


ADDITIONAL  
 RESPONSES  
 REOPEN

FLOW CHART FOR HEARING OF MARCH 11-12 10am BC Master in Equity



(\*) It was Judge Dukes who said during the hearing that he would abide by whatever the Supreme Court decided... and he did wait from July 2012 until October 16, 12 to set the hearing to my Motion for Continue, which is consistent with his statement to wait.

Besides, I never filed a second motion to reconsider on a Continuance. Where is it? I never paid for it either.

A previous motion to Continue to Respond of 3-1-12 was to complete or expand what I had already responded (I denied every allegation by Finger's Counterclaim and demanded strict proof, which he never did... see #12, #13 and #14 of my Motion to Disqualify Finger of 3-1-12). This Motion to Continue to Respond to Fingers Interrogatories (which I had responded already as supra anyway) has nothing to do with my Motion to Continue of 7-11-12.



October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012. In other words, there was nothing to prepare for a hearing that had become moot because the continuance became moot, so, on October 18, 12, Finger prepared an Order that was signed by Dukes on October 18 and clocked October 19, 2012, which the Plaintiff challenged with a Motion for Reconsideration on 11-13-12.

5. As regards third paragraph in page 2 of 3, of the Order of October 18, 2012, in which Finger requested sanctions against the Plaintiff, quote: ***for having to appear a Motion that was non-meritorious on its face value due to the procedural history of the disqualification issue, is incorrect*** because the Plaintiff followed proper procedure and his Motions were apropos and meritorious. Please note that it is customary for Terry Finger to invoke frivolity and trivializing opponents, as he had done previously against the Plaintiff.

**None of the sanctions should apply because:**

- a). There was only one Motion to Continue, which became moot after the Supreme Ct decision of Oct 1, 2012.
- b). There was nothing to prepare for that hearing, as stated by Finger, much less invest 3 hours of legal time and appearing (travel) @\$275/hr. x 3 = \$825, which Finger based on: difficulty of legal services; time and labor; professional standing of counsel; contingency of compensation; customary fees; beneficial results. (Finger had another client in the same court room following our hearing on Oct. 16, 2012, so, where is exclusive the travel, time and labor come from?)
- c). The Plaintiff's Motion to Continue of 7-11-12, was filed in accordance to Court Procedure and had merit. Whereas, contrary to the Order, it was this court that did not follow proper procedure.
- d). Finger failed to file on time (within 10 days) his Motion to Dismiss the Complaint against the defendants. He should have filed his Motion on or before November 26, 2012, but filed beyond that date. Actually, he had his Secretary, Ms, Carstensen, certify his Affidavit on November 28, 2012. (Thirty days from October 18 is November 17; and ten days from Nov 17 = November 27, 2012.) Besides Finger should have clocked his Affidavit, instead of having one of his employees certified it. There is reason to believe that he made his employee certify the affidavit with a different date. Incidentally, the counts of 30 days and 10 days run consecutively and not interrupted by weekends.

6. The Plaintiff intended to Appeal pending hearing with Judge Mullen, but the hearing never materialized because she was absent and Judge McDonald **continued it and is now pending**, because she could not rule on someone else's rulings, as Plaintiff stated on his Response to Judge Dukes of December 31, 2012, clocked November 2, 2012. Irrespective, the Plaintiff reserves his right ask Judge Dukes to excuse himself, request a Referee, or file an Appeal before Ct Appeals.

Alexander Pastene  
Post Office Box 22298  
Hilton Head Island, S.C., 29925  
email: alexanderpastene@yahoo.com  
843-605-5266

December 21st, 2013

Ms. Caroline > Scrantom, Esq.  
Law Clerk to Honorable Carmen T. Mullen  
Administrative Judge  
Beaufort County Court of Common Pleas  
B.C. Courthouse – Ribaut Road  
P.O. Box 1128  
Beaufort, South Carolina, 29901

Re: Case No. 2011-cp-0704407  
Pastene vs Thomas Trobaugh,  
Mr. & Mrs. N. Ginsburg, The  
Country Club of Hilton Head,  
ClubCorp. CCHH Tennis Comm.

Dear Ms. Scrantom:

I am in receipt of your letter and Order of December 11, 13 enclosed to it.

