY KIND OF RULING ON THIS CASE BECAUSE THEY WANT TO COLLECT MONEY FOR THEM TO KEEP THIS GOING ON HERE NOW .

NO.10 QUESTION TO THIS COURT IS WEATHER J. KIRKMAN MOORHEAD HAS AN PROVE TO SHOW ME OR THIS COURT ANY THING IN WRITING SHOWING THAT I OWNE APEX AUTOMOVITE ANY THING BECAUSE HE HAS NOTHING AND HE HAS FILE A FALSE CLAME AGAINST ME

NO.11 EITHER THIS COURT RULE THAT MR J. KIRKMAN MOREHEAD SHOW PROVE THAT I OWNE ANY ONE THIS MONEY OR THIS COURT MAKE A RULING OF FALSE CASE THAT WAS FILE AGAINST MME IN THE FIRST PLACE . AND IT MUST BE SIGH BY THE JUDGE THAT MADE THAT RULING BEAUSE IF IT IS NOT SIGH BY AJUDGE THEN IT IS NOT A REAL ORDER BECAUSE IT IS FAKE ORDER LIKE THIS ORDER THAT WAS GIVE TO ME AND SIGN BY THE CLEARK .

NO12 NOW THIS COURT HAS TO MAKE A RULING ONE WAY OR THE OTHER EITHER THIS CONTRACT IS A REAL CONTRACT OR IT IS A FAKE CONTRACT NOW THIS COURT GOT TO MAKE THIS ORDER ONE WAY OR THE OTHER NOW AND STOP RUNNING FROM ON THING TO OTHER LIKE THEY HAVE BEEN DOING TO ME HERE NOW . THIS COURT GOT TO GO BACK AND MAKE A RULING ON WHAT WAS FILE IN THE FIRST PLACE IN THIS CASE TO THIS APPEAL COURT AND THAT IS WHAT THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO DO AND SO FAR THIS APPEALS COURT HAS REFUSED TO MAKE THIS RULLING HERE WHY?

NO 13 ALL THIS COURT HAS TO DO IS MAKE IT RULING EITHER J,KIRKMAN MORRHEAD SHOW IN WRITING PROVE THAT I OWNE THIS MONEY IN WRITING AND IT IS OVER WITH JUST LIKE THAT.

A COURT CAN NOT GO ON HEAR SAY LIKE THEY ARE DOING THIS CONTRACT STATE EVER THING MUST BE IN WRITING SO IF j KIRKMAN MORRHEAD DOES NOT HAVE ANYTHING IN WRITING THEN THE COURT MUST RULE ON IT THAT WAY AND I MEAN IN ANY COURT THAT HE HAS HAD THS CASE BEFORE THEM SND HE NEVER SHOW NOTHING IN WRITNG TO ANY COURT ONE THAT THIS COURTH PAPER IS IN WRITING EITHER TO SHOW HIS PROVE AND HE WAS NOT ABLE TO DO THAT AT ANYTIME ALSO.

NOW I DEMAND FOR THIS COURT SHOW PROVE THAT THESE LAWS ARE BONDED THAT THEY KEEP THOUGHT AT ME HERE NOW. ALSO THIS JUDGE MADDOX JR ONLY TOOK 9 MONTH TO COME OUT WITH THIS FAKE RULING ALLSO LIKE HE HAS DONE HE IS A LIER AND YOU KNOW IT AS WELL AS I DO THAT HE IS A LIE BECAAUSE HE STATE THAT HE SAID ON MY CASE AND HE DID NOT SET ON MY CASE AND HE DID NOT SET ON MY CASE . HE ALSO STATE THA HE DID NOT SEE ANY THING THAT THE MAGESITRATE CURT DID NOT MAKE ANY MISTAKE AND THAT ALSO IS A LIE BECAUSE THE ATTORNEY DID NOT FILE THE PAPERWORK THAT WAS SUPPOSE TO BE FILE WHEN HE FILE THIS CASE AGAINST ME AND A LOT MORE THING HE DID WRONG IN THAT CASE

no. 14 .

this court is suppose to go by the law and they are to rule on the paperwork that is sent to them on the case but this court trying to bypass the law and rule on what aomme one tell them to do instead what is befor them .

no. 15 this hole thing come down to one thing does . Mr moorhead the attorney has stated that he does not have prove to show that i owne any one this money that he haas suite me for . this court can not go on hearsay as this court is trying to do here now hearsay is not allow in any court of law.

no16 this court has statedd that they have dismiss this case and i have to file an appeal to and court order that is fakeAND NEVER SIGN all inforceable cout order must be sign by a judge , no court can just say that they have issue an court order say the case is dismiss with out it being sign by a judge and this court order was NOT SIGN BY ANY ONE SO THIS COURT HAS COMMITTE FRAUD BY ISSUSE THIS FAKE ORDER .

NO 17 A COURT IS SUPPOSE TO RULE ON WHAT IS SENT TO THEM NOT WHAT SOME ONE TELL THEM TO DO LIKE HAS BEEN DONE HERE IN THIS CASE THAT WAS SENT TO THEM THIS COURT CAN NOT GO ON HEARSAY LIKE THEY ARE TRYING TO DO HERE NOW THIS CASE WAS FILE UNDER NOTICE OF APPEALS AND NOW THIS COURT IS TRYING TO GET ME TO FILE AGAIN TO A FAKE COURT ORDER THAT IS NOT SIGN AND THAT IS FRAUD .

