

Angela Hough  
42 Magnolia Lane  
Lugoff, SC 29078  
August 18, 2014

**RECEIVED**

AUG 19 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**

**VA U.S. Mail**

The Honorable V. Claire Allen  
Clerk of Court, South Carolina Court of Appeals  
P.O. Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

73267

**Re: Richard Hough, Appellant v. Angela Hough, Respondent  
Case No. : 2014-000084**

Dear Ms. Allen,

Please accept this letter as Respondent Angela Hough's request for a 15-day extension from the time the procedures are reset to follow the Court Rule 240 in which to file my response to the second motion presented to the Court which the Appellant filed on August 8, 2014 after receiving the Respondent's motion that was filed on August 5, 2014, along with a filing fee in the amount of \$25.00.


This is the Respondent's first request for an extension. By copy of this letter, I am hereby serving a copy of the same to counsel of record. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

In an effort to clarify the situation the following are details of circumstances that bring this action raising need for the Rules to apply:

1. The Appellant received the Curtesy Summons under perjured testimony as seen in the lack of witnesses he can provide along with the responding Officer was a witness for the defense.
2. There is no police report of the date of the incident that substantiates his claims yet his attorney had that item his list of Designation of Matter that was only removed as a response to the fact that the Respondent brought the matter to the attention of the Court. Nor Proof of Service of the appeal on the Respondent exist ever in the file.
3. The Respondent filed a motion applying Rule 209 and 210(c) on August 5, 2014 demonstrating the Appellant and his attorney were not willing to put into the Record items in the file and found in the Respondent's Designation of Matter. The Appellant and his attorney did not respond to the motion.
4. The Respondent's motion had not been deliberated on and an Order provided addressing the motion that was filed before the Appellant's motion.

5. The Appellant and his attorney's actions cumulative- see letter to the Court dated June 23, 2014 to this last Motion do not reflect a good faith effort to follow the Rules of the Court of Appeals.
6. That the Order on the Appellant's motion was filed on August 15, 2014 which violates Rule 240 in that the Respondent was not given the 10 days required by the Rule to respond to the Appellant's motion. The Appellant's motion was filed on the August 8<sup>th</sup> and the Oder was filed on August 15<sup>th</sup> only 7 days later denying the Respondent's Due Process under the Court's Rule- Rule 240(e).
7. By breaching the Court's Rules it is being unfairly costly to the Respondent who has met all the requirements, met all the time limits and filed everything as the Court Rules require. The Respondent is a citizen of the state of South Carolina and the Appellant is not.

With kind regards,


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Angela Hough

Enclosure  
Check #1035

Cc: William A. Hodge  
P.O. Box 8753  
Columbia, SC 29202

A. Hough  
42 Magnolia Lane  
Lugoff, SC 29078

COLUMBIA SC 290



**RECEIVED**

AUG 2014 PM 2 L

AUG 19 2014

**SC Court of Appeals**  
The Clerk of Court  
SC Court of Appeals  
P.O. Box 11629  
Columbia, SC 29211

29211162929