I must admit that receiving this order without having allowed me to be heard, which was the whole purpose of my Motion for Reconsideration, so, that I'd have the change to explain ostensible errors of fact and procedure (please note that this honorable court had closed a case that was still open) was a much unpleasant surprise.

What was the urgent urgency? I had been patiently waiting to be heard for months on end.

Anyway, my action against defendant W. Richard Beetle, is not for assault and defamation, but specifically for intentional negligence, which caused me actual damages. I don't know how else to say this. The CCHH and Club Corp are defendant Beetle's employers, and so, are much liable for their employee's negligence. As regards the actions against defendants Thomas Trobaugh and Norman Ginsburg for Assault were improperly dismissed because my Motion for Reconsideration should have stayed the whole case after July 25, 2013, which was not done.

Another thing, I did respond to Judge Kinard's Order within the thirty days required and later amended my response to indicate that defendant Beetle's wrongdoing was for intentional negligence and not accessory to assault, which is clearly stated in the face of my Complaint. A semantic matter nothing else.

Once again, I never filed any Motions or paid for any motions to Judge Kinard's Order. And, the Motion to Reconsider of July 25, 2013, was filed very much within the ten (10) days in accordance to Court procedure.

Sincerely,

cc. Attorneys Mary Bass Lohr and Terry Finger.

Please check & return to me  
Thank you!

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF BEAUFORT )  
ALEXANDER PASTENE )  
Plaintiff, )  
VS. )  
THOMAS TROBOUGH. NORMAN )  
GINSBURG. W. RICHARD BEETLE. )  
COUNTRY CLUB OF HILTON HEAD )  
CLUB CORP OF DALLAS, TX. MRS. )  
NORMAN GINSBURG AND UNKNOWN )  
MEMBERS OF THE CCHH TENNIS )  
COMMITTEE )  
Defendants.)

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2011-cp-0704407  
RESPONSE TO ATTORNEY LOHR'S  
LETTER TO JUDGE MULLEN  
DATED DECEMBER 2, 2013

13 DEC 17 PM 3:04  
BEAUFORT COUNTY CLERK OF COURT

Plaintiff is in receipt of S.C. Court of Appeals' Order by Jasper Cureton, AJ, dated 11-27-13, denying defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiff's Motion to Extend remanding the case to Circuit Court for Judge Mullen to consider his 7-25-12 motion.

Additionally, Plaintiff received copy of letter to Judge Mullen by attorney Mary Lohr dated 12-2-13, that he interprets to be another attempt to further mislead this Honorable Court with a deceiving *as you can see, they have dismissed the [Plaintiff's] Appeal...* Judge Cureton denied the defendants' Motion to Dismiss, and also dismissed the Plaintiff's to Extend without prejudice because it was moot, so, he said "[a]ny party can appeal within ten (10) days after the order disposing of the post-trial motions").

Anyway, earlier in response to his letter to B.C. Clerk of Court Roseneau Ms. Lohr attempted to have her dismiss the case stating that it was closed, which was as false then as it is now. Also, she was untruthful to Judge Dukes when during a hearing he called her over the phone to verify Plaintiff's truthfulness, which made him look like a liar and affected the outcome of those hearings. Incidentally, earlier Ms Lohr refused to answer and may have lied about Finger's threat to Plaintiff during a hearing before Judge Dukes, which may have affected the outcome of the Supreme Court investigation

Irrespective, Appellate Judge Cureton "saw" the pending Motion from Columbia, as well as the merits of the case, so, why did Ms.Lohr not see what was plainly obvious? Just imagine, Ms Lohr, a private attorney, redacting a Judicial Order based on false premises, having it signed and then closing the case *with prejudice*; a serious matter. Was Plaintiff's 7-25-12 motion not recorded by the BC Clerk of Court? Albeit, the Plaintiff did not receive any response from Ms. Roseneau to his letter of 09-25-13.

Subsequently, pursuant to his Appeal, Ms Lohr again filed an improper Motion to Dismiss because the facts and inferences in the face of the Complaint, *et seq.*, are clear and entitled him to relief, see *Brown v Leverette. 291 SC 364, 353 SE2d 697. 1987*

Also, during the first hearing Judge Kinard asked Plaintiff to amend his Complaint within 30 days, which he did, and then further amended its caption to "negligence". Ms. Lohr knew very well *ab initio* that this was a case of intentional negligence by her clients who offered a minimal amount of \$12,000 to settle, but Plaintiff refused it for being unspeakably low, so, in the alternative she seems to have chosen to misstate facts and mislead this Court to have the case dismissed, which may have embarrassed this court before the SC Court of Appeals. Irrespective, his Motion of 7-25-12, should've stayed the case in its entirety.