NO18.NOW THIS COURT IS GOING TO COME UP AND SIGN A BACK ORDER ON THIS CASE AND THAT IS FRAUD ALSO WHEN A COURT ORDER IS ISSUSE IT MUST BE SIGN AND DATE AT THAT TIME AND THEY TRY TO GET ME ON TO FILE AN APPEALS TO A FAKE COURT ORDER WHITCH IS FAKE IN THE FIRST PLACE BECAUSE THE ORDER WAS NOT SIGN BY THE JUDGE

NO 19 THIS COURT HAS SENTT ME A LETTER STATE THAT I HAVE TO FILE A AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEALS YOU ONLY DO THAT WHEN THE CASE WAS HERE AND AN ORDER WAS FILE AND THIS HAS NOT BEEN DONE BY THIS COURT BECAUSE WHAT THEY HAVE ISSUSE IS FAKE ORDER BECAUSE IT S NOT SIGN BY A JUDGE SO IT IS HEARSAY AND THIS COURT CAN NOT GO BACK NOW AND TRY TO SIGN THIS ORDER UNLESS THEY HAVE A HEARING AGAIN ON THIS CASE AND NOT GO BY HEARSAY AS THEY HAVE DONE HER SO FAR .

NO 20.. THIS COURT HAS one thing to do and that is to make Mr moorhead come up with prove that i owne this money or this court must rule on the paperwork that is before them that state that apex automotive has received this money in their contract as the contract has stated and this court was sent that copy to them when the case was file in the first place now this court either rule on what was sent to them or be charges for trying to committe fraud on what they are doing here now when they issuse and order and it is not sign then it is nothing only hearsay and nothing else so that is fraud no court can issue an order that is not sign to be inforceable

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Apex Automotive, LLC dba Piedmont Chrysler Jeep
Dodge, Respondent,

v.

Earl Jamison Nash and Murna Lee Nash, Defendants,

Of Whom Earl Jamison Nash is the Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2014-000976

The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.
Anderson County
Trial Court Case No. 2013CP0402001

ORDER

NOT SIGN by A judge so it is FAKE

Appellant has failed to serve and file an amended notice of appeal, and pay the initial filing fee of \$100 as required by Rules 203 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Accordingly, this matter is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

FOR THE COURT

BY V. Claire Allen, Deputy
CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
4-17-14

244

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF ANDERSON

Earl J. Nash,

Petitioner/Defendant,

vs.

Apex Automotive, LLC, d/b/a Piedmont Chrysler

Jeep Dodge.

Respondent/Plaintiff.

) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
FILED-CLERK'S OFFICE TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
ANDERSON, SC

2014 MAR 17 1:28

) COMMON PLEAS AND
GENERAL SESSIONS

Case No. 2013-CP-04-2001

**ORDER AFFIRMING THE RULING
OF THE MAGISTRATE COURT**


The Petitioner appeared before The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr., Circuit Court Judge, on December 19, 2013 on an appeal of a Summary Court verdict. Present at the hearing were both parties and Attorney Kirk Moorhead, on behalf of Respondent, Apex Automotive. The Court heard the arguments of both parties, and took the matter under advisement.

A jury trial was held before the Honorable Wynnee D. Eubanks, on August 22, 2013. The jury returned a verdict for the Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.

Petitioner timely filed this appeal and now appears before this Court requesting the Magistrate ruling be overturned. The standard of review for the Court is whether an error of law or fact exists. S.C. Code Ann. § 18-7-170. This Court has determined that no error of law or fact exists, and accordingly,


It is ORDERED that Petitioner's appeal is denied, and the ruling of the Magistrate is affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.
Circuit Court Judge

3/14, 2014
Anderson, South Carolina

A TRUE COPY
JUL 29 2014

CLERK OF COURT



OFFICIAL CHECK

15101214-6

52-0133
112

RE:

EARL J NASH

DATE:

05/24/2014


PAY TO THE
ORDER OF

South Carolina Court of Appeals

\$100.00

One hundred AND 00/100

DRAWER: TD BANK, N.A.



The Bank will not stop payment on this Official Bank Check and reimburse the purchaser or issue a replacement until the purchaser or payee signs a Declaration of Loss and more than 90 days have passed since the date of issue.

Jac
NON-NEGOTIABLE
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE



OFFICIAL CHECK

15101214-6

52-0133
112

RE: _____ DATE: _____

PAY TO THE
ORDER OF


X *X* *X* *X*

DRAWER: TD BANK, N.A.

The Bank will not stop payment on this Official Bank Check and reimburse the purchaser or issue a replacement until the purchaser or payee signs a Declaration of Loss and more than 90 days have passed since the date of issue.

[Signature]
NON NEGOTIABLE

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE




Security
Features
Details on
Back

CUSTOMER COPY


Earl J. Nash
209 Canson Rd.
Anderson SC 29625

GREENVILLE SC 29615

05 AUG 2014



0000067213



Chief Justice of the Supreme Court
JEAN TOL. Post Office Box 11330
Columbia S.C. 29211

COA

2014-000976

RECEIVED

AUG 06 2014

SC Court of Appeals

2921133030

