

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Ricky Hewins Mack, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-213390

---

Appeal From Spartanburg County  
J. Mark Hayes, II, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2014-UP-251  
Submitted April 1, 2014 – Filed June 25, 2014

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender Kathrine Haggard Hudgins, of  
Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant  
Attorney General Julie Kate Keeney, both of Columbia,  
for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following  
authorities: *State v. Smith*, 337 S.C. 27, 32, 522 S.E.2d 598, 600 (1999) ("A  
pretrial ruling on the admissibility of evidence is preliminary and is subject to  
change based on developments at trial. A ruling in limine is not final; unless an

objection is made at the time the evidence is offered and a final ruling procured, the issue is not preserved for review." (citation omitted)); *State v. Dicapua*, 373 S.C. 452, 455-56, 646 S.E.2d 150, 152 (Ct. App. 2007) (holding the defendant's failure to object to a videotape coming into evidence "amounted to a waiver of any issue" the defendant had with the videotape and reasoning the defendant's "express waiver of objection to the admission of the evidence . . . was tantamount to a withdrawal of his previous motion to suppress" (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)).

**AFFIRMED.**<sup>1</sup>

**FEW, C.J., and SHORT and GEATHERS, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.