Wherefore, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that the proceedings before Judge Dukes after 7-25-12 are stricken from the record given both the existence of a pending motion that should have stayed them, and the vitiations of the case by Attorney Lohr who was untruthful to Judge Dukes, which may have affected the outcome of those proceedings, as well. Also, that Judge Terry Finger is recused for biasing the case since day one. Plaintiff believes that he has been put through enough tribulations and unfairness by this court, Ms. Lohr, Judges Dukes and Finger (for almost three (3) years now) to have earned his day in court to seek relief for the damages caused him by the defendants, so, he respectfully requests that a hearing is convened to argue these matters and set a trial date to include all of the defendants before a jury of his peers.

Respectfully submitted,



ALEXANDER PASTENE  
Appearing *pro se*  
Post Office Box 22298  
Hilton Head Island SC 29925  
Tel 843-605-5266

On beautiful Hilton Head Island, South Carolina  
On this Friday thirteenth of December, 2013.

Attorney Mary B. Lohr  
HOWELL, GIBSON & HUGUES  
P.O. Box 40, Beaufort, SC 29901

Attorney Terry Finger  
FINGER & FRASER  
P.O. Box 24005, Hilton Head Island, SC 29925

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. The Plaintiff certifies that he served copy of his letter to Judge Mullen of 12-13-13, to Attorneys for defendants Ginsburg, Trobaugh, CCHH and Richard Beetle, Terry Finger at 35 Hospital Center Common, P.O. Box 24005, HHI, SC, 29925, and Mary B. Lohr at PO Box 40 Beaufort SC 29901. Signed: Alexander Pastene \_\_\_\_\_

16  
(initials)

Alexander Pastene  
Post Office Box 22298  
Hilton Head Island, S.C., 29925  
email: alexanderpastene@yahoo.com  
843-605-5266

December 13, 2013

Honorable Carmen T. Mullen  
Administrative Judge  
Beaufort County Court of Common Pleas  
B.C. Courthouse – Ribaut Road  
P.O. Box 1128  
Beaufort, South Carolina, 29901

Re: Case No. 2011-cp-0704407  
Pastene vs Thomas Trobaugh,  
Mr. & Mrs. N. Ginsburg, The  
Country Club of Hilton Head,  
ClubCorp. CCHH Tennis Comm.

Dear Judge Mullen:

As per your office's telephone request of early this week that I send copy of my Motion for Reconsideration of 7-25-12, please find it enclosed herewith, which includes USPS Postal Money Order as proof of payment as well.

Please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

cc. Mary B. Lohr and Terry Finger



State of South Carolina  
The Circuit Court of the Fourteenth Judicial Circuit

Caroline M. Scrantom  
Law Clerk to the Honorable Carmen Mullen

100 Ribaut Road  
Beaufort, SC 29901  
Phone: (843) 255-5070  
Fax: (843) 522-8362  
cmullenlc@sccourts.org

December 12, 2013

Alexander Pastene  
P.O. Box 22298  
Hilton Head, SC 29925

Re: Alexander Pastene v. Thomas Trobough, et al.  
Civil Action No. 2013-CP-07-2167

Dear Mr. Pastene:

Please find enclosed copy of clocked and filed order determining the disposition of Plaintiff's July 25, 2013, Motion to Reconsider in the above-referenced matter. Please do not hesitate to contact me if I may be of assistance with additional questions or comments concerning the Court's ruling on this matter.

Warm regards,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Caroline M. Scrantom".

Caroline M. Scrantom, Esq.  
Law Clerk to the Honorable Carmen T. Mullen

Enclosure

CC: Mary Bass Lohr  
Terry A. Finger

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
 COUNTY OF BEAUFORT )  
 )  
 ALEXANDER PASTENE, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 THOMAS TROBOUGH, MR. & MRS. )  
 NORMAN GINSBURG, W. RICHARD )  
 BEETLE, COUNTRY CLUB OF HILTON )  
 HEAD, CLUB CORP OF DALLAS, TX, )  
 CCHH TENNIS COMMITTEE )  
 MEMBERS, )  
 Defendants. )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
 FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
 C.A. NO.: 2011-CP-07-4407

**ORDER**

13 DEC 11 PM 4:44  
 BEAUFORT COUNTY, S.C.  
 CLERK OF COURT

NOW COMES BEFORE THE COURT Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration, dated July 25, 2013. The present action arises out of *pro se* Plaintiff's filing suit against the above-captioned Defendants, alleging causes of action for assault, defamation and wrongful termination as against public policy. Defendants Richard Beetle and Country Club of Hilton Head moved to dismiss these causes of action and raised to the Court's attention by way of that same motion that Defendants Club Corp of Dallas, TX and CCHH Tennis Committee Members are not known legal entities subject to suit. In a parallel chain of events, the causes of action against Defendants Thomas Trobough and Normal Ginsburg were dismissed without prejudice by Judge Dukes, Beaufort County Master-In-Equity, in an Order dated December 4, 2012.

In order to place the current Motion in the proper context, a partial review of the case history is required. The instant Motion derives from an Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint, issued by Judge Kinard on March 2, 2012. Judge Kinard's Order dismissed with prejudice the cause of action for assault as to Defendant Richard Beetle and

Country Club of Hilton Head. This Order also dismissed the causes of action for defamation and wrongful termination against public policy without prejudice and with leave for Plaintiff to re-file within thirty days. *Pro se* Plaintiff then submitted to the Court on April 10, 2012, "Answers to Honorable Judge Ernest Kinard's Order Granting Motion to Dismiss of 3-2-12 & Answer to Defendants Richard Beetle, CCH and Club Corp's Motion to Dismiss Dated 12-5-11" (hereinafter "Answers to Judge Kinard's Order"). Notably, "Answers to Judge Kinard's Order" were submitted to the Court past the thirty-day time for re-filing as allowed by Judge Kinard in regards to the remaining causes of action.

At this juncture, the undersigned issued an Order on May 21, 2012. This Order stated that "Answers to Judge Kinard's Order" appeared to be a Motion to Reconsider and was filed well past ten days from the receipt of written notice of the Order. SCRCP 52(b) and 59(e). Because "Answers to Judge Kinard's Order" was filed well past the deadline for either a Motion to Reconsider or the re-filing deadline set by Judge Kinard, the Court dismissed this matter with prejudice in its entirety as to Defendants Richard Beetle and Country Club of Hilton Head.


In response to the Court's May 21, 2012, Order, the Plaintiff submitted to the Court Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration dated July 25, 2012. This Motion did not come before the Court at the time of filing, was continued by visiting Judge Stephanie McDonald, and failed to be heard at a later date. Plaintiff filed Notice of Civil Appeal on September 20, 2012. The Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal without prejudice and ordered this Court to consider Plaintiff's July 25, 2012 Motion to Reconsider in an Order dated November 27, 2013.

Plaintiff's July 25, 2012, Motion to Reconsider now comes before the Court and essentially asks the Court to reconsider a second time the Motion to Dismiss, granted March 2, 2012. There is no Court rule or statute that would authorize the filing of a second Motion to Reconsider.

Furthermore, the Motion was filed well past the ten-day deadline for filing a Motion to Reconsider.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Motion dated July 25, 2012, is found to be filed contrary to Court procedure and the law, has no merit, and is therefore, denied.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**



---

Carmen T. Mullen  
Fourteenth Judicial Circuit

December 11, 2013  
Beaufort, South Carolina

Alexander Pastene  
Post Office Box 22298  
Hilton Head Island, S.C., 29925  
email: alexanderpastene@yahoo.com  
843-605-5266

By Electronic Mail

December 9, 2013

Honorable Carmen T. Mullen  
Administrative Judge  
Beaufort County Court of Common Pleas  
B.C. Courthouse – Ribaut Road  
P.O. Box 1128  
Beaufort, South Carolina, 29901

Re: Case No. 2011-cp-0704407  
Pastene vs Thomas Trobaugh,  
Mr. & Mrs. N. Ginsburg, The  
Country Club of Hilton Head,  
ClubCorp. CCHH Tennis Comm.

Dear Judge Mullen:

I am in receipt of S.C. Court of Appeals Order by Judge J. Cureton, AJ, of 11-27-13, denying defendants' Motion to Dismiss and remanding the case to circuit court, so, you may consider my motion of 7-25-12.

Additionally, I received copy of letter to you by opposing counsel dated 12-2-13, that I interpret as an attempt to secure a ruling against me instead of my long awaited hearing, which hearing is precisely what I had filed and paid for on 7-25-12. Please note that counsel Lohr was in error when she stated that my Motion was filed on 7-25-13.

Sincerely, and respectfully submitted,

by:

ALEXANDER PASTENE  
Appearing *pro se*  
Post Office Box 22298  
Hilton Head Island, S. C, 29925  
Tel 843-605-5266

On beautiful Hilton Head Island, South Carolina  
On this ninth day of December, 2013.

Attorney Mary B. Lohr  
HOWELL, GIBSON & HUGUES  
P.O. Box 40  
Beaufort, SC 29901

Attorney Terry Finger  
FINGER & FRASER  
P.O. Box 24005  
Hilton Head Island, SC 29925

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The Plaintiff certifies that he served copy of his letter to Judge Mullen of 12-09-13, to Attorneys for defendants Ginsburg, Trobaugh, CCHH and Richard Beetle, Terry Finger at 35 Hospital Center Common, P.O. Box 24005, HHI, SC, 29925, and Mary B. Lohr at PO Box 40 Beaufort SC 29901. Signed: Alexander Pastene \_\_\_\_\_

HOWELL, GIBSON AND HUGHES, P.A.  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Post Office Box 40  
Beaufort, South Carolina 29901-0040  
www.hghpa.com

25 RUE DU BOIS  
LADY'S ISLAND  
BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA 29907

STEPHEN P. HUGHES  
PATRICK M. HIGGINS  
ROBERT W. ACHURCH III \*  
DAVID S. BLACK  
MARY BASS LOHR  
THOMAS A. BENDLE, JR.

WILLIAM T. YOUNG III

JAMES S. GIBSON, JR \*  
Of Counsel

\* Certified Mediator

TELEPHONE: 843 - 522-2400  
FAX NUMBER: 843 - 522-2429  
E-Mail: hghpa@islc.net  
WRITER'S DIRECT: 843 - 522-2428

December 2, 2013

Hon. Carmen Tevis Mullen  
Chief Administrative Judge, Beaufort and Jasper  
Beaufort County Court of Common Pleas  
Post Office Box 1128  
Beaufort, SC 29901

Re: Alexander Pastene vs. Thomas Trobough, Mr. and Mrs. Norman  
Ginsberg, W. Richard Beetle, Country Club of Hilton  
Head, Club Corp of Dallas TX. CCHH Tennis Committee Members  
Civil Action No.: 2013-002167  
Our File No: 11017 MBL

Dear Judge Mullen:

I enclose herewith for your review an Order from the Court of Appeals in connection with the above referenced matter. As you can see, they have dismissed the Appeal without prejudice so that the Circuit Court can consider the Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration, dated July 25, 2013. We would respectfully request a hearing and/or ruling on this matter.

Please do not hesitate to contact me in the event you have other questions or comments concerning the enclosure.

With kindest regards, I am

Yours truly,

HOWELL, GIBSON & HUGHES, P.A.

Mary Bass Lohr  
MBL/ad  
Enclosure  
cc: Mr. Alexander Pastene  
Terry A. Finger

COPY

Mon, Oct 7, 2013 at 5:12 PM

Oct 7

[Message starred](#)

## **Re: Transcript Request**

FROM Wanda Rowe TO You

[Show Details](#)

From : [Wanda Rowe](#)

To [alexander pastene](#)

Yes, will type the testimony that isn't typed, and add in what is already typed to make one transcript. You do not have to send the part of the transcript already finished back to me. I have other transcripts to get out first. But will be in touch. Thank you.

---

**From:** alexander pastene <[alexanderpastene@yahoo.com](mailto:alexanderpastene@yahoo.com)>

**To:** Wanda Rowe <[whrowe.reporting@yahoo.com](mailto:whrowe.reporting@yahoo.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, September 30, 2013 9:35 PM

**Subject:** Re: Transcript Request

I was wondering Ms. Rowe if it would too much of an inconvenience for you to deliver the complete transcript. For this I would mail back to you the last seven (7) pages (partial transcript) that you sent me earlier. I believe that this would be less confusing to the courts and everyone else. Let me know your thoughts. Kind regards, Alexander Pastene.

**From:** Wanda Rowe <[whrowe.reporting@yahoo.com](mailto:whrowe.reporting@yahoo.com)>

**To:** alexander pastene <[alexanderpastene@yahoo.com](mailto:alexanderpastene@yahoo.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, September 27, 2013 7:55 PM

**Subject:** Re: Transcript Request

Mr.. Pastene, this will acknowledge your request. Thank you.

**From:** alexander pastene <[alexanderpastene@yahoo.com](mailto:alexanderpastene@yahoo.com)>

**To:** Wanda Rowe <[whrowe.reporting@yahoo.com](mailto:whrowe.reporting@yahoo.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, September 27, 2013 7:05 PM

**Subject:** Re: Transcript Request

All right, Ms. Rowe, \$35 for the seven pages.

Whereas, I am ordering the first seven (7) pages insomuch that I already ordered and received the rest to complete the transcript. My Notice of Appeal was filed and clocked September 20, 2013, so, I am ordering the transcript within the ten (10) days from that day to comply with the SCRCR or SCARC. The Court Recorder has sixty (60) days to deliver the transcript. Thank you, Alexander Pastene.

Alexander Pastene  
Post Office Box 22298  
Hilton Head Island, S.C 29925.  
843-227-2695  
Email: [alexanderpastene@yahoo.com](mailto:alexanderpastene@yahoo.com)

Ms. Jamie Thompson  
BEAUFORT COURTY CLERK OF COURT  
Beaufort County Courthouse  
102 Ribaut Road, Beaufort, S.C., 29901

11-27-12  
Case #2011-cp-0704407

Dear Jamie:

Hope you had a nice holiday.

Last week I sent you an email requesting a hearing before Judge Mullen as follows:

*"Alexander Pastene  
Post Office Box 22298  
Hilton Head Island, S.C 29925  
843-227-2695  
Email: [alexanderpastene@yahoo.com](mailto:alexanderpastene@yahoo.com)*

Ms. Jamie Thompson  
BEAUFORT COURTY CLERK OF COURT Case #2011-cp-0704407  
Beaufort County Courthouse  
102 Ribaut Road, Beaufort, S.C., 29901

11-14-12

Hi Jamie:

***Please schedule a hearing with Judge Mullen to hear my Motion for Reconsideration to her Order of May 21, 2012 (this hearing was continued on November 5, 2012 by Judge McDonald). At first I thought it would be before Judge Kinard; not so. Any questions let me know. Hope you had a good holiday.***

***Kind regards, Alexander"***

Jamie, I am requesting a hearing before Judge Mullen because it is to hear a Reconsideration to her Order of May 21, 2012, which I had filed and paid, and she is the only one who can hear it.

Please note that I never filed or paid for a Motion for Reconsideration to Judge Kinards' Order. The confusion may have been caused by Attorney Mary Lohr. See précis below as of today's date. Another thing, it's been almost two years since those two bullies assaulted me and the GM and CCHH wrongly terminated me, caused me serious damages and no relief in sight. This is horrible.

1. On 10-24-11, I filed Summons & Complaint against all the defendants.
2. On 1-13-12, after many attempts (for about 3 months) all of the defendants were finally served.

3. On 12-5-12, Attorney Lohr for Defendants Richard Beetle, CCHH and ClubCorp filed a Motion to Dismiss.
4. On 2-8-12, The hearing to hear Ms. Lohr's Motion to Dismiss, which was supposed to have taken place before Judge Mullen was presided by Judge Ernest Kinard.
5. On 3-12-12, I was advised of Judge Kinard's Order of 3-2-12, clocked 3-12-12, dismissing my Complaint only against defendant Richard Beetle, CCHH and ClubCorp based on Assault, pending amendments.
6. On 4-10-12, within the thirty (30) days required I filed and clocked my Answer, followed by Affidavit of 9-14-12 and Memorandum in support of my Amendment 9-17-12.

1015 SUPPLY St 9201  
Columbus GA